

The COVID-19 impact on humanitarian operations: lessons for future disrupting events

Bhavin Shah

Operations and Supply Chain Management Group, Indian Institute of Management (IIM)

Sirmaur-173025, Himachal Pradesh, India

bhavin.shah@iimsirmaur.ac.in

Guilherme F. Frederico

School of Management, Federal University of Paraná – UFPR, Curitiba, Brazil

Vikas Kumar

Bristol Business School, University of the West of England, UK

Jose Arturo Garza-Reyes

Centre for Supply Chain Improvement, University of Derby, UK

Anil Kumar

Guildhall School of Business, London Metropolitan University, London, UK

1. Introduction

During wide spread of Corona Virus Disease (COVID19), it has been recorded that humankind plays a responsive role in collaboration with societies, governing authorities and controlling agencies (Haque and Islam, 2018) while managing resources during man-made or natural disasters. Sufficient literature is being noticed focusing on humanitarian relief operations (Anparasan and Lejeune, 2017) and supply risk mitigations (Maghsoudi et al. 2018) incurred due to the disasters. However, the role of volunteers and the workforce in relief planning, and recovery for pandemic and complex emergencies have not been explored adequately (Harpring et al. 2021). Furthermore, it is necessary to bring out clarity on how the way of managing humanitarian operations services differ during pandemics, man-made, and nature-inspired disasters. Models are developed to manage manpower and resources (Kebriyaii et al. 2021), vehicle capacities (Ershadi and Shemirni, 2021), and routing (Breitbarth et al. 2021) to protect and serve the vulnerable communities with essential supplies during pandemics. But, it raises the question of whether existing logistical networks and infrastructures are enough to aid necessary supplies during these events.

The COVID19 outbreak spreads quickly, the neighbouring or non-affected geographies may be considered temporary humanitarian hubs to serve emergency logistics services, medical supplies and food aids. Therefore, logistical infrastructure development programs are encouraged to combat the

1
2
3 impact of such future pandemics (Stauffer et al. 2020). Also, where and how many hubs are needed to
4 assist food and necessary supplies in COVID19 affected terrains? Yagci Sokat and Altay (2021)
5 identified the lack of research on the investigation of operational and logistical challenges of serving
6 vulnerable populations through cross-sectoral partnerships. Sometimes, the least developed countries
7 and vulnerable communities need the aid of cash along with medical, food assistance and emergency
8 vaccination. So, how to allocate vaccines on basis of their health data, age, and diet becomes an urgent
9 issue of resolution for the health departments.

10
11 Frederico (2021) discusses the importance of disruptive technologies for enhancing resilience in
12 supply chains and food tracking (Li et al. 2020). Recently, Jebbor et al. (2021) identify the Artificial
13 Intelligence (AI)-based forecasting model for predicting hospital asset consumption under disruptive
14 incidents to improve hospitals' response to disasters/pandemics situations. However, the role of
15 Information and Communication Technology (ICT) for humanitarian safety at emergency services such
16 as hospitals and workplaces during pandemics is yet unexplored. Further, the medical workers and
17 emergency service providers are, insisted to work despite temporarily suspended travelling and
18 migrations. Certainly, technology and data analytics play a vital role here for the people and local
19 commodity movements (Mkansi et al. 2019), but how can this technology play a role in organizational
20 performance, and quick, safe, and secure transportation of men and materials to the pandemic affected
21 terrains is awaited challenge to address. It is also expected to answer, how digitization play role in
22 developing dynamic capability and resource allocation would be useful for the humanitarian
23 organization to reduce risk during a pandemic.

24
25 Studies contributing towards raised research issues of humanitarian operations, emergency aid,
26 community help during COVID19, and lessons learnt from the pandemic are discussed in section 2 along
27 with their research implications. Section 3 put forward the practice-oriented policies and decisions that
28 humanitarian organizations, communities, govt. and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) can use
29 to combat such future disrupting happenings helping mankind. Finally, further derived issues and
30 research questions that need to be addressed in future along with the limitations of each selected study
31 are concluded in section 4.

32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 **2. Contribution towards Literature**

49 The broader objective of this research covers the COVID19 learnings and experiences of humanitarian
50 communities for necessities with a focus on food supply and medical assistance, logistics and
51 infrastructural development, emergency crisis management, social preparedness and relief programs.

52 The contribution of each study is analyzed (Appendix-I) and detailed below on basis of addressed
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 research issues, adopted methodology, geographical scope, limitations, respective beneficiaries, allied
4 humanitarian policy and supply chain decisions.

5
6 First: Handfield et al. (2022)-contributes towards the way of managing humanitarian readiness and
7 supply chain preparedness against pandemics. Though defence personnel were directly engaged framing
8 emergency response guidelines, the proposed framework is expected to be validated through concrete
9 method with data or real cases in different contexts, so that the government officials can assertively
10 adopt it. The second paper by Verma et al. (2022) conducts semi-structured interviews with
11 manufacturing firm managers to explore opportunities and challenges, and assess them using multi-
12 criteria ranking technique named TOPSIS while adopting supply chain changes. The infrastructure and
13 wages are found to be primary challenges, whereas the order fulfilment and supplier-customer
14 relationships development emerged as prominent opportunities. The study is expected to be supported
15 by a more concrete and accurate assessment method for supply chain risk and disruption theory. Third:
16 Sahinyazan and Araz (2022)-collects health and nutrition data from least developed geographies of the
17 USA under scarcity of vaccines and derived measurement index for more vulnerable communities that
18 help prioritizing distribution schedule for humanitarian aid, food and medicines. It concludes that the
19 food desert, COVID19 mortalities, and population proportion has a positive correlation with the fatality
20 ratio. The hospital capacity and other disease data are not accounted for while measuring vulnerability
21 scores.

22
23
24 The fourth paper (Khakan et al. 2022) collects global data from the Bloomberg database with a
25 prescribed timeline (2010-2020) and assesses the empirical role of ICT on health-and-safety concerns
26 raised during COVID19. The regression results reveal the significant and positive hypothetical
27 relationship between market performance and health-safety measures of IT firms. Fifth: Joshi et al.
28 (2022)-contributed toward policy development under dynamic organizational capability and resource
29 allocation theory for reducing risk during pandemics. The author(s) surveyed with district magistrates
30 in an Indian province for humanitarian survival and recovery plans for emergencies. Sixth: Rahman et
31 al. (2022)-conducted thematic bibliometric mapping and citation based meta-analysis published in the
32 area of humanitarian supply chain pre-during-and post pandemic era. A Scientometrics analysis with
33 other data sources would help to identify the research emergent directions on awareness, training and
34 development plans for the disaster management and relief serving authorities would be beneficial in
35 future.

3. Practical Implications

36
37
38 The outcome of each study implicates the design of humanitarian policies by the government and
39 NGOs, bureaucrats, policymakers, and disaster management personnel to resolve community problems

1
2
3 created due to COVID19. The first study could be used by the medical practitioners to plan emergency
4 resilience policy against pandemics due to reliance on overseas manufacturing and insufficient strategic
5 stockpile. The second paper is adopted by toy manufacturing firms to measure and improve
6 organizational and supply chain performance. The government and public healthcare practitioners may
7 adopt a third paper and coordinate with each other to schedule vaccination and plan its allocation among
8 the community on basis of different health and dietary data. The humanitarian organizations and the
9 united nations high commission may validate model proposed in fourth paper in combination with a
10 qualitative approach to understand the gravity of employee safety and derive firm-level operations
11 policies. The fifth paper can be useful for humanitarian organizations and disaster relief firms to design
12 disaster recovery policies and mitigation plans, and social, health, and economic policies through ICT
13 applications. The last paper sees how the neighbouring countries were used as humanitarian hubs to
14 supply food, medical aid and other emergency supplies. A thorough analysis of each paper would help
15 researchers to draw new directions in humanitarian operations paradigms and the practitioners can learn
16 lessons to reform supply chains.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 4. Conclusions

28 The overall objective of this issue was to investigate the impact of COVID19 on humanitarian operations
29 that helps to combat future disrupting supply chain events. It includes research articles on supply chain
30 preparedness, and workforce and organizational readiness. It also covers humanitarian aspects of
31 vaccination scheduling and distribution for least developed countries and vulnerable communities
32 towards disaster relief operations. The role of government agencies and health workers to form policies
33 for employee safety and disaster risk reduction models for unforeseen pandemics is also an important
34 consideration of this issue. Though multiple authors (s) from different geographies have participated,
35 only selective studies are qualified for publication due to thematic restrictions of this issue. The recorded
36 limitations of each study are mapped with unaddressed research issues which would help readers to
37 derive new future research directions.
38

39 The COVID19 impact on Healthcare supply chain preparedness (Handfield et al. 2022) and
40 manufacturing readiness (Verma et al. 2022) is investigated to assess the disruptions. These studies
41 could be further extended to ensure flawless supplies under epidemically controlled travelled
42 geographies as this issue was coined by Dasaklis et al. (2012) and is yet unaddressed. The study of
43 Sahinyazan and Araz (2022) tried to plan the supply of medical aid and assistance for least developed
44 countries and vulnerable communities. It could be further explored along with logistical infrastructure
45 development and social awareness programs that would help humanitarian supply chains and WHO
46 combat the impact of the COVID19 outbreak. In their investigation, Rahman et al. (2022) have found
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the need for research focusing on recovery strategy in humanitarian supply disruptions mitigations, relief
4 operations, and disaster prevention. The supply chain immunity framework derived by Handfield et al.
5 (2022) could be tested with humanitarian supply chains to design a recovery strategy under COVID19
6 disruptions. The scholars could also examine the key differences in managing humanitarian operations
7 during the pandemic, man-made and nature-inspired disasters.
8

9
10 The global sourcing and distribution planning strategies should be made more harmonized through
11 supply chain hubs and multi-modal logistics networks designed for humanitarian aid during the
12 pandemic. Supply chain hub design cost-benefit analysis of adopting temporary or permanent hubs
13 would be of interest for the organizations to ensure sufficient humanitarian aid. Research on managing
14 vehicle rental programs for medical and financial aid would also be beneficial for International
15 Humanitarian Organization (IHO) to re-structure supply chain networks during and post-pandemic. The
16 public transportation systems received more reliance to rescue the distribution operations ensuring
17 sourcing and storage management of perishables, food and other consumable commodities during
18 COVID19. But, the disruptions happened because of the non-functioning of warehouses due to the
19 dearth of labour and truck drivers' safety concerns in infected regions (Singh et al. 2020).
20

21
22 The service providers' safety and workplace sanitization are also essential here to retain the
23 continuation of necessary supplies. As per the outcomes of Khakan et al. (2022) and Joshi et al. (2022),
24 ICT offers safe and contactless workplace services for emergency planning and disaster risk reduction.
25 Such models could be further extended using data analytics and machine learning techniques to predict
26 disaster risks. Though recently multiple studies have been witnessed (Chakraborty et al. 2021) applying
27 technological solutions towards secure transportation during pandemics, further literature building on
28 "How the technology could play the role for quick, safe, and secure transportation of man and materials
29 to the epidemically affected terrains" is awaited challenge to address. Also, as an emergency response,
30 cash donations, charities, or in-kind aids proved to be effective reliefs to protect beneficiaries from
31 market externalities (García Castillo, 2021). Therefore, the role of ICT mediums such as Television, e-
32 mails, and social media must be pitched upon to re-frame CSR and NGO's fundraising policies and
33 supply emergency relief operations during pandemics. Further, the role of the community in a
34 collaborative humanitarian (civilians-policemen, health workers-corona warriors, public-private) mode
35 to assist food and necessary supplies in COVID19 restricted terrains is also expected to address (Bakhshi
36 et al. 2022). Also, sophisticated awareness and training programs would be helpful to encourage societal
37 communities to help combating the impact of a pandemic.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Abdul Rahman, N.A., Ahmi, A., Jraisat, L. and Upadhyay, A. (2022), "Examining the trend of humanitarian supply chain studies: pre, during and post COVID19-19 pandemic", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-01-2022-0012>.
- Anparasan, A. and Lejeune, M. (2017), "Analyzing the response to epidemics: concept of evidence-based Haddon matrix", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 266-283.
- Bakhshi, A., Aghsami, A. and Rabbani, M. (2022), "A scenario-based collaborative problem for a relief supply chain during post-disaster under uncertain parameters: a real case study in Dorud", *Journal of Modelling in Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-06-2021-0138>.
- Breitbarth, E., Groß, W. and Zienau, A. (2021), "Protecting vulnerable people during pandemics through home delivery of essential supplies: a distribution logistics model", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 227-247. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-07-2020-0062>.
- Chakraborty, S., Nadar, R.A. and Tiwari, A. (2021), "Designing a drone assisted sample collection and testing system during epidemic outbreaks", *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGOSS-02-2021-0010>.
- Cozzolino, A., Rossi, S. and Conforti, A. (2012), "Agile and lean principles in the humanitarian supply chain: the case of the United Nations World food programme", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 16-33.
- Dasaklis, D. K., Pappis, C.K., and Rachaniotis, N.P. (2012), "Epidemics control and logistics operations: A Review", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 139, pp. 393-410.
- García Castillo, J. (2021), "Deciding between cash-based and in-kind distributions during humanitarian emergencies", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 272-295. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-07-2020-0060>.
- Guo, H. and Xu, X. (2021), "Exploring the barriers that influence intention to donate and role of the charity shop within the multi-tier supply chain", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-07-2020-0055>.
- Ershadi, M.M. and Shemirani, H.S. (2021), "A multi-objective optimization model for logistic planning in the crisis response phase", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-11-2020-0108>.

- 1
2
3 Frederico, G.F. (2021), "Towards a Supply Chain 4.0 on the post-COVID19-19 pandemic: a conceptual
4 and strategic discussion for more resilient supply chains", *Rajagiri Management Journal*, Vol. ahead-
5 of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RAMJ-08-2020-0047>.
6
- 7 Harpring, R., Maghsoudi, A., Fikar, C., Piotrowicz, W.D. and Heaslip, G. (2021), "An analysis of
8 compounding factors of epidemics in complex emergencies: a system dynamics approach", *Journal of*
9 *Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 198-
10 226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-07-2020-0063>.
11
12
- 13 Haque, M. and Islam, R. (2018), "Impact of supply chain collaboration and knowledge sharing on
14 organizational outcomes in the pharmaceutical industry of Bangladesh", *Journal of Global Operations*
15 *and Strategic Sourcing*, Vol. 11 No. 3, pp. 301-320.
16
- 17 Handfield, R., Apte, A. and Finkenstadt, D.J. (2022), "Developing supply chain immunity for future
18 pandemic disruptions", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol.
19 ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-09-2021-0096>.
20
21
- 22 Jebbor, S., Raddouane, C. and El Afia, A. (2021), "A preliminary study for selecting the appropriate AI-
23 based forecasting model for hospital assets demand under disasters", *Journal of Humanitarian*
24 *Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-
25 print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-12-2020-0123>.
26
27
- 28 Joshi, Sudhanshu; Kumar, Anup; Sharma, Manu; Vishvakarma, Neeraj. (2022), "Digital Humanitarianism
29 and Crisis Management: An empirical study of antecedents and consequences", *Journal of*
30 *Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
31
32
- 33 Kebriyaii, O., Hamzehei, M. and Khalilzadeh, M. (2021), "A disaster relief commodity supply chain
34 network considering emergency relief volunteers: a case study", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics*
35 *and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-
36 print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-08-2020-0073>.
37
38
- 39 Kovacs, G., Moshtari, M., Kachali, H. and Polska, P. (2019), "Research methods in humanitarian logistics",
40 *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 325-331.
41
42
- 43 Li, Yiren, Kumar, Vikas and Hardman, Paul, (2020), "Managing supply chain visibility: The challenges of
44 the UK food sector", *Journal of Supply Chain Management, Logistics and Procurement*, 2(3): 261-275.
45
46
- 47 Maghsoudi, A., Zailani, S., Ramayah, T. and Pazirandeh, A. (2018), "Coordination of efforts in disaster
48 relief supply chains: the moderating role of resource scarcity and redundancy", *International Journal*
49 *of Logistics Research and Applications*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 407-430.
50
51
- 52 Mkansi, M., de Leeuw, S. and Amosun, O. (2019), "Mobile application supported urban-township e-
53 grocery distribution", *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 50
54 No. 1, pp. 26-53.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Najaf, Khakan; Dhiaf, Mohamed; Nasrallah, Nohade; Atayah, Osama; Marashdeh, Hazem. (2022), "Role
4 of ICT for workers' safety at the workplace during pandemics: Evidence from Global Data", *Journal*
5 *of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
6
7 Sahinyazan, Feyza G. (contact); Araz, Ozgur. (2022), "An Alternative Vaccine Prioritization Approach in
8 response to COVID19-19 Pandemic", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain*
9 *Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print.
10
11 Singh, S., Kumar, R., Panchal, R., and Tiwari, M.K. (2020), "Impact of COVID19-19 on logistics systems
12 and disruptions in food supply chain", *International Journal of Production Research*, Forthcomming.
13
14 Stauffer J.M., Pedraza-Martinez A.J., Yan L., Wassenhove L.N., (2020), "Asset supply networks in
15 humanitarian operations: A combined empirical simulation approach", *Journal of Operations*
16 *Management*, 63(1), 44-58.
17
18 Verma, Pratima; Kumar, Vimal; So, Tsz-Chun; Singh, Arpit. (2022), "Managing Supply Chains during
19 COVID19-19 Outbreak: A case of Hong Kong Toy Manufacturing Company", *Journal of*
20 *Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print
21
22 Yagci Sokat, K. and Altay, N. (2021), "Serving vulnerable populations under the threat of epidemics and
23 pandemics", *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp.
24 176-197. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-08-2020-0070>.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Appendix-I

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Article#	Author(s)	Addressed theme of proposed issue	Allied Research Question/Objective(s)	Methodology	Data/Geographical Scope	Findings	Contribution	Implications	Beneficiary Stakeholders	Humanitarian Policy/Organization Decision	Limitations	Future Research Mapping
567891011121314151617	Handfield et al. (2022)	Supply Chain readiness and preparedness against pandemic	How the way of managing humanitarian operations differ while handling supply chains during pandemic?	Engaged Scholarship	Interview with Defense Personnel and Supply Chain Managers	Immunity fraemwork for supply chain preparedness	COVID19-19 emergency response in the medical and healthcare supply chain systems, due to reliance on overseas manufacturing and insufficient strategic stockpile	Immunity Framework to develop supply chain preparedness	Medical Practitioners	Healthcare sector may plan emergency resilience policy against pandemics	Methodological Validation	Humanitarian
181920212223242526272829303132	Verma et al. (2022)	Supply Chain readiness and manufacturing preparedness against pandemic	Investigate impact of COVID19 on supply chain flow disruptions	Multi criterial Ranking Technique-TOPSIS	Semi-structured Interviews	Infrastructure and wages are primary challeges. Order fulfilment and supplier-customer relationships development are prominent opportunities	Challenges and Opportunities during COVID19-19	Improve Supply Chain Planning during Pademic	Supply Chain Practitioners	Manufacturing firms can measure and improve performance	More Concreate and accurate assessment method	Multi-modal COVID19 a



Ho
w
the
tec

