STUDIES ON THE RAPID DETECTION OF THE FOOD-BORNE PATHOGEN Listeria monocytogenes.

IAN HANCOCK

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the University of North London for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

JANUARY 1995

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

MISSING PAGE NUMBERS ON ORIGINAL THESIS

PAGE NUMBERING AS ORIGINAL I am indebted to Dr. P. McAthey and Dr. B. Bointon, my supervisors, for help, encouragement and criticism in preparing this thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude to my family and friends for their support, patience and encouragement.

I also thank the nearly Dr. T. Quinlan and Dr. Louise Tarbuck for their prime efforts in proof reading this project.

ABSTRACT

In this work a selective impedimetric assay was developed with the aim of reducing the presumptive detection time of the pathogenic foodborne microbe <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>.

For rapid detection of the target organism, the physical assay conditions and the nutritional composition of the impedimetric medium were optimised for the metabolism of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Impedance, the algorithm found to be most reliable and reproducible, was used to monitor the electrical responses of the target species and impedance magnitude and detection time were chosen as the signals for detection.

Antimicrobial agents were screened for their ability to eliminate the electrical signals produced by a range of bacterial and fungal species. The combination of 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam were found to permit the sole detection within 36 h of low concentrations of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u>. The electrical responses of these three organisms were delayed minimally by the selective agents at concentrations used. Temperature stressed cells of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could be detected without a non selective enrichment stage.

Two impedimetric procedures were developed for cheese suspensions. A direct assay, capable of enumerating the target species at concentrations greater than 10^2 CFU g⁻¹, and an assay for detecting very low levels of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (down to 10 CFU per 25 g) after 24 h of selective enrichment. These assays are economical in materials and are low in labour intensity. As traditional selective medium constituents are used, the safety of the assays is at least comparable with current techniques.

No false negative results were obtained in this work. However, a number of types of artefactual curves were recognised. The quality of electrical signals produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was found to be influenced by selective agents and by the chemical components and normal microflora of foodstuffs. For example, lithium chloride increased curve magnitude, while potassium tellurite decreased this parameter. Detection time was found to be much less affected by external factors and was therefore used as the major criterion for detection.

The biochemical basis of the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was investigated. While some success was obtained with detecting lactic acid and ammonia using commercially available test kits, problems were encountered with the quantification of low levels of organic acids by gas chromatography. However, it was argued that the primary metabolites likely to contribute to the impedimetric detection curve of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> are L-lactic acid and ammonium ions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
CKNOWLEDGEMENTSiii
BSTRACTiv
ABLE OF CONTENTS v
BBREVIATIONS ix
IST OF TABLES xi
IST OF FIGURES xvii
hapter

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 1.1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2.1 1.2.2	Review of microbial food poisoning Bacterial food poisoning Viral food poisoning Review of <u>Listeria</u> and listeriosis Characteristics of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> Listeriosis	1 5 7 7 15
1.2.3	chain	24
1.2.4 1.3 1.4	Resistance of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to food processing treatments Current food safety legislation in the UK Review of current methods used to monitor the	29 35
1.4.1 1.4.2 1.4.3	microbiological quality of loods Strategies for safety assurance Development of new microbiological procedures Traditional methods used in the detection	39 39 42
1.4.4	of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> Rapid methods used in the detection of	44
1.5 1.5.1 1.5.2 1.5.3 1.6	L. monocytogenes Review of impedimetric microbiology Theory of impedimetric microbiology Instrumentation Applications of impedance microbiology Aims of current work	48 52 53 58 62 67
2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	70
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.6.1 2.6.2	Test strains Microbiological media Routine growth of microorganisms Cell enumeration Spectrophotometric assay of microbial growth Impedimetric assay of microbial growth Curve interpretation Correlation between impedimetric data and plate count data	70 70 73 74 75 75 75

	P	age
2.7	Detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in food	-
<u> </u>	products	77
2.8	Selective enrichment of L. monocytogenes	78
2.9	Propagation of coll free outracts of culture	79
2.10	medium for biochemical analysis	00
2.11	Spectrophotometric method for the determination	00
2.1.2	of L-lactic acid	80
2.12	Spectrophotometric method for the determination	00
	of ammonia	84
2.13	Chromatographic method for the determination	•••
	of organic acids	85
2.14	Statistical analysis	86
2.15	Safety considerations	87
•		
3	EVALUATION OF GLUCUSE ENRICHED NUTRIENT BROTH	
	AS AN IMPEDIMETRIC MEDIUM FOR THE DETECTION	00
	AND ENOMERATION OF <u>II. MONOCYLOGENES</u>	00
3.1	Introduction	88
3.2	Results	96
3.2.1	Comparison of the available electrical	
	algorithms for the detection of	
	L. monocytogenes	97
3.2.2	Comparison of the impedance curves produced by	
	four test species of microorganisms in GNB	100
3.2.3	Determination of the limits of detection of	
	L. monocytogenes by impedimetric assay	105
3.2.4	Evaluation of the effect of ph on the	100
3 2 5	Impedimetric detection of <u>is monocytogenes</u>	TOD
J.2.J	concentration on the impedimetric detection	
	of L. monocytogenes	110
3.3	Discussion	114
_		
4	EVALUATION OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS FOR USE	
	IN A SELECTIVE IMPEDIMETRIC ASSAY FOR	
	<u>L. monocytogenes</u>	L24
A 1	Tatuaduatia	24
4.1	Regults - Individual actimic robial aconts	124
A 2 1	Investigation of palidivic acid as an agent	. 2 /
7.4.+	selective for L monocytogenes	28
4.2.2	Investigation of thallous acetate as an	
	agent selective for L. monocytogenes	.32
4.2.3	Investigation of moxalactam as an agent	
	selective for L. monocytogenes 1	.39
4.2.4	Investigation of lithium chloride as an agent	
	selective for L. monocytogenes1	.47
4.2.5	Investigation of phenylethanol as an agent	-
	selective for L. monocytogenes	.51
4.2.0	Investigation of potassium tellurite as an	E 7
A 2 7	agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>	.53
7.4./	Relective for L. monocytogenes	56
	sereerise for The Workschendeneg	00

Page 4.2.8 Investigation of acriflavine hydrochloride as an agent selective for L. monocytogenes....160 4.2.9 Investigation of proflavine hydrochloride as an agent selective for L. monocytogenes....169 Discussion - Individual antimicrobial agents....178 4.3 Results - Combinations of antimicrobial agents..180 4.4 4.4.1 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of test species.....180 4.4.2 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species......185 4.4.3 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species..190 4.4.4 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.....192 4.4.5 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.....211 4.4.6 Evaluation of the effect of fungal species and antifungal agents on the impedimetric ammonium-ferric citrate on the impedimetric 4.4.8 Evaluation of the effect of L-rhamnose on the impedimetric responses of test species......228 4.5 EVALUATION OF THE IMPEDIMETRIC ASSAY FOR THE 5 DETECTION AND ENUMERATION OF FOODBORNE 5.1 5.2 5.2.1 Comparison of the efficiency of methods of dissociating microorganisms from solid food 5.2.2 Evaluation of the effect of temperature stress on the impedimetric responses of 5.2.3 Evaluation of the direct impedimetric assay for the detection of L. monocytogenes in spiked 5.2.4 Development of a more sensitive impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.....253 5.3 EVALUATION OF THE METABOLIC BASIS OF THE б 6.1 6.2

		Page
6.2.1	Investigation of L-lactic acid produced by	-
	test species	.287
6.2.2	Investigation of ammonia produced by test	
<pre>c</pre>	species	.287
0.2.3	Investigation of carboxylic acids produced by	200
6 2		.290
0.5	DISCUSSION	. 293
7	OVERALL DISCUSSION	.302
71	Critical evaluation of the technique developed.	302
7.1.1	Effect of pre-assay conditions	.303
7.1.2	Effect of assav conditions	.304
7.2	Applicability of the developed impedimetric	
	assay	.309
7.3	Comparison of the selective impedimetric assay with other methods of determining the	
	effectiveness of toxic agents	.310
7.4	Comparison of the selective impedimetric assay	
	with other rapid methods of detecting	
	L. monocytogenes	.312
7.5	Suggestions for further work	.314
7.5.1	Strategies to improve the selectivity of the	
	selective impedimetric assay	.314
7.5.2	Strategies to improve the rapidity of the	215
7 5 2	Selective impedimentic assay	. 313
1.5.5	target species from foodstuffs prior to the	
	selective impedimetric assav	.316
7.5.4	Strategies to develop confirmatory tests for	
	L. monocytogenes	.318
REFERE	ENCES LIST	.319

ABBREVIATIONS

ANB	aesculin nutrient broth
AOAC	association of official analytical chemists
Apr	April
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
λήρ	adenosine triphosphate
	Aumist
R	Britich standard
	erbitramy units of conductance change
BUCO	arbitrary units of capacitance change
BUCA	arbitrary units of capacitance change
BUIC	arbitiary units of impedance change
C	
CFU	colony forming unit
COSSH	control of substance hazardous to health
Cpol	polarisaton capacitance
Dec	December
DIFT	direct immunofluorescent test
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DT	detection time
DTH	delayed type hypersensitivity
E	voltage
EIA	enzyme immunoassay
ELISA	enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
EMP	Embden Meyerhof Parnas
Fig.	figure
GNB	glucose enriched nutrient broth
G	conductance
h	hour
HACCP	hazard analysis / critical control point
I	current
ISA	immunosuppressive activity
Jan	January
Jul	July
Jun	June
LPM	lithium chloride, phenylethanol, moxalactam
Ltd	limited
Mar	March
MPA	monocytosis producing agent
mol. wt.	molecular weight
NAD	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADase	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotidease
NADH	reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NCIB	National Collection of Industrial Bacteria
NCTC	National Collection of Type Cultures
NH4 ⁺	ammonium ion
NK	not known
No.	number
Nov	November
NS	not stated
NT	not tested
Oct	October
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PRR	peak response rate
PRT	peak response time
r	correlation coefficient
R	electrical resistance

rapid automated bacterial impedance technique
radioimmunoassay
ribonucleic acid
L-rhamnose nutrient broth
polarisation resistance
bulk fluid resistance
standard deviation
September
subspecies
t-Test Value
total viable count
ultra heat treatment
United Kingdom
United States of America
United States Department of Agriculture
World Health Organisation
impedance

.

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page Properties of <u>Listeria</u> strains 10
1.2	Summary of data on outbreaks of human listeriosis 1955 to 1987
1.3	Incidence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in a variety of foodstuffs
2.1	Test strains of microorganisms used
3.1	Electrical responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB monitored simultaneously by impedance, conductance and capacitance 98
3.2	The mean impedance magnitudes of four test species in GNB101
3.3	Statistical analysis of the impedance magnitudes produced by the four test species in GNB104
3.4	Comparison of TVC and impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB106
3.5	The effect of pH on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994109
3.6	The effect of sodium chloride concentration on the impedimetric responses of four test species
4.1	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of heat sterilised nalidixic acid on the growth of test species in GNB129
4.2	The effect of heat sterilised nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures
4.3	The effect of filter sterilised nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of mixed cultures of test species
4.4	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of thallous acetate on the growth of test species in GNB134
4.5	The effect of thallous acetate on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures

		Page
4.6	The effect of thallous acetate on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Pseudomonas fluorescens</u>	139
4.7	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of moxalactam on the growth of test species in GNB	140
4.8	The effect of moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures	142
4.9	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of moxalactam on the growth of test species in GNB	144
4.10	The effect of the sodium salt of moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of four test species	146
4.11	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of lithium chloride on the growth of test species in GNB	148
4.12	The effect of lithium chloride on the impedimetric responses of test species	149
4.13	The effect of lithium chloride on the impedimetric responses of two test species	152
4.14	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of potassium tellurite on the growth of test species in GNB	154
4.15	The effect of potassium tellurite on the impedimetric responses of the test species <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>	155
4.16	The effect of oxolinic acid on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB	157
4.17	The effect of oxolinic acid on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB	159
4.18	Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the growth of test species in GNB	L 62
4.19	The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB	63
4.20	The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of mixed cultures of test species	.65

4.21	The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB166
4.22	The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of three lactic acid bacteria168
4.23	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of four test species170
4.24	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of different serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> 171
4.25	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of <u>Listeria</u> spp. and <u>Jonesia denitrificans</u> 173
4.26	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of non haemolytic food isolates (<u>L. monocytogenes</u> or <u>L. innocua</u>)174
4.27	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of haemolytic food isolates (<u>L. monocytogenes</u>)
4.28	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of non target Gram positive test species
4.29	The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of Gram negative test species
4.30	The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures
4.31	The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u> in pure and mixed culture184
4.32	The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species
4.33	The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species
4.34	The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species191

		Page
4.35	The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test	
	species and mixed cultures	194
4.36	The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetr responses of individual test species and mixed cultures	ic 197
4.37	Statistical analysis of the DT's produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in the four mixed cultures	199
4.38	Statistical analysis of the impedance magnitude produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in the four mixed cultures	s 200
4.39	Impedimetric responses of a range of inoculum concentrations of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in 20 mg dm ⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB	202
4.40	The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures	206
4.41	The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of various strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>	208
4.42	The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of <u>Listeria</u> food isolates	210
4.43	The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species	212
4.44	The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species	213
4.45	The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures	215
4.46	The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of <u>Listeria</u> food isolates	219

4.47	Impedimetric responses of a range of inoculum concentrations of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 5348 in 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB	Page 220
4.48	The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride, moxalactam and amphotericin B or ketoconazole on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994, <u>P. claviforme</u> and <u>P. roqueforte</u> 2	24
4.49	The effect of aesculin and ammonium-ferric citrate on the impedimetric responses <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 119942	27
4.50	The effect of L-rhamnose on the impedimetric responses of L. welshimeri and L. innocua2	29
4.51	The effect of L-rhamnose on the impedimetric responses of strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Listeria</u> food isolates2	31
5.1	Comparison of the cell count and impedimetric responses obtained after three different methods of dissociating the normal microflora from cheddar cheese2	48
5.2	The effect of temperature stress on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 119942	50
5.3	Impedimetric responses of the microflora in a range of cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in unsupplemented GNB and GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam2	52
5.4	Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam after various lengths of enrichment in the same doubly supplemented medium2	54
5.5	Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam after various lengths of enrichment in the doubly supplemented medium2	56

- 5.6 The pH profile of cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) during enrichment in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.....260
- 5.7 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994) in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after 24 h one stage or two stage enrichment......261
- 5.8 Impedimetric responses of a range of test species in unsupplemented GNB and in GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam in the absence and presence of cheese......264
- 5.10 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after enrichment in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB......270
- 5.11 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after enrichment in 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB......271

	rage
5.12	Impedimetric responses of the microflora in stilton cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 7973) in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam after enrichment in GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam274
6.1	Spectrophotometric assay of the concentration of L-lactic acid in impedimetric media and cell free extracts
6.2	Spectrophotometric assay of the concentration of ammonia in impedimetric media and cell free extracts

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Environmental cycle of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>	. 27
1.2	Illustration of a) the position of an electrochemical well within the electric circuit and b) the impedimetric circuit	. 55
1.3	Schematic diagram of a) a Bactometer M64 microbial monitor and b) a module containing 16 reaction wells	59
1.4	Idealised impedimetric reaction well	61
1.5	Relationship between bacterial concentration, ionic concentration and impedimetric detection	63
3.1	Electrical curves illustrating a qualitative type assay for the detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and, for comparison, <u>Ps. aeruginosa</u> , the morphology of a curve indicating species	90
3.2	Electrical curves illustrating a quantitative type assay for the detection of a target organism (such as <u>L. monocytogenes</u>), the production of a curve as opposed to a flat baseline indicating the presence of the target species	92

Page

	Page
3.3	Comparison of an electrical curve displaying good morphological characteristics with others with characteristics which are difficult to interpret
3.4	The mean, range and SD of the magnitude of the impedance, conductance and capacitance signals produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB
3.5	Typical impedimetric responses of four test species in GNB102
3.6	The mean, range and SD of the magnitude of the impedimetric signal produced by four test species in GNB103
3.7	Typical impedimetric responses of a range of inoculum concentrations of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB107
3.8	Relationship between impedance DT and TVC in L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994
3.9	Effect of sodium chloride concentration on the impedance magnitude of four test species111
3.10	Illustration of a variety of types of artefactual curve113
4.1	Relationship between inoculum concentration and DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in 20 mg dm ⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB203
4.2	Relationship between inoculum concentration and DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 5348 in 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm ⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB221
6.1	Relationship between ammonium ion concentration in aqueous solution and absorbance at 570 nm. The Berthelot reaction was used to convert ammonia to chromogenic indophenol289
6.2	The gas chromatographic separation of 5.2 g dm ⁻³ acetic, 4.9 g dm ⁻³ proprionic, 4.8 g dm ⁻³ butyric, 4.7 g dm ⁻³ pentanoic, 4.6 g dm ⁻³ hexanoic, 4.6 g dm ⁻³ heptanoic acids and 9.01 g dm ⁻³ lactic acid in GNB292

Persius, 34-62 AD:

Venienti occurrite morbo

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Review of microbial food poisoning

Microbial food poisoning is responsible for major economic losses; in the United States (US) it is second only to the common cold in causing absence from work (Madden <u>et al.</u>, 1986). Food forms the bulk of foreign material encountered by people every day. In many situations it probably has a passive role in transmission of pathogens, the microorganisms not growing in the food, but only being transmitted by it (Archer & Young, 1988). Traditional symptoms of food poisoning include diarrhoea and vomiting, but other symptoms can occur and in severe cases death can result (Kvenberg, 1988). In England and Wales the incidence of microbial food poisoning has increased 300 % between 1981 and 1990 (Adams & Roper, 1990). Both bacteria and viruses have been implicated in its causation.

1.1.1 Bacterial food poisoning

Foodborne bacterial illnesses can be subdivided into two types, infections and intoxications. The first type of illness is caused by ingestion of the causative microbe which then either invades the intestinal epithelia or produces toxins. Examples include food poisoning caused by <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>, <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, <u>Yersinia enterolitica</u>, <u>Campylobacter jejuni</u>,

Vibrio parahaemolyticus and Clostridium perfringens (Archer & Young, 1988; Dirksen & Flagg, 1988, Madden <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, 1986). In the second type the presence of viable microorganisms in the food is not necessary as the illness is caused by the ingestion of a preformed toxin. Examples include food poisoning caused by <u>Cl. botulinum</u>, <u>Bacillus cereus</u> and <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u>.

Archer & Young (1988) reported that while <u>Salmonella</u> spp. produced the largest number of cases of food poisoning in the US and other industrialised nations during the last decade, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> caused the outbreak with the highest mortality. <u>Listeria</u>, responsible for 291 cases of listeriosis and 63 deaths in England and Wales in 1988 (Adams & Roper, 1990), will be discussed in detail in Section 1.2.

The salmonellae are small, Gram negative, nonsporing rods. They are widely distributed in nature, with the intestinal tract of animals and humans being their primary reservoirs. <u>S. typhimurium</u> and <u>S. enteritidis</u> are the most commonly found foodborne serovars. The virulence of salmonellae possibly involves two toxins, an enterotoxin and a cytotoxin (Jay, 1992). In 1989 25,000 cases of salmonellosis occurred in England and Wales (Adams & Roper, 1990).

Yersinia spp. are found in the intestinal tract and faeces of animals and in raw and pasteurised milk. While Y. pestis was the cause of the plague, the species of interest in foods is Y. enterocolitica. Ingestion of this organism can cause the typical symptoms of

gastroenteritis (Dirksen & Flagg, 1988).

<u>Y. enterocolitica</u> produces a heat stable enterotoxin that survives at 100 °C for 20 minutes, although virulence appears to be a result of tissue invasiveness (Jay, 1992). There were 580 cases of <u>Y. enterolitica</u> infections in England and Wales in 1989 (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991). <u>Yersinia</u> is an emerging pathogen, its true importance has only begun to be realised (Archer & Young, 1988).

Campylobacter spp. can be found in raw milk, unchlorinated drinking water, undercooked chicken, raw shellfish and mushrooms (Dirksen & Flagg, 1988). The species of primary importance in foods is <u>C. jejuni</u>. It is a spirally curved, microaerophilic Gram negative rod. <u>C. jejuni</u> produces a heat labile enterotoxin that is similar in some properties to the enterotoxins of the water borne pathogens <u>V. cholerae</u> and <u>E. coli</u>, the causal agents of cholera and tourists diarrhoea respectively (Jay, 1992). <u>Campylobacter</u> food poisoning is now the commonest form of infective diarrhoea in most developed countries with incidence exceeding that of salmonellosis (Skirrow, 1991; Baggerman & Koster, 1992). In 1989 approximately 32,000 cases occurred in England and Wales (Adams & Roper, 1990; Baggerman & Koster, 1992).

Vibriosis, a gastroenteric syndrome caused by Gram negative <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u>, is contracted almost solely from seafood. <u>V. parahaemolyticus</u> produces a heat stable haemolysin which correlates to pathogenicity. Infection by this organism results in mild symptoms, hospitalisations being rare. Over the last 20 years

environmental strains of <u>Vibrio</u> spp. have become established as pathogens of man. In the United Kingdom (UK) vibriosis is relatively infrequent compared to other developed countries such as the US, infections mainly being carried into the country from abroad (West, 1989).

Three Gram positive spore-forming rods are known to cause bacterial food poisoning: <u>Cl. perfringens</u>, <u>Cl. botulinum and B. cereus</u> (Jay, 1992). Large numbers of viable <u>Cl. perfringens</u> cells must be ingested to cause symptoms (Jay, 1992). There are two different types of food poisoning associated with this organism: type A is a mild form of food poisoning with classic symptoms, whilst type C is more severe and is known as necrotic enteritis (Granum, 1990). Due to the mildness of type A poisoning the true incidence of <u>Cl. perfringens</u> enteritis has been said to be unknown (Granum, 1990), however Waites & Arbuthnott (1991) stated that the number of reported cases in the UK increased by 46% between 1986 and 1988 and in the latter year 1312 cases were recorded (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991).

Botulism is caused by the anaerobic sporeformer, <u>Cl. botulinum</u>. Some of the symptoms, which develop between 12 and 72 h after ingestion of the toxin-containing food, include double vision, vomiting, fatigue, dizziness, headache, paralysis of muscles, and finally respiratory failure and death. The mortality rate is variable between 30 and 65%, depending on host susceptibility (Jay, 1992). Although <u>Cl. botulinum</u> is rare in the UK, an outbreak, associated with the

consumption of hazelnut yogurt, occurred in 1989 consisting of 27 cases with one fatality. In the previous 10 years only one case had been reported (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991).

<u>B. cereus</u>, an aerobe normally present in soil, dust and water, has been known as a food poisoning organism in Europe since at least 1906. Symptoms are caused by two enterotoxins, a diarrhoeagenic toxin and an emetic toxin (Shinagawa, 1990; Jay, 1992). There were 110 reported outbreaks of <u>B. cereus</u> food poisoning in the UK between 1971 and 1978 (Shinagawa, 1990). More recently incidence of <u>Bacillus</u> spp. infection in the UK has been reported to be low. In 1986 there were 65 cases and in 1987 137 cases. However in 1988 an increase to 418 cases was reported. Most of these incidents of food poisoning were caused by <u>B. cereus</u> (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991).

Staphylococci can be expected to occur in all food products of animal origin or foods handled directly by humans. A high percentage of people are carriers of <u>Staph. aureus</u>, with approximately 50% of adults harbouring the organism in the nasal cavity (Jay, 1992). The five proteinaceous toxins of <u>Staph. aureus</u> are to some degree heat resistant and therefore need thorough heat processing for degradation. In 1989 there were 100 reported cases of <u>Staph. aureus</u> intoxication in the UK (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991).

1.1.2 Viral food poisoning
Foodborne viral gastroenteritis was first recognised in

1968 in Norwalk, US (Halligan, 1992). The most common sources of infection are shellfish, due to these animals concentrating particles during filter feeding (Jay, 1992), and foods that have been contaminated by food handlers (Halligan, 1992).

There are two main types of foodborne virus, the Norwalk type virus and hepatitis A (Halligan, 1992). In the UK approximately 90 % of reported outbreaks of viral gastroenteritis are instigated by the former virus (Halligan, 1992) which is endemic here (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991). The main characteristic of infection by the Norwalk agent is uncontrollable vomiting within 36 hours (h) of contact with the contaminated foodstuff (Halligan, 1992). The first confirmed outbreak of foodborne Norwalk gastroenteritis in the UK occurred in the South of England in 1976 and 1977 and was associated with contaminated cockles. In 1989 only 379 cases of this and similar types of viral infection were reported, possibly due to the difficulty of virological investigation (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991).

The main symptom of hepatitis A infection is jaundice which takes 4 to 6 weeks to develop. The virus is therefore very difficult to control amongst food handlers (Halligan, 1992). An outbreak of hepatitis A occurred between 1989 and 1991 in Gloucester where 162 cases were identified (Majeed <u>et al</u>., 1992). A large number of subclinical infections must also occur, however, as there is serological evidence of infection by hepatitis A in 20 to 30 % of young adults (Waites &

Arbuthnott, 1991).

1.2 Review of Listeria and listeriosis

1.2.1 Characteristics of L. monocytogenes

L. monocytogenes was first described in 1926 by Murray et al. who reported an epidemic in laboratory rabbits and guinea pigs. Infection was caused by a microbe they called <u>Bacterium monocytogenes</u> due to its production of mononuclear leukocytes in the laboratory animals. In 1927 Pirie isolated the same bacterium from infected gerbils and named the genus to which it belonged <u>Listerella</u> in honour of the surgeon Lord Lister. For taxonomic reasons this name was later changed to <u>Listeria</u> (Pirie, 1940). <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> was soon proven to be a pathogen of man. In 1942 Cotoni and Dumont identified an organism that they had previously isolated from the cerebrospinal fluid of a soldier in France in 1919 as <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Cotoni, 1942).

The genus <u>Listeria</u> was originally monotypic and contained only <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (McLauchlin, 1987; Jones, 1989). By 1987, however, it had been expanded to include 8 species: <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>L. ivanovii</u>, <u>L. innocua</u>, <u>L. welshimeri</u>, <u>L. seeligeri</u>, <u>L. murrayi</u>, <u>L. grayi</u> and <u>L. denitrificans</u> (McLauchlin, 1987). Since this time <u>L. denitrificans</u> which had little to justify its inclusion in this genus (Lovett, 1990), has been reclassified as <u>Jonesia denitrificans</u> on the basis of numerical taxonomic, biochemical, serological, morphological and nucleic acid studies. Nucleic acid hybridisation studies have also indicated that the genus

<u>Listeria</u> has two distinct lines of descent: i) <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>L. ivanovii</u>, <u>L. innocua</u>, <u>L. seeligeri</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> and ii) <u>L. grayi</u> and <u>L. murrayi</u> (Rocourt, 1989).

L. monocytogenes, the main organism of study in this research, is a Gram positive bacillus, at times appearing as a diptheroid like rod. It is a relatively small measuring 1.0 µm to 4.0 µm in length and 0.5 µm in width. It has a single polar flagellum and is actively motile between 18 °C and 22 °C with characteristic tumbling and rotating motions. L. monocytogenes is a facultative organism able to grow on a wide variety of substrates. The growth of the organism is enhanced by glutamine, glucose and ferric chloride (Kramer & Jones, 1969), serum and blood (Leighton, 1985).

On the basis of the antigenic structure of the outer layers of the cell <u>L. monocytogenes</u> has been divided into a number of serological types (serotypes): 1/2a, 1/2b, 1/2c, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4ab, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, and 7 (Seeliger, 1984; Lovett, 1990). Serotypes 1/2, 3 and 4 have all been identified from cases of human listeriosis in the UK between 1967 and 1984 (McLauchlin <u>et al</u>., 1986). A second typing system was developed by Audurier <u>et al</u>. (1979) based on the lytic properties of a set of bacteriophages. This can be used to lysotype 57.7 % of serotype 1/2 strains, 88 % of serotype 4 and less than half of the other serotypes. However, due to inherent problems in reproducibility, work is in progress to develop other typing systems (McLauchlin, 1987).

L. monocytogenes is capable of growth over a wide temperature range. It is usually classified as being psychrotrophic (Junttila <u>et al</u>., 1988), with optimum growth occurring at 30 °C (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Leighton, 1985). It can also survive heating at 50 °C for 75 minutes (Golden <u>et al</u>., 1988b) and Lemaire <u>et al</u>. (1989) have reported that serotype 1 is significantly more heat resistant than serotype 4. In addition L. monocytogenes can withstand extremes of pH, growing within the range of 5.5 to 9.5 (Wehr, 1987; George <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1988).

L. monocytogenes is widespread in nature (Junttila et al., 1988). It has been found in a variety of environments including soil, vegetation and water from both farms and non agricultural lands (Heisick et al., 1989a; Skovgaard, 1989; Al-Ghazali & Al-Azawi, 1990). It has also been cultured from healthy and diseased humans and a variety of animals, birds, fish and crustacea (World Health Organisation (WHO) Working Group, 1988; Schönberg, 1989). Animals and humans can also be healthy enteric carriers (WHO Working Group, 1988).

Ralovich (1989) investigated the virulence of a number of strains of <u>Listeria</u> spp. The results of the survey, summarised in Table 1.1, indicate that while both virulent and avirulent strains are able to adhere and penetrate, only the former can multiply within eukaryotic cells. This study utilised procedures described by

Vir	ulent	Strains	Aviru	lent S	trains
+	+	+	t	-	-
+	+	+	-	-	-
+	+	-	-	-	-
+	+	NT	NT	-	-
+	+	+	NT	+	+
+	+	+	NT	+	+
+	+	+	NT	-	-
			 Kev		
	vir + + + + + + + + +	<pre>virulent + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +</pre>	<pre>Virulent Strains</pre>	Virulent Strains Aviru + + + + + + + + + + + NT + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + * + + * + + * + + * + + * + + * + + * + +	Virulent Strains Avirulent S + + + + + + + + + + + + + + NT + + + + + NT + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + * + + * + + * + +

NT = not tested

Table 1.1 Properties of <u>Listeria</u> strains. Each of the six columns represents the response of one or a group of strains.

Schönberg (1989). To demonstrate ß haemolysis either a simple blood agar plate is streaked or a more complicated CAMP test was carried out. The CAMP test involved streaking a culture of <u>Staph. aureus</u>, <u>Rhodococcus equi</u> or <u>Micrococcus lylae</u> across the centre of a blood agar plate. The <u>Listeria</u> strain was then streaked perpendicular to and within 3 mm of the line of the first inoculum. After overnight incubation at 37°C the plate was examined. A positive reaction was an arrowhead shaped zone of haemolysis around the test streak. Several <u>in-vivo</u> tests involved injecting mice and chick embryos. Only pathogenic strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> killed the test animal within 48 h. In another <u>in-vivo</u> test, the Antons eye test, one drop of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> containing

 10^{6} cells was dropped into the eyes of a rabbit or guinea pig. A positive response here was the development of conjunctivitis. To test for adhesion, penetration and multiplication Ralovich <u>et al</u>. (1986) used light and electron microscopic studies for visual examination of the invasion of keratoconjunctival epithelial membranes by the <u>Listeria</u> strains. Gaillard <u>et al</u>. (1987a) using different techniques came to the same general conclusions as Ralovich (1989).

The pathogenic process or infection is a result of the virulence factors of a pathogen allowing it to grow at a faster rate than the death rate caused by a hosts defence mechanisms (Cowart, 1987). L. monocytogenes has many such virulence factors. The major virulence factor is a cytotoxic haemolysin, called listeriolysin 0 or alpha listeriolysin (Junttila et al., 1988; Schönberg, 1989; Ralovich, 1989). The existence of this extracellular haemolysin was demonstrated as early as 1941 (Harvey & Farber, 1941). Most researchers are certain there is a relationship between haemolysis and pathogenicity in the genus Listeria. All Listeria strains from patients or people that have died from listeriosis, cause ß type haemolysis on blood agar (Ralovich, 1989). Both L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii can be haemolytic and pathogenic. Other species in the genus, L. innocua, L. welshimeri, L. gravi and L. murravi cannot produce haemolysis and are considered to be non pathogenic (Berche et al., 1987; Cluff & Ziegler, 1987; Schönberg,

1989). There is one exception, however, haemolytic but avirulent <u>L. seeligeri</u> (Smola, 1989).

Listeriolysin O, which is very similar to streptolysin O and pneumolysin, has a molecular weight of 58 kilo Daltans (kDa) (Flamm <u>et al</u>., 1989; Kathariou & Pine, 1990), and is made up of 504 amino acids. <u>L. ivanovii</u> and <u>L. seeligeri</u> produce thiol dependent exotoxins that are similar but not identical to listeriolysin O. Listeriolysin O is mainly produced in the exponential growth phase and is active at pH 5.5 but not at pH 7.0, suggesting a role in macrophage phagosomes (phagocytic vacuoles) (Jay, 1992).

Gaillard <u>et al</u>. (1987b) determined the main function of listeriolysin O was the disruption of membranes to allow the bacteria to escape from the phagocytic vacuoles of host cells to replicate in and utilise components of the cytoplasm. Cowart (1987) suggested a second role for listeriolysin O was in the acquisition of iron, a limiting factor for growth of pathogens during infection. Listeriolysin O causes the disruption of red blood cells thereby permitting the mobilisation of iron from haemoglobin. Cowart (1987) found that haemolysin production in <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is precisely regulated by the external iron concentration and that the organism produces another siderophore, a soluble reductant, that mobilises iron from transferrin in serum.

In addition to listeriolysin O and the soluble reductant <u>L. monocytogenes</u> produces many more substances which enables it to resist host defences and therefore

could be considered to be virulence factors. Flamm <u>et al</u>. (1989) investigated a major secreted polypeptide that was capable of lysing erythrocytes. They found it to have a molecular weight of 60 kDa and suggested it could be ß listeriolysin, a lipase or a protease. Kuhn and Goebel (1989) also reported a major secreted polypeptide of 60 kDa, made up of 484 amino acids, which they designated P60. This factor could be involved in uptake of L. monocytogenes by non professional phagocytic cells. Notermans <u>et al</u>. (1989a) described a delayed type hypersensitivity factor (DTH) that significantly correlates with pathogenicity. While Hof and Chatzipanagiotou (1987) assigned this activity to a cell wall protein, Galsworthy (1987) suggested a peptidoglycan was responsible.

In the 1940's it was shown that phenol water extracts of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could induce the production of monocytes (Stanley, 1949). The monocytosis producing agent (MPA) was analysed by Galsworthy (1987) and found to be an anionic material associated with the plasma membrane. It has a molecular weight of approximately 1000 and contains no amino acids or carbohydrates. The ability of a pathogen to promote the production of cells destined to destroy it appears paradoxical and the precise role of the MPA in the overall pathogenicity of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> remains unclear. Galsworthy (1987) also reported a immunosuppressive activity (ISA) which suppresses the antibody producing ability of spleen cells from <u>Listeria</u> infected animals. ISA, which is present in aqueous

extracts of heat killed cells, has a molecular weight of 150 kDa and contains amino acids, carbohydrates, phosphorous and glycerol. Galsworthy (1987) has suggested that both ISA and MPA may act by confusing the production and function of macrophages.

L. monocytogenes does not possess a capsule, important for virulence in other bacterial species (Hof & Chatzipanagiotou, 1987). It does, however, have a lipid component that shares a number of similarities with the lipopolysaccharide typical of the outer membranes of Gram negative bacteria including pyrogenicity and lethality to rabbits. In addition both contain acylated hydroxy fatty acids, 2-keto-3-deoxyoctonic acid and heptose (Wexler & Oppenheim, 1979). The lipopolysaccaride-like fraction of L. monocytogenes is approximately 6% of the dry weight of the cell and is associated with the plasma membrane (Wexler & Oppenheim, 1979). It possesses low tissue toxicity and is serologically inactive (Stanley, 1949), but kills macrophages <u>in vitro</u> (Galsworthy & Fewster, 1988).

Barclay <u>et al</u>. (1989a; 1989b) investigated a number of extracellular products that may also be associated with the virulence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. They detected extracellular lipolytic activity as well as a number of hydrolytic activities such as phospholipase C, acid phosphatase, neutral phosphatase, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotidease (NADase), lipase and esterase. Although the action of many of these substances was originally ascribed to the haemolysin, Barclay <u>et al</u>. (1989a) did

comment that the exoproteins might act synergistically.

L. monocytogenes also produces enzymes which protect it from destructive oxidative chemicals found in the phagocytic vacuoles of macrophages. Examples include catalase and superoxide dismutase which inactivate peroxide and the superoxide anion respectively (Bortolussi <u>et al</u>., 1987; Welch, 1987; Benedict, 1990). Furthermore, Galsworthy (1987) suggested the resistance of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to macrophage lysozyme might be explained by the finding that its peptidoglycan contains glucosamine residues with free amino groups, but did not elucidate further. Possibly the lysozyme is denied access to the target site in the cell by these amino groups.

1.2.2 Listeriosis

Human listeriosis includes a number of clinical manifestations characterised by symptoms which are initially similar to influenza. Neonatal and adult meningitis are the most common of these conditions. In addition, abortion, stillbirth, granulomatosis, biliary atresia, internal and external abscesses, subacute bacterial endocarditis and opportunistic infections of immunosuppressed adults and neonates have been reported (Leighton, 1985; WHO Working Group, 1988; Lacey & Kerr, 1989). Air borne infection can lead to the fatal pneumonic form of listeriosis (Skovgaard, 1989). The effects of listerial infection are therefore very serious.

The WHO Working Group (1988) reviewed recent data on

human listeriosis and concluded that it is predominantly transmitted by non-zoonotic means. While soil may be the source of the organism, transmission to man is primarily from the environment and food surfaces. Other less common forms of transmission include insect vectors, oral and venereal routes (Leighton, 1985; McLauchlin, 1987). Hird (1987) elucidated direct zoonotic transmission of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from animals to man and cited the example of a farmworker who contracted listeriosis after cleaning a stable contaminated by a sheep infected with the same serotype of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Cutaneous listeriosis in vets who attend stillbirths and abortions in cattle and conjunctivitis in poultry workers have also been documented.

A number of species in the genus <u>Listeria</u> have been implicated in the causation of listeriosis. While <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is the principal pathogen, some researchers have commented that <u>L. seeligeri</u> and <u>L. ivanovii</u> can also cause sporadic cases (Schlech, 1989; Schönberg, 1989; Terplan & Steinmeyer, 1989). McLauchlin (1987) stated that four <u>Listeria</u> species have caused infections in animals and man: <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>L. ivanovii</u>, <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. seeligeri</u>, but in only three, two and one cases respectively were <u>L. ivanovii</u>, <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. seeligeri</u> responsible for human listeriosis. However, 10 % of animal infections are caused by <u>L. ivanovii</u>, mainly ovine abortion.

Over the last 10 to 15 years, the numbers of cases of listeriosis in the UK has risen about 6 to 8 fold

(Adams & Roper, 1990). In 1988 there were 291 cases, with 63 fatalities (Greenwood et al., 1991; Adams & Roper, 1990). Gill (1988) stated that the overall mortality rate is approximately 30 %, but mortality sometimes rises as high as 60 % for specific groups including the elderly, seriously immunocompromised adults, neonates and developing foeti. Lucas and Levin (1989) reported that mortality amongst un-treated patients is approximately 70 %. These data indicate that listeriosis is the commonest cause of death from microbial food poisoning (Lacey & Kerr, 1989). The latter authors have suggested that the rise in the number of cases is due to the increased use of refrigeration in the food industry, as at low temperatures this psychrotrophic organism can synthesise its major virulence factor, listeriolysin 0, in increased amounts (Junttila et al., 1988).

Outbreaks of human listeriosis have been associated with a range of serotypes but as yet no correlation has been found between serotypes and particular clinical illnesses (WHO Working Group, 1988). Over a period of years Ralovich (1984) observed a change in the distribution of serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> isolated from human beings. In the UK during the 1960's serotype 1/2 was isolated most frequently, whilst during the 1970's serotype 4 was predominant (Taylor, 1980). In the early 1980's the ratio of serotype 4 to serotype 1 was almost unity (Ralovich, 1984), but in the late 1980's Donnelly and Briggs (1986) and Schönberg <u>et al</u>. (1989) reported serotype 4b to cause most human listeriosis. A
more recent study (Greenwood <u>et al</u>., 1991), found 80 % of strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> isolated from human infections to be of serotype 4.

McLauchlin <u>et al</u>. (1986) and Kvenberg (1988) both reviewed reports of outbreaks of human listeriosis which occurred in different parts of the world during the last 40 years (data summarised in Table 1.2). As indicated in the table, a number of these outbreaks have been directly associated with the intake of particular foods.

To date information regarding the infectious dose of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is unclear (WHO Working Group, 1988;

Location		Number of cases				Suggested
	Date	Total	Adults	Perinates	Serotype	transmission
Prague, Czechoslovakia	AugNov. 1955	41	0	41	1/2	NK
Bremen, Germany	1960-1961	81	NS	ns	ns	NK
Bremen, Germany	1963	20	ns	ns	ns	NK
Halle, Germany	AprDec. 1966	279	0	279	1/2	NK
Auckland, New Zealand	AprSep. 1969	20	6	14	ns	NK
Greenville, US	MarOct. 1975	6	0	6	4b	NK
Anjou, France	JanJun. 1976	162	36	126	4b	NK.
Perth, Australia	JanMar. 1978	4	0	4	ns	HK
Perth, Australia	JanMar. 1979	6	0	6	NS	NK
Auckland, New Zealand	JanJun. 1980	21	3	18	1/2a	Seafood?
fova Scotia, Canada	AprSep. 1981	41	7	34	4b	Coleslaw
Houston, US	May -Jul. 1983	10	0	10	1/2b	NK
lassachusetts, US	JunAug. 1983	49	42	7	4b	Milk
Lausanne, Switzerland	Jan.1983-Mar.1984	25	14	11	4b	NK
Los Angeles, US	1985	86	28	58	4b	Mexican style
						cheese
Vaud, Switzerland	1983-1987	ns	ns	NS	ns	Vacherin chee

Key

NK = not known NS = not stated

Table 1.2 Summary of data on outbreaks of human listeriosis 1955 to 1987 (modified from McLauchlin <u>et al</u>. (1986) and Kvenberg (1988)). Al-Ghazali & Al-Azawi, 1990; Johnson <u>et al</u>., 1990; Lund, 1990). Ralovich (1987) stated that for healthy human beings the infectious dose is high although it is obviously related to host susceptibility. However, in the Mexican style cheese outbreak of 1985 it was estimated that the contaminated cheese contained approximately 100 to 1000 <u>L. monocytogenes</u> cells per gram and therefore the oral infective dose may have been as low as 100 bacteria (Lund, 1990).

The incubation period of listeriosis can range from one to several weeks. Furthermore, the carrier state may be triggered to illness by factors such as viral infection (WHO Working Group, 1988). The stages of pathogenesis of listeriosis via the oral route are poorly understood (Jay, 1992) but they include attachment, induction of phagocytosis, multiplication and possibly other mechanisms (Ralovich, 1989).

For attachment <u>L. monocytogenes</u> has cell wall structures which could be specific for host cell receptors on the surface of the intestinal epithelia (Ralovich, 1989; Schlech, 1989). The primary site of invasion depends on the species. For example, in guinea pigs invasion is predominantly in the small intestine, whilst in mice it is the Peyers patches which are invaded.

Berche <u>et al</u>. (1987) observed that when cells were injected into the bloodstream they were readily engulfed by macrophages lining the blood vessels inside which they multiplied. However, not all strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> that produce infection by injection can produce infection

when administered orally. Schlech (1989) found that while 'smooth' haemolytic strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> result in invasive infection when administered orally, 'rough' haemolytic strains did not cross the epithelium. It has been elucidated that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> grown in unfavourable conditions are 'rough' due to the altered protein composition of their cell wall (Hof and Chatzipanagiotou, 1987), and therefore could lack a proteinaceous structure necessary for adherence and invasion. Furthermore <u>L. monocytogenes</u> 'rough' strains were found to be more susceptible to killing by macrophages. The ability of certain strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to attach and invade the gastrointestinal tract therefore gives a competitive advantage over other potential pathogens.

Gaillard <u>et al</u>. (1987b) suggested two routes by which <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could invade host tissues in an oral infection. <u>L. monocytogenes</u> may first penetrate non phagocytic cells and multiply within them. There is evidence that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is capable of penetrating different types of epithelial cells, such as conjunctival, nasal and lung and then replicates prior to a macrophagic stage. The alternate route involves entry via specialised absorptive cells of the Peyers patches epithelium, termed M cells by Gaillard <u>et al</u>. (1987b). Using these cells <u>L. monocytogenes</u> crosses the intestinal epithelial barrier without significant replication. The bacteria are promptly engulfed by macrophages intimately associated with the M cells and then replicate.

Both avirulent and virulent L. monocytogenes can invade intestinal cells. As avirulent non haemolytic strains of L. monocytogenes enter cells at the same rate as haemolytic strains, haemolysins probably play no part in invasion (Gaillard et al., 1987a; Ralovich, 1989). Gaillard et al. (1987a; 1987b) suggested avirulent non haemolytic L. monocytogenes remained in the phagocytic vacuoles of intestinal cells whereas the haemolysin, listeriolysin O is the active agent that disrupts cytoplasmic membranes to allow L. monocytogenes to escape the vacuole and replicate in the cytoplasm of the host cells. Cowart & Foster (1985) reported that virulent strains had faster rates of growth than avirulent strains, relating to the greater ability of the pathogenic L. monocytogenes to acquire iron. At a later stage listeriolysin O causes lysis of the infected intestinal cells allowing L. monocytogenes to instigate its escape. The virulent bacteria then infect and multiply mainly within macrophages, in the second and more efficient multiplication stage (Gaillard et al., 1987).

Shortly after contact with macrophages <u>Listeria</u> is phagocytised (Tilney & Tilney, 1993). <u>L. monocytogenes</u> stays within the hosts defensive phagocytic cells for the first 2 to 4 days of infection and must therefore survive the antimicrobial activity of the phagocytic vacuoles. Phagocytic cells kill most microorganisms within minutes of ingestion by delivering potent antibacterial agents into the phagocytic vacuole (Bortolussi <u>et al</u>., 1987). In

the case of <u>Listeria</u>, T cells activate macrophages to become highly bactericidal (Cluff & Ziegler, 1987; Galsworthy, 1987). During the first 2 h in the vacuole the viable number of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> decrease, after which the surviving cells replicate (Bortolussi <u>et al</u>., 1987).

L. monocytogenes is later released from the phagocytic vacuole into the cytoplasm of the macrophage by the action of listeriolysin O and phospholipase C (Tilney & Tilney, 1993), and becomes surrounded by a coat of actin filaments within a few hours. The actin filaments reorganise to form a polar tail up to 5 µm long, which promotes intracellular movement and subsequent spread to adjacent macrophages (Kuhn et al., 1990; Mounier et al., 1990). Approximately 2.5 h after invasion of the macrophage L. monocytogenes begins to migrate around the cytoplasm at speeds proportional to the length of the actin tail. Rates of up to 1 µms⁻¹ have been recorded. Migration is always head first, "like a comet through the sky" (Tilney & Tilney, 1993). Any contact with the plasma membrane of the macrophage during migration causes a protuberance to be generated. The membrane folds tightly around the organism and its tail "like a finger in a glove" and produces a pseudopod up to 40 µm long. When this pseudopod makes contact with a neighbouring macrophage the second macrophage phagocytises the pseudopod of the first. The now doubly encapsulated Listeria then escapes by dissolving both membranes, again by the action of haemolysin and phospholipase C. The cycle can be repeated many times without the Listeria leaving

the cytoplasm and therefore avoiding detection by circulating antibodies (Tilney & Tilney, 1993).

From the intestinal tract the organism enters the bloodstream from where it can infect other susceptible tissues including the placenta in pregnant women. Invasion of tissues could possibly be aided by the extracellular invasion associated protein, P60 (Jay, 1992). In addition, it has been observed that listeriolysin 0 producing strains can invade certain tissues whereas listeriolysin 0 negative strains can not, even though listeriolysin 0 is not involved in entry (Kuhn & Goebel, 1989).

Berche et al. (1987) discussed pathogenesis with respect to the action of haemolysins and the acquisition of iron. In iron deprived environments there is very rapid phagocytosis of invasive bacteria which stimulates the secretion of listeriolysin O. Internalisation of bacteria within phagocytic vacuoles favours accumulation of listeriolysin 0 which, in turn, leads to the disruption of intracellular membranes. Disruption of iron enriched lysosomal vesicles makes the sequestered iron available for bacterial growth, ultimately stimulating rapid bacterial replication. However, among its range of defence mechanisms the host can reduce the availability of iron to a pathogen by increasing serum transferrin levels (Cowart, 1987). The interplay between haemolysin and the immune system therefore appears to be a major factor in determining the outcome of infection with virulent L. monocytogenes (Cluff & Ziegler, 1987).

Other host defences include the gastric barrier of

the stomach. The ability of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to withstand a pH shock is therefore important in its survival prior to ingestion by macrophages (Kroll & Patchett, 1992). Additionally, the protective effect of the normal intestinal microflora towards pathogens termed colonisation resistance, may play a significant role (Fuller, 1989). Other species of mammals have additional defences, for example, rabbit blood contains a specific anti listerial agent, called ß lysin (Cowart & Foster, 1985).

1.2.3 Introduction of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> into the food chain

It was suggested 50 years ago that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could be transmitted to humans through foods (Burn, 1936).

The number of reported cases of listeriosis in the UK has generally increased every year since 1967 (McLauchlin, 1987), however, since 1988 the annual reported cases have dropped to about 130 cases annually (Lacey, 1993). The increase in numbers of listeriosis (up to 1988), is partly due to greater surveillance efforts, changes in agricultural practices, such as the use of the 'big bale' type of silage production, may be a major cause. Listeriosis has been called the 'silage disease', as the bacterium is able to multiply in poorly prepared silage when the pH remains too high. The subsequent feeding of such silage to farm animals introduces <u>Listeria</u> into the food chain (McLauchlin, 1987; Lund, 1990). The WHO Working Group (1988) commented on the link between faecal

excretion of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and the condition of silage fed to cows. Skovgaard (1989) reported that in Denmark 67 % of cattle excrete <u>Listeria</u> spp. (53 % <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) and their feed contained 82 % <u>Listeria</u> spp. (62 % <u>L. monocytogenes</u>), indicating a relationship between <u>Listeria</u> spp. ingested in feed and excreted <u>Listeria</u> spp. In contrast to the other authors, however, Skovgaard (1989) believes that the importance of silage has been overstressed. He argues that ruminants feed on vegetables of various kinds and all vegetation can harbour <u>Listeria</u> spp. which multiply during natural decay to a greater extent than in silage.

Whatever the original source, it would be expected that the greater the number of <u>Listeria</u> excreted to the environment, the greater number reintroduced into dairy cows or other meat animals, leading to further contamination. Modern farming practices such as the use of antibiotics as growth promoters, the separation of young animals from their mothers prior to the acquisition of the normal intestinal flora and the stress of battery housing all decrease the resistance of farm animals to colonisation by intestinal pathogens (Fuller, 1989). Glass & Doyle (1989) reported that 3 % of cattle in the US are now carriers of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

The increase in listeriosis and diseases caused by other human intestinal pathogens also coincides with the use of untreated sewage sludge and animal slurry as fertiliser on agricultural land. <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is sometimes present in high numbers in sewage sludge and

```
25
```

sewage plant effluents (Lund, 1990), and is able to survive for at least eight weeks when sprayed onto agricultural land (McLauchlin, 1987). Al-Ghazali and Al-Azawi (1990) reported that when <u>L. monocytogenes</u> contaminated sewage sludge cake was used as a fertiliser, 10 % of alfalfa (lucerne) plants were infected at harvest time. Furthermore, Beuchat <u>et al</u>. (1990) have argued that once contamination has occurred, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> can survive on vegetation for 10 to 12 years and can reach populations of 10^5 to 10^7 colony forming units (CFU) per g.

Due to modern farming practices Listeria spp. are now considered to be self perpetuating in agriculture. Figure (Fig.) 1.1 summarises the environmental cycle of L. monocytogenes. Listeria is primarily transmitted to man through foodstuffs contaminated during production and processing (WHO Working Group, 1988; Skovgaard, 1989). L. monocytogenes should be considered to be present on vegetation treated with silage or sewage sludge fertiliser and on meats contaminated with faecal matter in the abattoir (WHO Working Group, 1988; Skovgaard, 1989). All raw milk should be considered to harbour L. monocytogenes of faecal origin at a concentration of less than one cell per cm³ (Skovgaard, 1989), while milk from <u>Listeria</u> infected cows with mastitis may contain 10^3 cells per cm³ (WHO Working Group, 1988). Bulk milk tanks take up to 6 h to return to 4 °C after each milking, allowing ample time for any L. monocytogenes in the milk to multiply (Donnelly & Briggs, 1986).



Fig.1.1 Environmental cycle of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Arrows indicate transfer of <u>L. moncytogenes</u> between environmental niches. Transfers occur by death and decay of plant and animals material, animal excretion, use of contaminated fertilisers, and ingestion of contaminated foodstuffs.

Contamination of foodstuffs with <u>Listeria</u> can also occur during processing of raw food products, and subsequent distribution and handling by retailers and consumers. In the processing plant organisms may be transferred from food contact surfaces (such as conveyor belts, knives and cutting surfaces), water supplies, insects, rodents and humans (WHO Working Group, 1988). Approximately 3% of humans are asymptomatic carriers of <u>Listeria</u> (Lund 1990). In addition humans may convey <u>Listeria</u> via mud on shoes etc. <u>L. monocytogenes</u> can also be transferred directly between food surfaces, for example, beef tissue slices (Dickson, 1990a). In addition, failures in the pasteurisation process (for example, through pin-holes in the heat exchanger) may allow <u>Listeria</u> to contaminate food during processing.

Skovgaard (1989) discussed data collected by the American Meat Institute on 41 meat plants: 29% of floors, 37% of drains, 20% of food contact surfaces and 5% of walls and ceilings in the post heat processing areas were found to be contaminated with <u>Listeria</u>. Post processing contamination is a very important factor in cheese production too. Cheeses made with pasteurised milk are as frequently contaminated with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> as cheeses made from unpasteurised milk (WHO Working Group, 1988). <u>L. monocytogenes</u> thrives in cool room conditions of damp and cold (Venables, 1989). Condensation can develop on such cool room ceilings and fall onto exposed products, for example ricotta cheese packed into perforated containers to drain.

Wehr (1987) has commented that <u>Listeria</u> frequently remains in processing plants despite industry's best efforts to eliminate it through vigorous sanitation efforts. Research is being undertaken into controlling <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by biocides (Rossmoore & Drenzek, 1990). However caution is required in selecting a disinfectant for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> as this organism is difficult to inactivate when on surfaces particularly in the presence of organic matter (Best <u>et al.</u>, 1990).

Lund (1990) reviewed extensive surveys of the incidence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in different types of foods (see Table 1.3 for summary). The problem of listeriosis is international. For example, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> has been found in frozen seafood products from nine different countries (Fuchs & Surendran, 1989).

In a variety of meats <u>L. monocytogenes</u> 1/2a was observed to be predominant serotype (86 of 126 <u>Listeria</u> isolates from 265 food samples). Other serotypes detected included 1/2b, 1/2c, 3a, 4a, and 4d. However serotype 4b, which currently causes 2/3 of human disease was only present in a few samples (4 out of 126) (Schönberg <u>et al</u>., 1989). Of 89 cheese samples, 9 were found to contain <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, 5 were of serotype 1/2a and 4 of serotype 1/2b (Schönberg <u>et al</u>., 1989).

1.2.4 Resistance of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to food processing treatments

L. monocytogenes is exceptionally resistant to the

FOOD	Total no.	No. of	\$ of	CFU per	
	of samples	positive	positive	gram	Reference
*		samples	samples		
ground beef	22	17	77		2
raw beef	29	11	38	<10 ² -10 ³	3
ground pork	19	18	95		2
raw pork	33	12	36	<10 ² -10 ⁶	3
raw pork sausages	25	13	52		1
raw minced meat		up to 30		4	
cooked, cured/smoked meat	29	2	7		1
salami and continental sausages	B 67	11	16		1
fermented sausages	30	6	20, up to	20	2, 4
chilled patés	1774	180	10		5
patés	73	37	51	<20- >10 ⁵	9
raw chicken	100	60	60		1
raw poultry	14	6	15-18	<10 ²	3, 4
raw milk	137	6	4, up to 5	<10 ²	3, 4
milk - pasteurised	41, 14	0, 0			3. 2
heese - camembert/brie	·	•			-, -
made from raw milk	18	10	55	$10^{3} - 10^{6}$	3
made from pasteurised milk	51	0		10 -10	3
oft cheese	222	23	10	<10 ² -10 ⁵	1.9
oft ripened cheese			1-10	$10^4 - 10^7$	1,0 A
ce cream	394	1	0.25, 0-5.5	1-15	2 4
shrimps, cockles	40	0			1
rozen seafood products	57	-	26	$10^{4} - 10^{7}$	5
re-packed salads	60	4	7	10 -10	1
resh cut vegetables (salads)	25	11	44	10 ²	-
ettuce	50	0			2
elery	30	0			-
adishes	10	0			2
omatoes	20	0			2
ettuce	92	0			- 7
abbage	92	1	1		, ,
adishes	132	19	14		, ,
otatoes	132	28	21		, ,
rom retail promises		29	61		'
pre-cooked ready-to-eat poult	ry 527	61	12		•
shilled mask, mainly nonly	-3 347	13	10		1
current means, mainly policy	/ 4	13	10		T
wein course items	627	10	2		•
Main Course Losus	72	10	4		1
49941.2	13	U			T

= Gilbert et al. (1989)	2 = Farba	r et al. /	1989a)		
		- <u>we de</u> . (orking Gro			
= Department of Health /1000)		nany viù nt et al	(1988)		
- paber ment of Heaten (1903)	u - mwagw		(1900)		

Table 1.3 Incidence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in a variety of foodstuffs (modified from Lund, 1990).

physical factors that normally limit the numbers and incidence of microorganisms in foods.

It is psychrotrophic and in the laboratory is capable of growth at refrigeration temperatures as low as -0.4 °C as long as the medium remains unfrozen (Walker et al., 1990). Junttila et al. (1988) suggested that this characteristic is due to its possession of enzymes which are active at low temperatures, a cold resistant sugar transport system and high concentrations of unsaturated fatty acids in the membrane which protect the cells from freezing. L. monocytogenes can adapt itself to cold temperatures, reducing lag times when inoculated from a chilled medium into fresh chilled medium (Walker et al., 1990). It can also survive frozen storage (Olsen et al., 1988), although Johnson et al. (1990) reported 82 % of cells were injured. In contrast, Golden et al. (1988b) and Farber (1989b) found that freezing had no detrimental effect on L. monocytogenes.

Although it is widely known that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is more heat resistant than other non-sporing foodborne pathogens, the extent of its resistance is still controversial (Golden <u>et al</u>., 1988b; Mackey & Bratchell, 1989; Lemaire <u>et al</u>., 1989). There are many reports of its unusual resistance when heated in meat or poultry products (see Mackey & Bratchell (1989) for review). Heating chicken breasts to an internal temperature of 71.1°C caused a reduction in the population of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> of only approximately 1.3 to 2.3 logs (Harrison & Carpenter, 1989). However other researchers, including

Mackey & Bratchell (1989) themselves, have not observed unusual heat resistance in meat and poultry products, and they concluded that reports of such resistance probably derive from uneven heating. Farber (1989a) also reported that some meats may not have been heated adequately for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to be inactivated even if present in low numbers.

The resistance of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to pasteurisation in milk has also been controversial. Farber (1989a) reviewed this field and commented that while early workers suggested <u>L. monocytogenes</u> had unusual resistance to pasteurisation, later workers disagreed indicating that experimental technique in early experiments was at fault. It has been suggested that as <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is an intracellular pathogen it could survive within bovine leukocytes due to the protection these would afford. However, Mackey and Bratchell (1989) reported that there is no evidence for encapsulation of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by bovine leukocytes.

L. monocytogenes is able to adapt after a heat shock to resist further heat treatment. Farber (1989a) has stated that this effect could be significant for bulk foods which are heated slowly, or other foods which receive a marginal heat treatment.

L. monocytogenes is one of very few foodborne pathogens capable of surviving below a water activity of 0.93. Solutes which reduce the water activity have been used historically for preserving foods, though the presence of high concentrations of salt and sugar has

little detrimental effect on <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. It has been reported that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> can grow in 13 to 14 % salt solution at decreased temperatures (Farber <u>et al</u>., 1992) and can survive 20 % salt solution (Farber, 1989b). It will also grow in broth containing 39.4 % sucrose (Farber <u>et al</u>., 1992).

L. monocytogenes can survive extremes of pH, again a factor that has traditionally been used to control microbial contamination. Its growth range is normally given as being approximately 5.5 to 9.6, though below a pH of 5.5 <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is reputed to survive but not multiply (Wehr, 1987; George et al, 1988). Kroll & Patchett (1992) have shown that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> can adapt to tolerate lower pH's when grown initially in low pH media. This could result in cells from low pH food being able to tolerate stomach acid to a greater extent than normal, effectively reducing the infectious dose.

L. monocytogenes is capable of survival in lactic mixed cultures (Schaak & Marth, 1988a, 1988b). Because of its psychrotrophic nature <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is more capable of competing at low temperatures, but it has been observed to survive all mesophilic lactic fermentations traditionally used to decrease spoilage of foodstuffs (Schaak & Marth, 1988b). Carminati <u>et al</u>. (1988) reported inhibition not inactivation of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> with all starter cultures examined. Schaak & Marth (1988b) commented that antagonism towards pathogens could not be predicted by the rate of acid production alone in lactic culture as additional factors contributed including the

antibiotics nisin and lactostreptins, volatile compounds and hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide production, however, can also lead to increased growth of L. monocytogenes by decreasing numbers of competitive microflora. Harris <u>et al</u>. (1989) suggested L. monocytogenes overcomes bacteriocins by proteolytic degradation or by outgrowth of a resistant mutant population. Furthermore, it has been shown that casein can exert a protective effect for <u>S. typhimurium</u> in acid dairy products (Schaak & Marth, 1988a). The same could be possible for L. monocytogenes. Other bactericidal factors in milk, such as lactoperoxidase, lysozyme and agglutinines, decreased the growth of L. monocytogenes during the first two days of storage (Northolt et al., 1988). However, L. monocytogenes is able to survive storage in lactic products for long periods of time. For example, it has been shown to survive in cold pack cheese for 434 days, though it will not grow in any cheese below a pH of 5.45 (Ryser & Marth, 1988).

L. monocytogenes can tolerate the presence of many antimicrobial food additives and curing agents currently in use (Lammerding & Doyle, 1990), such as nitrates (Doyle, 1988; Lacey & Kerr, 1989) and sodium chloride (Olsen et al., 1988). Antimicrobial products from microbial metabolism, for example lactic acid, are now being considered for use in the food industry as decontaminants (Farber et al., 1989b), as is the direct addition of bacteriocins, for example nisin, to act as preservatives (Farber, 1992).

It has been reported that some strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> isolated from meat products have non-plasmid mediated resistance to antibiotics (Barbuti <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1992). This could indicate that these strains have a human or cattle origin. The genetic transfer of antibiotic resistance between strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> has been observed to be difficult <u>in vitro</u> (Lucas & Levin, 1989), but sublethal stress damaging the cell wall may allow this to occur <u>in vivo</u>.

Food packaging can also affect the growth of contaminating <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Kvenberg (1988) and Skovgaard (1989) reported that growth increases under decreased oxygen tension and with supplementation of carbon dioxide.

1.3 Current food safety legislation in the UK

Since the Food Safety Act 1990 (which came into operation in the UK on January 1st. 1991), the food industry in the UK has had to control the standard of hygiene of foods in ways and according to regulations which differ significantly from earlier legislation. The Act applies throughout the UK with similar but separate legislation for Northern Ireland. The main features of the Act may be summarised as follows (modified from Davies (1992):

 The new law applies to everyone working in the production, processing, storage, distribution or sale of food. The law applies to all food businesses,

including the self-employed. All food businesses must register their premises (including such vehicles as ice-cream vans) with their local district council. Registration is free but not an endorsement of hygiene practices being within the law.

- 2. The law states that it is a criminal offence to render food injurious to health, or to sell such food or keep such food for eventual sale. The law specifies offences regarding contaminated food and food products which are not of the quality demanded by the purchaser. It is an offence to make misleading or false claims about food items. The law also specifies regulations about hygiene practices (such as storage temperature specifications for perishable foods in refrigerators) and conditions of hygiene. Under the term "food" the Act covers many items including food ingredients, drinks, slimming aids taken orally and dietary supplements. It does not cover medicines (which are regulated by other laws) or animal feedstuffs.
- 3. The new law is enforced by local authority officers such as Environmental Health Officers and Trading Standards Officers, who also offer advice on the best codes of practice. Local authority officers have new powers to enter premises to inspect food hygiene. They may seize food which is deemed to be unfit for human consumption and seek enforcement of the law through the courts. Authorised officers now have more extensive powers to require improvements to unhygienic premises and to close premises which pose a public health risk.

Closed premises may open only after re-inspection and certification that they no longer pose a health risk.

4. The law also requires everyone who handles food which is to be sold to have undergone recognised hygiene training.

The new Food Safety Act, based on the European Community Directive number 397 in 1989, was a major improvement on previous legislation such as the UK Food Act 1984. Although this former Act could allow prosecution of suppliers of food unfit for human consumption, it was vague and broad based (Lacey & Kerr, 1989). In addition to the new food laws, the food industry now has to comply with legislation such as Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH Assessments, 1988) and other Health and Safety regulations, for example, the Health and Safety at Work Act (Ritson, 1983). With regards to product safety there is strict liability; anyone who suffers damage due to a defective product can now recover damages without having to prove negligence (Tuley, 1991).

Although food safety has recently become much more enforceable, the food industry in general has been attempting to eliminate the problem of microbial contamination of foods for a number of years. Lund (1990) disclosed that in 1988 guidelines were prepared by members of the UK Dairy Trade Federation for the improvement of hygienic practices in the production of soft and fresh cheeses. In addition guidelines for production,

distribution and retail handling of chilled foods were produced by the Chilled Food Association, and the Department of Health produced up to date guidelines for cook-chill and cook-freeze systems.

Furthermore, the cost of recalls in terms of profit and reputation puts pressure onto the food industry to ensure product safety. For example, the salmonellosis outbreak in Cumbria, England in 1985 (76 recorded cases and one infant death) which resulted from the consumption of contaminated infant dried milk, led to a profit reduction of more than £22 million for the company concerned and the closure of one of the two production units, with the loss of more than 100 jobs (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991). Unsafe food has to be withdrawn from sale and destroyed as adverse publicity can lead to further economic losses. For example, sales of corned beef after the 1964 typhoid outbreak in Aberdeen, Scotland were not restored to pre-outbreak levels for 20 years (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991).

<u>Campylobacter</u>, which has now become the most commonly reported cause of foodborne infection in England and Wales (Waites & Arbuthnott, 1991), was reported to have cost nearly £9 million in 1989. Direct costs were in health care, laboratory and environmental health investigations, while indirect costs involved mainly lost productive output. The cost of pain and suffering, however, could not be evaluated (Skirrow, 1991).

1.4 Review of current methods used to monitor the microbiological quality of foods

1.4.1 Strategies for safety assurance

It is over fifty years since it was first suggested that L. monocytogenes could be transmitted through foods (Burn, 1936) and over twenty years since it was postulated that the growing use of refrigeration could lead to an increase in the incidence of listeriosis (Kramer & Jones, 1969). However, the development of techniques to eliminate L. monocytogenes and other food poisoning organisms from the production environment and of detection methods to monitor pathogens in foodstuffs has been slow. For economy and to maintain organoleptic quality, the food industry has traditionally used automatic and high speed equipment and minimum processing times and temperatures. Formulations were made to decrease preparation time by the consumer. Until recently food safety has not been a priority.

The principles of preventative microbiological safety assurance were formulated as early as 1935. The essential elements of these became known as the Wilson Triad (Mossel, 1989), namely:

1. Elimination of unwanted organisms by i) restricting colonisation of raw materials to a minimum and ii) enhancing microbial lethality by processing to the highest level compatible with preservation of nutritive value and organoleptic quality.

2. Avoidance of recontamination of treated commodities

by i) processing after hermetic packaging or ii) aseptic packaging.

3. Distribution and storage of the commodities under conditions i) arresting the proliferation of viable organisms that survive processing and ii) delaying recontamination.

Independently Bauman (1974) introduced a new safety assurance strategy termed Hazard Analysis / Critical Control Points (HACCP). This involved control from 'source to kitchen', namely from production areas, through processing and packaging, to consumption. To ensure end products meet microbiological safety criteria, "Hazard Analysis "involves identification of sensitive ingredients and processing steps as well as relevant human factors, such as consumer abuse of products. Ingredients are assigned to categories in descending order of risk. For example, meats, which can potentially carry pathogens, are classified as hazardous whilst sugar, salt and similar dry commodities are regarded as safe. In addition predictions are made about consumer treatment of food and tolerance ranges determined. The "Critical Control Points" are those processing stages where any loss of control would result in unacceptable product safety risk. There are two types of critical control point:

 Points at which microorganisms can be eliminated.
Failure to maintain and monitor the process within certain limits may result in a microbiologically unsafe food. Pasteurisation is an example of such a critical

control point.

 Points where control is important to minimise contamination and growth. Temperature control during storage is an example of this type of critical control point.

HACCP states that all correctable hazards should be eliminated and then a system of control should be established for any hazardous process that remains part of the production line. Such a system is easily established by determining specifications for ingredients, manufacturing, packaging, product testing and so on. Any deviations are reported to and controlled by a data capture and analysis section, which decides the remedial action necessary.

The Wilson Triad and HACCP safety approaches have been practised since their development by the Dairy Industry. HACCP was later suggested for general adoption by the food industry (Mossel, 1983; Heeschen, 1987). Coleman (1986) has described a HACCP regimen specifically for <u>Listeria</u>. This acknowledges the fact that <u>Listeria</u> is a very resistant ubiquitous organism.

BS5750 is a standard recently introduced to the food industry that requires a high degree of process reliability from the acquisition of raw materials to the release of finished product (bioMérieux, 1991). It includes as a major component the traditional methodology of microbiological quality control and assurance (Ratcliffe, 1993) and certification of compliance is given

to any manufacturing or service sector organisation which has proven through audit that it has suitable quality management systems (Davies, 1993). In addition to traditional microbiological safety regimens, this new standard stresses the importance of overall management of quality, for example in ensuring the ability to locate unsafe food items that have to be recalled after distribution (Ratcliffe, 1993).

1.4.2 Development of new microbiological procedures Recent legislation together with the development of safety assurance strategies have led to a change in the attitude of the food industry and policies are now based on safety rather than economy. Roberts & Jarvis (1983) suggested three possible outcomes of this change. Firstly, in order to speed up quality control, traditional microbiological methods could be replaced with automated versions. Secondly, monitoring systems based on microbial activity rather than detailed identification of microorganisms could be developed. Thirdly, use could be made of predictive microbiology to assess likely microbial growth responses during food storage. All three approaches have been explored.

Methods have been developed to decrease the time and labour intensity of a number of microbiological techniques. Fung (1992) described some of the available equipment: The gravimetric diluter automatically prepares accurate dilutions of samples, the spiral plating system distributes a thin layer of sample in a spiral manner at a

decreasing rate from the centre to the edge of an agar plate resulting in continuous dilution, while the laser colony counter detects the presence of colonies by a light sensor.

Among methods available to detect microbial activity are impedance microbiology (described in section 1.5) and bioluminescence. Sharpe (1986) elucidated the latter technique for the determination of microbial loads. In the presence of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the firefly luciferin - luciferase system emits light which can be monitored by a luminometer. The amount of light produced by the system is proportional to the amount of ATP, and, as the amount of ATP per bacterial cell is generally constant, this relates to the number of bacteria (Jay, 1992). Unfortunately the use of this method is restricted by the difficulty of separating bacterial ATP from ATP in foodstuffs (Sharpe, 1986). In addition bioluminescence is not able to differentiate contamination by particular organisms (McAthey & Bointon, 1990).

Predictive microbiology involves extrapolation from observations made in model systems in order to estimate the rate and type of microbial growth in more complex environments such as food products (Roberts & Jarvis, 1983). McMeekin & Olley (1986) listed many examples of the application of this technology, such as the prediction of the shelf life of fish stored at different temperatures in modified atmospheres including vacuum packing.

1.4.3 Traditional methods used in the detection of L. monocytogenes

There is no standard method for the detection of L. monocytogenes (Skjerve et al., 1990). A number of different strategies have been described over many decades. Early attempts included the Henry (1933) method which involved basal illumination at a 45° angle of growth on a non-blood agar medium. Under such conditions L. monocytogenes colonies have a distinctive appearance (Donnelly, 1988). Gray et al. (1948) developed a method of enrichment for Listeria which took advantage of its psychrotrophic nature. Specimens under analysis are refrigerated in a non-selective media, such as blood containing tryptose soy broth. However, this procedure can take as long as six months (Donnelly et al., 1988).

Chemicals as selective agents for L. monocytogenes were first recommended by Schoer (1944), who suggested the use of potassium tellurite as an inhibitor of Gram negative organisms. Since this time many different Listeria selective media have been formulated. Those of primary importance include the medium of McBride and Girard (1960) containing lithium chloride and phenylethanol. Beerens and Tahon-Castel (1966) and Ralovich <u>et al</u>. (1970; 1971) developed the use of nalidixic acid and acriflavine respectively to inhibit non-listerial microbes. Much research has concentrated on enhancing the selectivity of media. Many individual and combinations of selective agents have been evaluated for incorporation into new direct plating media and

traditional enrichment broth and selective agar. See, for example, Kramer & Jones (1969), Dominguez <u>et al</u>. (1984), Doyle & Schoeni (1986), Lee and McClain (1986), Buchanan <u>et al</u>. (1987), Bannerman & Bille (1988), Loessner <u>et al</u>. (1988), van Netten <u>et al</u>. (1988), Curtis <u>et al</u>. (1989a), Heisick <u>et al</u>., (1989b) and Golden <u>et al</u>. (1988a; 1990).

Colour change indicators of the growth of <u>Listeria</u> spp. have also been used in selective media (Eley, 1990). For example, McBride and Girard (1960) incorporated blood into their medium enabling identification of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by the appearance of *B*-haemolysis around the colonies. <u>Listeria</u> spp. are consistently aesculinase positive, and, in the presence of aesculin and iron salts, cause blackening of agar. While many species of enterococci can grow on <u>Listeria</u> selective media and are also aesculinase positive, the addition of mannitol, which is invariably utilised by <u>Enterococcus</u> spp. but not by <u>Listeria</u> spp., can be used to differentiate these two genera (van Netten <u>et al.</u>, 1989).

The suitability of a particular <u>L. monocytogenes</u> plate counting procedure is influenced both by the purpose of the analysis and the type of food being analysed. Foods with high loads of contaminating microflora require highly selective media (Brackett & Beuchat, 1989). Furthermore the number of target organisms likely to be present in a foodstuff is important as low levels often necessitate selective enrichment to allow these cells to multiply to a concentration detectable by either a traditional plate method or one of the newer rapid methods (Yousef <u>et</u>

al., 1988). Little information is known about the behaviour of L. monocytogenes in enrichment broths, however, one cell of L. monocytogenes has been reported to yield greater than 5 x 10^6 CFU cm⁻³ after 12 to 16 h (Fernandez-Garayzabal & Genigeorgis, 1990). Unfortunately enrichment procedures preclude microbial enumeration under normal use (Yousef et al., 1988) and increase assay times (Slade & Collins-Thompson, 1988). Some selective media, termed direct plating media, are inoculated directly from foodstuffs (that is, without enrichment) and are used for enumeration. One of the most efficient for recovering Listeria is lithium chloride phenylethanol moxalactam (LPM) agar (Cassiday et al., 1989; Lammerding & Doyle, 1989; Loessner et al., 1988). However Fernandez-Garayzabal & Genigeorgis (1990) commented that plates inoculated with food samples are generally overgrown by background microflora and stated that direct plating is unsuccessful in most cases.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) method, developed by McClain & Lee (1988), is an example of a two stage detection assay involving a selective enrichment stage preceding plating onto LPM agar (for schematic diagram see Pusch, 1989). Many other detection regimens have been developed. For example Szemérdi (1990) and Yu & Fung (1992) utilised the combination of a selective semi-solid medium in a U shaped glass tube and the motility of <u>Listeria</u> spp. If a foodstuff contaminated with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was inoculated into one side of the U tube, the motile organism travelled through the

semi-solid selective medium and after incubation a sample could be taken from the other side of the tube for confirmatory assays. Yu and Fung (1992) incorporated the enzyme oxyrase into their semi-solid selective medium. This was found to increase the growth rate of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> allowing more rapid detection. Yu and Fung (1992) showed the U tube method to be as sensitive as the USDA method with a shorter detection time. Furthermore, it was able to detect 11 samples contaminated with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> which had been missed using a deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) hybridisation procedure (see 1.4.4).

A number of difficulties have been experienced with selective methods. These limitations include lack of sensitivity (Buchanan et al., 1987; Loessner et al., 1988; van Netten et al., 1988; Golden et al., 1988a; 1990). In addition, some agents used in Listeria selective media have been reported to be inhibitory to L. monocytogenes (Leighton, 1985; Loessner et al., 1988; van Netten et al., 1988; Curtis et al., 1989b). Northolt et al. (1988) found 86% of L. monocytogenes isolates could not grow on trypaflavine-nalidixic acid agar as described by Ralovich et al. (1970) and Kampelmacher and van Noorle Jansen (1980). They reported that even after replating onto nutrient medium the bacteria appeared to remain in a "lag" phase. The use of selective agents could therefore exaggerate the difficulty of recovering sublethally injured L. monocytogenes from foodstuffs.

1.4.4 Rapid methods used in the detection of L. monocytogenes

Using traditional selective techniques the isolation and subsequent identification of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> takes a minimum of ten days (McLauchlin & Pini, 1989). Recent concern about foodborne listeriosis has provided the impetus for the development of more rapid diagnostic tests. The aim of these new methods is to increase the capacity, reproducibility and reliability of screening food products for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Techniques that have been described include flow cytometry (Donnelly <u>et al</u>., 1988; Eley, 1990), immunological assays (Farber <u>et al</u>., 1988; Mattingly <u>et al</u>., 1988; McLauchlin & Pini, 1989; Jay, 1992), nucleic acid hybridisation (Datta <u>et al</u>., 1988; Klinger <u>et al</u>., 1988; Datta & Wentz, 1989) and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Bessesen <u>et al</u>., 1990; Wernars <u>et al</u>., 1991).

Flow cytometry is a procedure that permits simultaneous enumeration and identification of cells as they travel through a laser beam (Donnelly <u>et al.</u>, 1988). Specificity is achieved by the use of DNA-specific fluorescent dyes or fluorescent monoclonal antibodies (Eley, 1990). Under ideal conditions individual species exhibit a characteristic "signature" (that is pattern of light scatter and fluorescence) which is related to morphology, cell size and nucleic acid content (Donnelly <u>et al.</u>, 1988). However, the limits of detection of <u>Listeria</u> in milk have been reported to be only

 2×10^6 CFU cm⁻³. This method is clearly insufficiently sensitive for use in foods. Furthermore, the equipment is expensive and complicated to use (Eley, 1990), and the technique suffers a high rate of false positives (Donnelly <u>et al.</u>, 1988). In addition, identification based partly on the shape of the bacterium may be hindered by cell wall targeting antibiotics which are used in some enrichment media.

A variety of types of immunoassay have been employed in the detection of L. monocytogenes. Immunoassays involve the attachment of an antibody specific for the target organism to a radioactive element (radioimmunoassay or RIA) (Jay, 1992), to an enzyme (enzyme immunoassay or EIA) (Farber et al., 1988), to an enzyme that can produce a colour change (enzyme linked immuno sorbent assay or ELISA) (Mattingly et al., 1988), or to a dye detectable by fluorescence microscopy (for example the direct immuno fluorescent test or DIFT) (McLauchlin & Pini, 1989). After interaction with the sample under test, any unattached antibody is washed away leaving the antibody conjugate attached to the target organism. Problems of cross reactivity have been noted in certain of these immunoassays (Donnelly et al., 1988; Heisick et al., 1989b; McLauchlin & Pini, 1989; Skjerve <u>et al</u>., 1990). Donnelly et al. (1988) commented that this was caused by non Listeria microorganisms rather than by foodstuffs. The DIFT test, however, had difficulty in detecting L. monocytogenes from cheeses with rinds (McLauchlin & Pini, 1989). Eley (1990) reported a number of problems

associated with ELISA tests for L. monocytogenes. Although this method does not need pure cultures, typically a two day enrichment is recommended followed by the two hour test. Furthermore, the procedure requires a time consuming antigen extraction stage and is only specific to genus level. Recently Sheridan et al. (1991) described an indirect immunoassay for Listeria that combines a micro colony technique with an immunofluorescence test. A filtrate of a crude food homogenate is incubated for 3 h at 37 °C on Listeria selective agar. Anti Listeria antibodies are applied to the filter and incubation is continued for 30 minutes. A fluorescent antibody conjugate is then added to bind to the first antibody and the filter is examined for presence or absence of fluorescing micro colonies. Unfortunately, enumeration is not possible with this technique as the fluorescence fades too quickly and it lacks sensitivity, the limits of detection being 1×10^5 CFU q^{-1} .

Nucleic acid hybridisation involves the binding of a radioactive ^{32}P ribonucleic acid (RNA) or DNA probe to the complementary DNA of the target organism. Hybridisation is then detected by autoradiography. This technique has been used by a number of workers for the detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, including Klinger <u>et al</u>. (1988) and Datta & Wentz (1989). The probe used by Klinger <u>et al</u>. (1988) was thought to be genus specific, whilst that of Datta and Wentz (1989) hybridised only to <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Nucleic acid hybridisation requires the use of radioactive isotopes with their associated safety

problems. As an alternative, an enzyme such as alkaline phosphatase or peroxidase can be conjugated to the nucleic acid probe (Jay, 1992) in a spectrophotometric assay. However, some foods have been found to give high spectrophotometric readings even in the absence of L. monocytogenes (Eley, 1990).

Due to the lack of sensitivity of nucleic acid hybridisation assays, with limits of detection being estimated as 1×10^5 CFU cm⁻³ (Eley, 1990), techniques have been developed to amplify target nuclear material. One method involves the enzyme Qbeta replicase which amplifies the bound probe target complex after hybridisation by as much as a billion fold in 30 minutes (Eley, 1990). A more widely used procedure, PCR, amplifies DNA fragments after hybridisaton of primer sequence with the target DNA. PCR greatly increases sensitivity, enabling 1 to 10 CFU cm^{-3} to be detected in pure cultures and thus eliminates the need for enrichment (Wernars et al., 1991). Unfortunately many problems have been encountered with the application of the PCR technique to foods. Due to their complex composition extensive purification procedures are required to obtain DNA preparations suitable for amplification. Certain cheese components inhibit the amplification reaction and "clean up" procedures can decrease the concentration of DNA available for the reaction. Wernars et al. (1991) found detection limits depended on the cheese used. With some cheeses 2 x 10^3 CFU L. monocytogenes q^{-1} are required for positive detection, a level of contamination which can

readily be detected by direct plating. In other cheese samples 2 x 10^8 CFU <u>L. monocytogenes</u> g⁻¹ were not detected. Bessesen <u>et al</u>. (1990) described the use of PCR to amplify the listeriolysin 0 gene of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and allow differentiation of pathogenic and non pathogenic strains. Once again, however, the technique was not sensitive enough to detect less than 10^3 CFU cm⁻³ in foods. In addition to being insensitive, PCR is labour intensive and uses hazardous chemicals such as ethidium bromide. Furthermore, the DNA from cells killed during food processing can also hybridise to probes and produce false positive results (Wernars <u>et al</u>. 1991).

In summary, most of the currently available "rapid methods" share two major disadvantages, the necessity for a enrichment step at levels of contamination likely to be found in foods and labour intensity. Thus there remains the requirement for a still more rapid assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Such a diagnostic test would be a great benefit to the food industry as it would permit the early identification of contaminated products, and therefore reduce the need for recall after distribution. A rapid technique would also be beneficial in medical diagnosis and would enable the correct treatment to be administered with minimum delay.

1.5 Review of impedance microbiology

It was observed nearly a century ago that the metabolism of microorganisms brings about changes in their growth medium which can be monitored electrically (Stewart,

1899). However, only in the last decade has this property been exploited commercially as a rapid method for the detection and enumeration of microorganisms (Connolly <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1988). The following section is an introduction to such electrical measurement, known as impedance microbiology, the technique which is to be evaluated in this research for the rapid screening of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

1.5.1 Theory of impedance microbiology

When two electrodes are placed in an electrolyte and a alternating potential difference is applied between them, an electric current is produced in which ions move towards the electrode of opposite charge. The flow of charge (current) is maintained by ions taking electrons from or transferring electrons to the electrodes (Owens, 1985).

Three monitorable electrical signals, conductance, capacitance and impedance, have been used in the detection of microbial activity (Firstenberg-Eden & Eden, 1984). Conductance (G) (the reciprocal of resistance (R)) is the measure of the flow of electric current through a solution (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Its value is determined by the concentration of ions in the fluid and the conductive signal results from changes occurring in solution (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986). Conductance is measured in reciprocal ohms (mhos) or in Siemens units (Firstenberg-Eden & Eden, 1984).

Capacitance (C), measured in Farads or microFarads, results from the accumulation of charged ions in close proximity to the electrodes (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986). Most
importantly cationic protons move to the cathode and are repelled from the anode causing an "electrical double layer" at the interface between each electrode and the solution which stores electrical energy (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984; Owens, 1985). The capacitive signal results from changes in this double layer (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984; Firstenberg-Eden, 1986).

Impedance (Z) is a combination of both conductive and capacitive signals (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). It has been reported, however, that monitoring instruments do not measure complex impedance but some function of it (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984; Hadley & Yajko, 1985). Impedance is representative of the impedance of the bulk fluid and the polarisation impedance at the interface of the solution and the electrodes. Between 400 Hz and 25 KHz the bulk fluid impedance is mainly resistive (that is, is the reciprocal of conductance) (Hadley & Yajko, 1985), whereas the polarisation impedance has both resistive and capacitive components which are frequency interdependent (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Fig. 1.2 is a schematic diagram of such an impedimetric system, and illustrates an electrochemical well and its associated electronic circuit.

As a result of microbial metabolism weakly charged substances in the initial growth medium are converted to end products of greater charge. These are generally smaller and therefore more mobile and conductive in the bulk fluid. Such changes are the origin of the microbially

a)

Monitoring instrument



Fig. 1.2 Illustration of a) the position of an electrochemical well within the electric circuit and b) the impedimetric circuit. Key: Cpol= polarisation capacitance, Rpol= polarisation resistance and Rsol= resistance of bulk fluid (modified from Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984).

induced conductance signals (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Indirect conductimetry, which allows the detection of yeasts and moulds despite the small conductance changes they produce, has also been developed (Owens et al., 1989; Bolton, 1990). This technique assesses samples by absorption of metabolically produced carbon dioxide in an alkaline solution in which conductance changes are then measured. Capacitance changes arise from the accumulation of small metabolic products in close proximity to the electrodes (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis (1984). These affect the electrical signals by both reducing the distance within the electrical double layer and reducing the distances between electrodes. Smaller ions can also increase the effective surface area of the electrode by increasing the concentration of ions at the electrode surface. Different metabolites have different dielectric constants which affect capacitance as follows:

Capacitance = E . <u>A</u> (equation 1.1) 4 pi d

> where: E = the dielectric constant of the solution between the electrodes and the double layer A = area of the dielectric d = the thickness of the dielectric

The optimal electrical algorithm for use in the detection of bacteria remains unclear. The changes accompanying bacterial growth and metabolism have been reported to have little effect on capacitance by some

authors (Richards <u>et al</u>., 1978) whereas others Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis (1984) have concluded that capacitance can be sensitive to bacterial growth. Impedance has been shown to indicate bacterial growth, the threshold level of detection being approximately 10^6 to 10^7 cells cm⁻³ (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Zindulis (1984) stated that while all three electrical parameters are potentially useful for monitoring microbial growth, in practice the optimal algorithm depends on the medium and the target organism.

In summary the production of microbial electrolytic products can affect the conductance, capacitance and impedance components of an electrochemical circuit. This results in changes in the voltage and current applied to the reaction well, which can be monitored by an appropriate monitoring instrument. Hadley and Yajko (1985) equated the relationship between voltage (E) and current (I) for a given frequency (f) when a circuit contains both resistance (R) and capacitance (C) as follows:

 $E = I (R^2 + Xc^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (equation 1.2)

where Xc, the capacitive reactance is given by:

$$Xc = \frac{1}{(2 \text{ Pi} f C)^2}$$
. (equation 1.3)

1.5.2 Instrumentation

Currently in the UK there are three commercially available instruments designed to monitor the growth of microorganisms by electrical measurement. The Bactometer (bioMérieux Limited (Ltd.)), used in this research, measures impedance, conductance and capacitance (Nieuwenhof & Hoolwerf, 1987), the Malthus microbial growth analyser (Malthus instruments) measures conductance only (Hogg et al., 1987), and the RABIT (Rapid Automated Bacterial Impedance Technique, Whitley Scientific Ltd.) measures conductance and admittance (total impedance) (Whitley D., personal communication, 1989). There are two American machines marketed by Honeywell Incorporated, the automated culture growth and detection system and the medical specimen culture bottle system, which both monitor impedance in agitated bacterial cultures. The Japanese Orga 6 automatic microbial analyser (Tektron Instruments Corporation) also monitors impedance (Hadley & Yajko, 1985). In addition two unique non-commercial instruments have been reported. The Goldschmidt and Wheeler System (University of Texas) has been used to monitor non-growing bacteria by electrically measuring washed cells suspended in distilled water, rather than their metabolites (Hadley & Yajko, 1985). The second system, described by Wilkins et al. (1974), detects the evolution of hydrogen from growing cells by an increase in voltage.

Components of the Bactometer are illustrated in Fig. 1.3. The Bactometer Microbial Monitoring unit (M64)



Fig. 1.3 Schematic diagram of a) a Bactometer M64 microbial monitor and b) a module containing 16 reaction wells.

consists of two incubators with independent temperature control linked to an IBM PS/2 desk top computer. Each incubator can accommodate two modules consisting of 16 reaction wells of 2.5 cm³ capacity each with attached electrodes. The menu driven software is capable of the fully automated monitoring of up to 64 reaction wells and has a variety of options including the display of graphics and data storage. Hard copies of the data generated are obtained from the attached printer.

The commercial instruments monitor electrical changes in one of two ways. In some cases a comparison is made between the inoculated reaction well and an uninoculated reference well, the latter acting as a control for temperature changes, evaporation and degradation of culture medium during incubation (Hadley & Yajko, 1985). The other type of monitoring, used for example in the Bactometer, involves no control wells but instead compares initial impedimetric values with later measurements. A comparative record of percentage change is made and the Bactometer then plots conductance, capacitance or the reciprocal of impedance against time (the latter to provide a positive rather than a negative curve). Fig. 1.4 is an example of such an electrical curve. The stabilisation time is the time required after the sample is placed in the instrument before the baseline is established. This initial variation in the electrical signal is due to fluctuations in temperature, both polarisation capacitance and bulk fluid conductance being sensitive to temperature change before the respective





equilibria are established (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Drift describes the relative change in impedance during the baseline phase. Ideally drift is zero, though in practice the curve usually drifts upwards or downwards. The detection time (DT) is the point of maximum acceleration of the electrical curve and is determined by the Bactometer automated software (Firstenberg-Eden, 1983). The slope is the segment of the curve during which the amplitude of the electrical signal increases with time. This phase starts at the DT and levels out at the shoulder of the curve (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986). Impedance magnitude, as defined by Arnott et al. (1988), corresponds to the increase in amplitude from the DT to the shoulder of the curve. It is important to note an electrical curve is not a growth curve. The relationships between bacterial concentration, ionic concentration and electrical detection are illustrated in Fig. 1.5.

1.5.3 Applications of impedance microbiology Impedance technology has been applied to fundamental microbiology, medical microbiology and food microbiology (Bossuyt & Waes, 1983). Once the methodology was established impedimetric assays were rapidly adopted by the food industry for routine microbiological testing (Firstenberg-Eden & Eden, 1984) and for quality control analysis (Connolly <u>et al</u>. 1988). Sharpe (1986) reported that it is currently the most highly developed automated technique used in food microbiology because it employs user-friendly computerised systems, the data generated



correlate well with conventional plate counts and the most highly contaminated samples are detected first. Furthermore, as Sharpe (1986) also commented, metabolic activity data are more useful than plate counts for shelf life prediction.

Electrical monitoring has been evaluated for both the enumeration and detection of microorganisms. For example, it has been used as an alternative to the plate count method for estimating the total viable count (TVC) of bacteria (Firstenberg-Eden, 1983), for sterility testing of ultra heat treated (UHT) products (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986), for the estimation of bacteria in raw milk (Nieuwenhof & Hoolwerf, 1987), for the estimation of the bacterial quality of fish (van Spreekens & Stekelenberg, 1986) and for the estimation of yeast numbers (Shapton & Cooper, 1984). Bossuyt and Waes (1983) found this technique useful in tracing post pasteurisation contamination. Electrical monitoring has also been used for the detection of specific microorganisms, for example to determine TVC of coliforms in dairy products (Firstenberg-Eden et al., 1984) and for the detection of bacteriophages in cheese starter cultures (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986). More specifically conductance has been used to detect salmonella in confectionery products (Arnott et al., 1988), Cl. botulinum in cured pork slurry (Gibson, 1987) and a wide variety of yeasts important in food spoilage (Connolly et al., 1988). Impedance has been used to estimate numbers of antibiotic resistant Salmonella in cured pork slurry (Gibson, 1988),

yeasts in yogurts and fruit juices (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986), lactic acid bacteria in fruit juices (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986), staphylococci in meats (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986) and in a detection regimen for fungi using the Bactometer (Zindulis, 1984).

Quality control testing for <u>Salmonella</u> using the Malthus conductance method has been given official approval by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Within the UK this is also approved in BS4285 (British Standard 4285, 1992). As the AOAC is recognised as a worldwide authority on microbiological methods, their approval was a major step forward in the general acceptance of conductimetric microbiological testing by the food industry (Malthus, 1992). Impedimetric assays have also been approved by the American Public Health Association (Hadley & Yajko, 1985). Of the available automated methods, impedimetric microbiology has gained most commercial acceptance (Coppola & Firstenberg-Eden, 1988). Examples of current commercial uses include routine quality control, maintenance within standard limits and in some cases identification of pathogens (bioMérieux, 1991, 1992a; Malthus, 1991; 1992). Anchor foods, Wiltshire, a subsidiary of the New Zealand Dairy Group, uses the Bactometer for quality assurance of a variety of dairy products (bioMérieux, 1992a). The Malthus instrument is used by the New Zealand Kiwi Cooperative Dairy in Hawera for monitoring coliform levels during butter and cheese production (Malthus, 1991) and by the airline Lufthansa to assay for TVC, enterobacteriaceae

and staphylococci in airline meals (Malthus, 1991). St. Ivel, Bradford carry out all their <u>Salmonella</u> testing using the Malthus AOAC approved conductance method (Malthus, 1992).

The cosmetic industry and the pharmaceutical industry as well as the food industry now employs electrical detection of microorganisms. Companies have found that the automated technique has improved response times to process failures and therefore they achieve faster quality control clearance times. It has also proved to be cost effective (bioMérieux, 1992a). The Body Shop cosmetics chain uses the Bactometer for all of their bacterial and yeast detection tests. They have found the rapid results obtained enable faster decisions, hence improving stock control. Another cosmetic company Creighton reported that use of the Bactometer permitted release of finished stock several days earlier than traditional methods of quality assurance (bioMérieux, 1991). The pharmaceutical company Rhone-Poulenc, which produces industrial enzymes from bacterial or fungal fermentations, has found the Bactometer aids their adherence to the quality assurance standard BS5750 (bioMérieux, 1991).

Impedance microbiology has also been employed in the analysis of antimicrobial compounds. Conductance has been used to determine the cytotoxic effects of bioreductive antimicrobial and radiosensitising agents (Dale & Edwards, 1989), to detect trichothecene mycotoxins and screen for susceptible microorganisms (Adak <u>et al</u>., 1987a; 1987b), as an alternative to the Ames test for the detection of

mutagens (Forsythe, 1990) and to determine antimicrobial preservative efficacy (DePasquale <u>et al.</u>, 1985).

1.6 Aims of current work

The objective of this study was to utilise recent advances in selective impedance microbiology to reduce the presumptive DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in foodstuffs. Although the use of selective media for the electrical detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> has been described by others, both previously published procedures, viz. capacitance signal (Phillips & Griffiths, 1989) and indirect conductimetry (Bolton, 1990), have only been applied to pure cultures.

L. monocytogenes was chosen as the target organism for a number of reasons. Firstly this species has been implicated in a number of outbreaks of food poisoning with high mortality rates (McLauchlin <u>et al</u>., 1986; Kvenberg, 1988). Secondly <u>Listeria</u> spp. are good indicators of potential contamination by other food poisoning agents, as they are difficult to eliminate from food production environments because of their ubiquity and their inherent resistance to processing treatments. Furthermore as traditional methods of detecting <u>L. monocytogenes</u> take a minimum of 10 days (McLauchlin & Pini, 1989), there is the need for a more rapid technique to monitor contamination levels in short shelf life food products.

In this work a number of parameters were examined in an attempt to first characterise and then optimise the electrical signals produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. The available Bactometer algorithms (viz. total impedance,

conductance and capacitance) were compared for their effectiveness in monitoring the target species. A number of curve criteria, including DT, magnitude, slope, peak response rate (PRR) and peak response time (PRT) (Firstenberg-Eden, 1983; Connolly <u>et al.</u>, 1988), were investigated to determine which electrical signal(s) were most reproducible.

The growth medium used was formulated to allow active metabolism by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and therefore the rapid impedimetric detection of this species. Similarly, the optimum physical conditions for the growth of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (viz. the environmental variables of temperature and pH) were evaluated and used to facilitate active metabolism (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Ralovich, 1984; Leighton, 1985).

A variety of growth inhibitors, such as antibiotics, dyes and inorganic chemicals, were next incorporated into the growth medium in an attempt to suppress the metabolism, and hence the electrical signals, of non target species. The selective agents initially chosen for evaluation were those used commonly in <u>Listeria</u> selective media: nalidixic acid (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Leighton, 1985; Doyle & Schoeni, 1986; Buchanan <u>et al.</u>, 1987; Farber <u>et al.</u>, 1987; van Netten <u>et al</u>., 1988), oxolinic acid (Farber <u>et al</u>., 1988), thallous acetate (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Farber <u>et al</u>., 1988), potassium tellurite (Schoer, 1944; Kramer & Jones, 1969), lithium chloride (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Farber <u>et al</u>., 1987, 1988; Curtis <u>et al</u>., 1989a), phenylethanol (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Farber <u>et</u>

<u>al</u>., 1987; van Netten <u>et al</u>., 1988), acriflavine (Dominguez <u>et al</u>., 1984; Leighton, 1985; Doyle & Schoeni, 1986; Farber <u>et al</u>., 1987, 1988; van Netten <u>et al</u>., 1988) and moxalactam (Buchanan <u>et al</u>., 1987; Bannerman & Bille, 1988; Farber <u>et al</u>., 1988; van Netten <u>et al</u>., 1988).

Growth inhibitors which selectively eliminated the impedimetric responses of organisms other than <u>Listeria</u> spp., without increasing the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> unduly or reducing the quality of its electrical curves, were then chosen for use in the selective impedimetric assay. Selectivity for only pathogenic <u>Listeria</u> spp. was the eventual goal.

Attempts were also made to determine the biochemical basis of the detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Quantification of a number of ionic products of metabolism (including organic acids and ammonia) was undertaken using both commercially available test kits and gas chromatography.

CHAPTER 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Test Strains

The organisms used in this work are listed in Table 2.1. The bacterial species were maintained on nutrient agar No. 1 (Oxoid) slopes at 4 °C and routinely subcultured at 3 monthly intervals. The identity of cultures was confirmed at 6 monthly intervals using Api test kits (bioMérieux Ltd., Basingstoke, UK). The ten <u>Listeria</u> food isolates were identified by Heckfield Laboratories, Basingstoke, UK, as follows: Isolates I1 and I2 as either non haemolytic <u>L. monocytogenes</u> or <u>L. innocua</u>; isolates I3 to I10 as haemolytic <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Fungal species were maintained on malt extract agar (Oxoid) slopes at 4 °C and routinely subcultured at 3 monthly intervals.

2.2 Microbiological Media

Glucose enriched nutrient broth (GNB) was used both as a general growth medium and as the basal impedimetric medium. It contained per litre: bacteriological peptone (Oxoid), 10 g; lab-lemco powder (Oxoid), 10 g; sodium chloride (BDH Biochemicals), 5 g; glucose (BDH Biochemicals), 2 g. GNB was sterilised by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes.

Where appropriate GNB was supplemented with one or more antimicrobial agents. Thallous acetate (BDH Biochemicals), phenylethanol (Sigma), potassium tellurite

Species	Source and	Gram stain	L. monocytogenes
	strain number		serotype
L. monocytogenes	NCTC 7973	+	1a
L. monocytogenes	NCTC 10357	+	1 a
L. monocytogenes	NCTC 5348	+	2
L. monocytogenes	NCTC 10527	+	4b
L. monocytogenes	NCTC 11994	+	4b
L. innocua	NCTC 11288	+	
L. innocua	NCTC 10889	+	
L. welshimeri	NCTC 11957	+	
L. gravi	NCTC 10815	+	
<u>L. murrayi</u>	NCTC 10812	+	
L. ivanovii	NCTC 11846	+	
<u>L. seeligeri</u>	NCTC 11856	+	
<u>Listeria</u> spp.	10 Food isolates,	+	Unknown
	(I1 to I10)		
	obtained from		
	bioMérieux Ltd		
	Basingstoke, UK		
Jonesia denitrificans	NCTC 10815	+	
Lactococcus lactis	NCIB 6681	+	
Lact. lactis subsp cremoris	NCIB 8652	+	
Enterococcus faecalis	NCIB 7/5	+	
Streptococcus agalactiae	NCIB 8778	* •	
Strep. salivarius	NCIB 6663	1	
Leuconostoc mesenteroldes	NOTE 12008	+	
subsp <u>cremoris</u>	NCIB 12006	,	
Lactobacillus casel	NCTB 6375	+	
	NCTB 8175	+	
Micrococcus roseus	NCTB 8122	+	
	NCIB 7578	+	
B. cultures	NCIB 8703	+	
Preudomonas fluorescens	NCIB 3756	-	
Pe, putida	NCIB 10936	-	
Pa. aeruginosa	NCIB 950	-	
Pa. aeruginosa	NCIB 10848	-	
Bacherichia coli	NCIB 86	-	
Penicillium roquefortii	NCTC 588	N/A	
P. claviforme	ATCC 10426	N/A	
<u> </u>			
	<u>Key</u>		
1	N/A = Not a	pplicable	
1	NCIB = Natio	nal Collectio	n of Industrial

NCID		National Collection of Independen
		Bacteria
NCTC	=	National Collection of Type
		Cultures
ATCC	=	American Type Culture Collection
subsp	=	subspecies

Table 2.1 Test strains of microorganisms used

(BDH Biochemicals), oxolinic acid (Sigma), amphotericin B (Sigma) and ketoconazole (Sigma) were added to the medium before sterilisation. Depending upon the individual experiment, nalidixic acid (Sigma) was either added to the medium before sterilisation or filter sterilised prior to addition to sterilised medium. The latter procedure was also used for moxalactam diammonium salt (Sigma), moxalactam sodium salt (Sigma), acriflavine hydrochloride (Sigma), proflavine hydrochloride (Sigma) and lithium chloride (Hopkin & Williams). These agents were dissolved in distilled water and filter sterilised by the use of 0.22 µm filters (Sartorius) and positive pressure. As certain selective agents lost their activity with time after addition to GNB (I. Hancock, unpublished work), the medium was always supplemented immediately prior to use.

Aesculin nutrient broth (ANB) was prepared by substituting aesculin (Sigma), 1 g dm⁻³, and ammonium-ferric citrate (Griffin & George), 1 g dm⁻³, for the glucose component of GNB. These concentrations were chosen as they had been reported to be effective by Dominguez <u>et al</u>. (1984).

L-rhamnose nutrient broth (RNB) was prepared by substituting L-rhamnose (Sigma), 2 g dm⁻³, for the glucose component of GNB. Although Rocourt <u>et al</u>. (1983) recommended 10 g dm⁻³ L-rhamnose, 2 g dm⁻³ was used here to avoid increasing the total concentration of carbohydrate in the medium.

Media were normally adjusted to pH 7.2, the reported

optimum for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Kramer & Jones, 1969), by the addition of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (BDH Biochemicals) or 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (BDH Biochemicals). pH values were measured by a pH meter (Cranwell model 990). Where adjustments were made to previously sterilised media, the pH probe itself was sterilised by immersion in absolute ethanol for 1 minute followed by immersion in sterile distilled water for 1 minute.

2.3 Routine Growth of Microorganisms

A loopful of material from the appropriate stock slope was aseptically added into a universal bottle containing 10 cm³ of GNB. The culture was then incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Such 24 h cultures were used as inoculum for all spectrophotometric and impedimetric assays. In the latter case a 10^{-4} dilution of the 24 h culture in 1/4 strength Ringers solution (BDH Biochemicals) was normally made first. However, if only sparse growth was noticed after 24 h incubation a 10^{-2} dilution was used instead. For detection of very low levels of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, a 10^{-7} dilution of the 24 h culture in 1/4 strength Ringers solution was used to inoculate the enrichment medium.

Normally mixed cultures were prepared by the addition into a sterile vessel of 1.0 cm³ of a 24 h GNB culture of each test microorganism diluted to 10^{-3} in 1/4 strength Ringers solution. The vessel was then made up to 10 cm³ with 1/4 strength Ringers solution to produce a 10^{-4} dilution of each species within the mixed culture.

2.4 Cell Enumeration

Bacterial concentrations were determined on nutrient agar No. 1 (Oxoid) using the pour and/or spread plate techniques. Fungal concentrations were similarly determined using malt extract agar (Oxoid). 0.1 cm³ and/or 1 cm³ aliquots of a range of dilutions were used to inoculate plates. Gilson micropipettes (Anachem, Luton, Bedfordshire) were used throughout this work. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 to 48 h and then those containing between 30 and 300 colonies were counted. The TVC was determined by multiplying the number of CFU by the reciprocal of the dilution factor and the reciprocal of the aliquot volume used as inoculum. The standard deviation (SD) of at least quadruplicate plates were then determined (see 2.14).

Where appropriate impedimetric data were confirmed by spread plating on <u>Listeria</u> selective agar (Oxoid Oxford formulation base with <u>Listeria</u> selective supplement SR140E).

2.5 Spectrophotometric Assay of Microbial Growth

Initial screening of the antimicrobial agents was performed by spectrophotometric assay. In each test, a tube containing 10 cm³ of GNB supplemented, where required, with the appropriate agent(s) was inoculated with a 0.1 cm³ aliquot of a 24 h GNB culture of the test microorganism (see 2.3) and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. The absorbance of the culture was then determined at the

wavelength of maximum absorbance using an ultra violet / visible spectrophotometer (Cecil instruments). The higher the absorbance value, the greater the extent of growth during the incubation period and hence the greater the resistance of the test species to the antimicrobial agent(s).

2.6 Impedimetric Assay of Microbial Growth

A Bactometer M64 Microbial Monitoring System (bioMérieux Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) was used for the impedimetric assays (Fig 1.3). In this instrument four modules, each with 16 reaction wells, can be run simultaneously giving a total of 64 wells. For routine screening of selective agents, each well containing 1 cm³ GNB supplemented, where required, with the appropriate antimicrobial agent(s) was inoculated with a 0.1 cm³ aliquot of a 24 h GNB culture of the test microorganism diluted in 1/4 Ringers solution (see 2.3). The electrical responses were monitored for 36 h at 30 °C. Normally impedimetric responses (standard impedance algorithm 1) were determined. However, on occasion, conductance (standard conductance algorithm 2) or capacitance (standard capacitance algorithm 3) responses were also monitored.

2.6.1 Curve interpretation

DT and curve magnitude were the criteria established for the detection of the target species. The Bactometer registers the DT at the point of maximum rate of change in the electrical properties of the medium. Curve

magnitude was measured by subtracting the percentage change in amplitude of the electrical signal at the DT from the percentage change in amplitude at the shoulder (Fig. 1.4) and then multiplying by the baseline value. If a shoulder was not apparent, then the maximum magnitude was determined instead. Magnitude was calculated in arbitrary units of impedance (BUIC), conductance (BUCO) or capacitance (BUCA) as in the report of Firstenberg-Eden (1983). For example, to calculate magnitude in arbitrary units of impedance:

percentage change (equation 2.1) Difference in percentage change = in amplitude at - in amplitude at percentage change shoulder DT in amplitude = 45 % - 8 % = 37 % Baseline value = 2476 Therefore, $= \frac{37}{100} x$ impedance magnitude 2476 = <u>916 BUIC</u>

The shorter the DT the greater the extent of growth during the incubation period and hence the greater the resistance of the test species to the antimicrobial agent(s) provided the initial inoculum concentration is kept constant.

2.6.2 Correlation between impedimetric data and plate count data The impedimetric responses and the TVC (see 2.4) of

serial dilutions $(10^{-1} \text{ to } 10^{-8} \text{ in } 1/4 \text{ strength Ringers}$ solution) of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were simultaneously examined. A graph of DT against TVC was then plotted and subjected to correlation analysis (see 2.14). Where correlation was found to be significant and repeatable, the data from several such experiments were combined to produce a calibration curve from which a TVC could be predicted from an experimentally determined DT value. Separate calibration curves were produced for each strain of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and each medium / selective agent combination.

2.7 Detection of L. monocytogenes in Food Products

A direct impedimetric assay for the detection of L. monocytogenes in foods was developed. A variety of cheeses (freshly purchased and stored at 4 °C during transport) were artificially infected (spiked) with L. monocytogenes as follows: Cheese suspensions (10 % (w/v) in 1/4 strength Ringers solution) were prepared and dispersed in a Colworth stomacher for one minute. For routine screening, 10 cm³ suspensions were spiked with 0.1 cm^3 of an appropriate dilution of a 24 h GNB culture of L. monocytogenes in 1/4 strength Ringers solution (see 2.3). The spiked food matrix was then vortex mixed (Jencons Whirlimixer). A 0.1 cm³ aliquot was inoculated into a Bactometer well containing 1.0 cm^3 of GNB supplemented, where appropriate, with selective agent(s). Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses were monitored for 36 h and the DT

and impedance magnitudes determined.

All the cheeses used in this work were screened for the presence of naturally occuring <u>L. monocytogenes</u> using a traditional <u>Listeria</u> selective agar method (see 2.4). Any positive isolates from non spiked food samples were identified using API Zym identification kits (bioMérieux Ltd., Basingstoke, UK).

2.8 Selective Enrichment for L. monocytogenes

The aim of enrichment was to allow the target organism to multiply to a level where a 0.1 cm^3 sample contained a sufficiently high cell concentration to be detectable by the impedimetric assay. A number of regimens were evaluated for zero tolerance assurance, viz. the detection of one cell of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in 25 g of a foodstuff. The variables investigated were the concentration of cheese suspension, the concentration of selective agents in the enrichment medium, the pH of the enrichment medium, the enrichment time and the volume transferred from the enrichment vessel into the Bactometer well.

As a result of these trial experiments the following procedure was developed: 5 % (w/v) cheese suspensions were prepared by adding 25 g cheese to 475 cm³ of GNB and homogenising for 1.0 minute in a Colworth stomacher. The suspensions were aseptically adjusted to pH 7.2 (see 2.2), sterilisation and cleaning of fatty materials from the pH probe being achieved by its immersion and rinsing

with absolute ethanol for one minute followed by immersion in sterile distilled water for one minute. The suspensions were then aseptically supplemented with the selective agents, 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. Parallel enrichment vessels were set up at zero time, one enrichment vessel remained unspiked, while a second was spiked with a 24 h GNB culture of an appropriate strain of L. monocytogenes diluted to 10^{-7} in 1/4 strength Ringers solution (see 2.3). At the same time the 24 h GNB culture was enumerated by routine methods (see 2.4). The enrichment vessels were then incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. At the end of this incubation period appropriately diluted 0.1 cm^3 samples from each enrichment vessel were transferred to 2.2 cm^3 of freshly prepared doubly supplemented GNB in Bactometer wells. Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), their impedimetric responses were then monitored for 36 h and the DT and impedance magnitudes determined.

2.9 Aesculin Hydrolysis Test for L. monocytogenes

A second detection test for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was evaluated for running concurrently with the impedimetric assay. Certain species, including the target organism, can hydrolyse the glycoside aesculin if iron salts are included in the growth medium. Hydrolysis can then be observed by monitoring the formation of a black pigment.

1.0 cm³ of ANB (see 2.2), supplemented when required with selective agents, was inoculated with 0.1 cm³ of a 24 h GNB culture of an appropriate strain of

L. monocytogenes diluted in 1/4 strength Ringers solution (see 2.3). Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses were monitored for 36 h and at the same time any colour change in the medium was noted.

2.10 Preparation of Cell Free Extracts of Culture Medium for Biochemical Analysis

10 cm³ of GNB was inoculated with 0.1 cm³ of a 24 h GNB culture of an appropriate test strain diluted to 10^{-3} in 1/4 strength Ringers solution. The diluted cells were incubated at 30 °C in a culture vessel. After 24 h incubation the cell concentration in the culture vessel was measured (see 2.4) and then a cell free extract was prepared by filter sterilising the remaining culture medium using a 0.22 µm filter (Sartorius).

2.11 Spectrophotometric Method for the Determination of L-Lactic Acid

The concentration of L-lactic acid was determined using a L-lactic acid test kit (Boerhinger-Mannheim). In this procedure L-lactic acid is oxidised by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) in the presence of L-lactic acid dehydrogenase (Noll, 1974; Sigma diagnostic kit schedule):

(L-lactic acid dehydrogenase) L-lactic acid + NAD⁺ «-----» pyruvate + NADH + H⁺ (equation 2.2)

As the equilibrium of the reaction is predominantly to the side of L-Lactic acid, a second reaction, catalysed by the enzyme glutamate-pyruvate transaminase, is used to trap the pyruvate and shift the equilibrium in favour of pyruvate and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH).

The concentration of NADH is monitored by its absorbance at 340 nm. This can then be related to the concentration of L-lactic acid, as the amount of NADH formed is stoichiometric with the amount of L-lactic acid oxidised (equation 2.2).

The lactic acid test kit contained 4 reagents: solution 1, glycylglycine buffer, pH 10; L-glutamic acid, 440 mg; stabilisers; solution 2, 210 mg NAD lyophilisate, dissolved in 6 cm³ of double distilled water; solution 3, 0.7 cm³ glutamate-pyruvate transaminase suspension, 1100 U; solution 4, 0.7 cm³ L-lactate dehydrogenase solution, 3800 U.

Cell free extracts (see 2.10) were heated to 80° C for 15 minutes to stop enzymic reactions. The concentration of L-lactic acid in these extracts, freshly prepared GNB and a standard solution of L-lactic acid (0.195 g dm⁻³) was then measured as follows. For each

test performed, the following were added in order into a glass cuvette: solution 1, 1.0 cm^3 ; solution 2, 0.2 cm^3 ; double distilled water, 0.9 cm^3 ; solution 3, 0.02 cm^3 ; sample, 0.1 cm³. The contents of the cuvette were mixed and, after approximately 5 minutes at room temperature, their absorbance at 340 nm was measured against a distilled water blank using a ultra violet/visible spectrophotometer (Philips, model PU 8750) (absorbance A1). A reagent blank was similarly set up except the 0.1 cm^3 sample was replaced by 0.1 cm^3 of double distilled water. The absorbance of the contents of this cuvette were also measured after 5 minutes at room temperature (absorbance B1). The reaction was then started in both cuvettes by the addition of 0.02 cm^3 of solution 4. The contents of each cuvette were mixed and left at room temperature for approximately 20 minutes for the reaction to be completed. After this time the absorbance of both the sample (absorbance A2) and the reagent blank (absorbance B2) was measured at 340 nm against a distilled water blank. The absorbance difference for both the sample (A2 - A1) and the reagent blank (B2 - B1) was determined, and then the latter value was subtracted from the former to obtain the change in absorbance (ΔA)

viz:
$$\Delta A = (A2 - A1) - (B2 - B1)$$
 (equation 2.4)

All samples were appropriately diluted in double distilled water to ensure that the absorbance difference

was at least 0.1 units in each case and that no absorbance reading was above the maximum measurable by the spectrophotometer.

The concentration of L-lactic acid could then be determined using the following formula:

 $c = \frac{V \times mol. wt.}{E \times d \times v \times 1000} \times \Delta A \quad (equation 2.5)$ Where: $c = concentration (g dm^{-3})$ $V = final volume (2.24 cm^{-3})$ $v = sample volume (0.1 cm^{-3})$ d = light path (1.0 cm) E = absorption coefficient of NADH at 340 nm (6.3)mol. wt. = molecular weight of L-lactic acid (90.1 g mol^{-1})

Therefore:

$$c = \underbrace{2.24 \times 90.1}_{6.3 \times 1.0 \times 0.1 \times 1000} \times \bigwedge A = \underbrace{201.8}_{630} \times \bigwedge A = \underbrace{0.320 \times \bigwedge A g \, dm^{-3}}_{630}$$

For example to calculate the concentration of L-lactic acid in a solution diluted 1/10 in double distilled water, where: A1 = 0.432 A2 = 0.647 B1 = 0.408 B2 = 0.499 $\triangle A = (0.647 - 0.432) - (0.499 - 0.408)$ = 0.215 - 0.091 = 0.124 Therefore c = 0.320 x $\triangle A$ x dilution factor = 0.320 x 0.124 x 10 = 0.397 q dm⁻³

2.12 Spectrophotometric Method for the Determination of Ammonia

The concentration of ammonia was determined using a modified urea nitrogen test kit (Sigma). In this procedure the Berthelot reaction is used. In the presence of a nitroprusside catalyst, ammonia reacts with an alkaline solution of sodium hypochlorite and phenol to form indophenol which has an intense blue colour (Kaplan, 1965; Martinek, 1969; Sigma diagnostic kit schedule).

nitroprusside NH₂ + phenol-hypochlorite ------ indophenol (equation 2.6)

The absorbance of indophenol is measured spectrophotometrically at 570 nm and related to the concentration of ammonia using a predetermined calibration curve.

To tubes containing 0.1 cm³ aliquots of a) undiluted cell free extracts (see 2.10), b) cell free extracts diluted to 10^{-1} in distilled water, c) freshly prepared undiluted GNB or d) GNB diluted to 10^{-1} in distilled water, were added the following reagents: 1.0 cm³ of phenol nitroprusside solution, 1.0 cm³ of alkaline hypochlorite solution and 5.0 cm³ of distilled water. The contents of the tubes were mixed and left at room temperature for 20 to 30 minutes for the colour to develop. The absorbance of each sample was then measured at 570 nm against a distilled water blank using a spectrophotometer (Cecil, model CE 2343D). The absorbance

of a range of standard concentrations (1.8 to 18 mg dm⁻³) of ammonium chloride (BDH Biochemicals) in distilled water was determined by the same procedure and used to produce a calibration curve. The concentration of ammonia in the samples was then determined by reference to this graph.

2.13 Chromatographic Method for the Determination of Organic Acids

For chromatographic analysis the procedure of Carlsson (1973) was used. 5 g of cation exchange resin (Dowex, 50W - X8, hydrogen form, particle size 0.39 to 1.0 mm, 16 to 40 mesh, standard grade) (BDH Biochemicals), was hydrated overnight in 200 cm³ of double distilled water. Mini cation exchange resin columns were then prepared by packing 1.0 cm³ volumes of the hydrated resin onto glass wool in pasteur pipettes. 0.5 cm³ aliquots of cell free extracts (see 2.10), freshly prepared GNB and GNB spiked with known concentrations of acids were allowed to drain through the resin. The columns were then washed twice with 0.5 cm³ of distilled water. All effluents were collected and directly analysed in the gas chromatograph.

The following standard solutions of acids were prepared: 5.2 g dm⁻³ acetic acid (BDH Biochemicals); 4.9 g dm⁻³ proprionic acid (Sigma); 4.8 g dm⁻³ butyric acid (BDH Biochemicals); 4.7 g dm⁻³ pentanoic acid (Sigma); 4.6 g dm⁻³ hexanoic acid (Sigma); 4.6 g dm⁻³ heptanoic acid (Sigma); 9.01 g dm⁻³ lactic acid (BDH Biochemicals).

Routinely, 1 µl of the standards and samples were injected into the gas chromatograph. The standards were further diluted to determine the lowest concentration of the acids detectable by the chromatographic method.

The gas chromatographic conditions were as follows: Gas chromatograph (Carlo Ebra Strumentazione, model ICU 600): Temperature Injector (275 °C) Flame ionisation detector (300 °C) Column Chromosorb OV101 (isothermal, 250 °C) Gas flowrates Carrier, nitrogen (180 kPa) Hydrogen (100 kPa) Air (50 kPa) Attenuation 09 Chart recorder (Philips Model PM 8251A) Speed (5 minutes cm⁻¹)

Range (10 mV x 1)

2.14 Statistical Analysis

t-Tests were carried out using Biostats software (written by Dr. B. Giles, University of North London). Calculated values of t were compared to tables of t (Murdoch & Barnes, 1986a) for the determination of statistical significance. SD and correlation coefficients (r) were determined by Harvard Graphics Software, version 3.0

(Software Publishing Corporation, Bitstream Incorporated, Copyright 1991). Calculated values of r were compared to tables of r (Murdoch & Barnes, 1986b) for the determination of statistical significance.

2.15 Safety Considerations

Safety was a major consideration in this research. The COSHH guidelines (1988) were strictly adhered to. Aseptic microbiological techniques were used throughout. All microbiological waste was sterilised by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes in a bench top autoclave (Denley). Other contaminated material was disinfected by application of Hycolin (William Pearson) or 70 % industrial methylated spirits (Charles Tennant & Company). In addition, care was taken to avoid contact, by inhalation or skin contact, with the potentially hazardous antimicrobial agents used. All liquid and solid waste material containing these agents was collected for incineration with afterburn by a specialist disposal company, as recommended by Sigma Pharmaceuticals.

CHAPTER 3

EVALUATION OF GLUCOSE ENRICHED NUTRIENT BROTH AS AN IMPEDIMETRIC MEDIUM FOR THE DETECTION AND ENUMERATION OF L. MONOCYLOGENES

3.1 Introduction

The basal contents of an impedimetric medium must fulfil two criteria: (a) they must allow rapid metabolism and hence rapid detection of the target organism, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in this case, and (b) they must enable the target organism to produce good quality electrical curves. To achieve these objectives both the chemical composition of the medium and the physical conditions of its incubation are manipulated to allow an optimal electrical signal from the target organism and reduce or eliminate any electrical signals from non-target organisms.

There are a number of differences between a selective impedimetric assay and a traditional microbial assay for a target organism. This was recognised by Adak <u>et al.</u> (1987b) who observed microbiological growth in reaction wells which gave no impedimetric response, and Gibson (1987) who reported that media used for the isolation of target organisms by traditional methodology are not necessarily useful for impedimetric type assays. While traditional assays are based on growth under selective

conditions, impedimetric microbiology relies on the morphological characteristics of the electrical curves produced. A number of parameters influence these characteristics including the algorithm used to monitor the electrical change, the medium constituents, the metabolism of organisms in the sample being assayed, any buffers present and proton flux (Owens, 1985).

There are two impedimetric techniques which can be used to detect a specific organism. These will be referred to as qualitative and quantitative type assays. The qualitative type assay relies on the production of an electrical curve of characteristic morphology by the target organism, the curve shape differentiating positive from negative detection (see Fig. 3.1, modified from Phillips and Griffiths, 1989). To establish values that could differentiate organisms Arnott et al. (1988), Pugh et al. (1988) and Pettipher & Watts (1989) used as criteria the magnitude of the curve from DT to the shoulder and the overall rate of acceleration of the slope. Positive detection of Salmonella was indicated by a magnitude in excess of 250 BUCO and a rate of 25 BUCO h^{-1} . Connolly et al. (1988), on the other hand, used as curve criteria DT (defined in this case as the time at which microbial metabolism leads to significant change in the electrical signal), PRR (defined as the maximum rate of change of the electrical signal) and PRT (defined as the time at which PRR occurs). PRR is therefore the same parameter as DT as defined by Firstenberg-Eden (1983) and as used in this work. The second type of impedimetric




assay is quantitative and involves detection of the target organism by the presence or absence of an electrical signal and hence the major criterion for detection is the production of a DT (Hancock et al., 1993). To accomplish this the metabolism of all non-target organisms must be selectively inhibited to such a degree that within the time limit set no non-target organism is capable of producing an electrical curve (Fig. 3.2). However, to assist the detection of the target organism the slow metabolism of non-target species may be useful for the production of charged elements at levels below the threshold of detection of the instrument. As the name implies a major advantage of the quantitative type assay is its ability to enumerate as well as detect a target organism using the inverse relationship between cell concentration and DT (Firstenberg-Eden, 1983; Nieuwenhof & Hoolwerf, 1987).

As the success of these electrical techniques relies on the curves obtained the importance of their quality cannot be overstressed (Arnott <u>et al</u>., 1988). Curves of poor quality make it difficult to distinguish or detect microbial metabolism and to interpret DT. They therefore cannot be used with any confidence in enumeration. The amplitude of the curve is important as it is far easier to distinguish microbial growth when large electrical changes are produced (Hogg <u>et al</u>., 1987; Connolly <u>et al</u>., 1988; Silley, 1991). In addition to low amplitude there are



a target organism (such as <u>L. monocytogenes</u>), the production of a curve as opposed to a flat baseline indicating the presence of the target species. Fig. 3.2 Electrical curves illustrating a quantitative type assay for the detection of

a number of other types of poor quality electrical curve which can be avoided by careful formulation of the impedimetric medium. These include round acceleration, two accelerations, weak acceleration and noisy curves. Examples of each type are illustrated in Fig. 3.3 (modified from Firstenberg-Eden, 1986). Curves characterised by minimal drift and maximal slope are optimal as they have more repeatable DT's (Firstenberg-Eden, 1986). It should be noted, however, that as most formulations fail to produce satisfactory curves, the production of a good impedimetric medium has been described as a difficult task (Arnott <u>et al</u>., 1988).

In 1985 Owens commented that as the theory of microbially induced electrical changes is poorly developed, it is not possible to tell if an organism in a given medium will increase or decrease the conductance. More recently it has been found that bacteria generally increase conductance whereas fungi decrease this electrical signal (Connolly et al., 1988), possibly by the uptake of ions (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Conductance is regarded as being a less reliable means of detecting fungal growth than capacitance as only the latter signal undergoes significant change (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Both capacitance and conductance (the two components of impedance) are indicative of bacterial growth. In more conductive media, however, relative changes in conductance can be small and therefore capacitance may be of greater use (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984). Although all



monitorable electrical algorithms are interrelated (Silley, 1991), the optimal algorithm requires careful evaluation, and will depend upon the target species and the composition and relative conductivity of the medium.

The sources of the electrochemical changes that result from microbial metabolism in complex culture media have been described by Owens (1985). Metabolic activities involve primarily the breakdown of polymers. Carbohydrate hydrolysis yields uncharged sugar monomers and therefore conductivity changes will depend on the extent of metabolism of these monomers. The proteins and peptides which occur in microbiological media carry only a small net charge at the normal range of pH values used (4 to 8), and, as the molecules are large, contribute little to conductivity. Hydrolysis of proteins to amino acids probably only causes a slight increase in conductivity due to the formation of zwitterions at these pH levels. Furthermore, any charged amino acids will be utilised by rapidly growing cultures, preventing accumulation. Oxidation of amino acids by aerobic organisms, however, leads to the excretion of ammonium (NH_{4}^{+}) ions and a subsequent large increase in conductivity. This is even greater in organisms capable of anaerobic fermentation, such as Clostridium spp., as high yields of organic acids as well as NH_A^+ ions are produced. Hydrolysis of triglyceride fats to free fatty acids and glycerol increases conductivity due to the production of protons. Inorganic nutrients, for example salts, utilised by cells during growth are present only in small amounts and

therefore have little effect on the overall conductivity of a medium. On the other hand the production of carbon dioxide (CO_2) in an impedimetric medium can have a number of outcomes. The CO_2 can be released to the atmosphere, it can remain in solution as dissolved CO_2 or it can form carbonic acid. The former two outcomes have little effect on proton flux and therefore conductivity whereas the latter affects this parameter (Owens, 1985).

With regards to development of an impedimetric medium for the facultative anaerobe <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, it can be predicted that the organism will be capable of producing protons, most importantly mobile NH_4^+ ions, and organic acids from nutrient mixtures containing complex carbohydrates, proteins and fats. The well known undefined bacterial growth medium nutrient broth provides such a nutritional environment. As glucose, which is known to be a growth enhancing substrate of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Kramer & Jones, 1969), produces lactic acid on metabolism which ionises to charged particles (Jones, 1989), this sugar could be a useful medium component. Nutrient broth supplemented with glucose (that is GNB) was therefore chosen as the basal medium for evaluation.

3.2 Results

The objective of the experiments described in this chapter was to evaluate the suitability of GNB as an impedimetric broth for the rapid detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. The aim

of the first experiment was to find the optimal electrical algorithm for monitoring L. monocytogenes (Table 3.1, Fig. 3.4). A series of experiments was then carried out to determine whether GNB allowed L. monocytogenes to produce electrical curves of adequate quality and reproducibility in both morphology and amplitude in comparison with other test microorganisms (Tables 3.2 & 3.3, Fig. 3.5; 3.6). In addition, the threshold limits of detection of L. monocytogenes in GNB were examined (Table 3.4, Fig. 3.7; 3.8). Initially the reported optimal physical conditions for L. monocytogenes were used for all assays, that is a pH of 7.2, a temperature of 30 °C (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Leighton, 1985) and a sodium chloride concentration of 5 g dm⁻³ (Kramer & Jones, 1969). Latter experiments were then conducted to evaluate the optimal pH (Table 3.5) and sodium chloride concentration (Table 3.6, Fig. 3.9) under impedimetric conditions.

3.2.1 Comparison of the available electrical algorithms for detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

To determine the optimal electrical algorithm for monitoring the target species, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994, grown under the routine conditions described in the methods (see 2.3), was inoculated into GNB and the impedance, conductance and capacitance signals were measured for 36 h (for general assay regimen see 2.6). DT's and magnitudes of electrical signals were determined (see 2.6.1). The data obtained are reported in Table 3.1

and shown diagrammatically in Fig. 3.4.

From Table 3.1 it can be seen that using capacitance and impedance curves resulted in similar DT's, while conductance curves produced longer DT's. Using the students t-Test (see 2.14) it was determined that there was no significant difference between impedance and capacitance DT's even at the 20 % level (t = 0.239). However impedance DT's were significantly different from

	Impedance	Conductance	Capacitance
	DT magnitude	DT magnitude	DT magnitude
	(h) (BUIC)	(h) (BUCO)	(h) (BUCA)
Mean	6.71 1066	7.95 336	6.63 1982
SD	0.21 122	0.82 25	0.74 388

Table 3.1 Electrical responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB monitored simultaneously by impedance, conductance and capacitance.

3,000 Mean SD Signal magnitude in arbitrary units (BUIC for impedance, BUCO for conductance and BUCA for capacitance). 1982 ± 388 2,500 2,000 1,500 Mean SD 1066 ± 122 1,000 Mean SD 500 336 ± 25 0 Impedance Conductance Capacitance

Figure 3.4 The mean, range and SD of the magnitude of the impedance, conductance and capcitance signals produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB. In each case the dark grey shading represents the range, the horizontal line the mean and the SD is given as a figure.

conductance DT's at the 0.01 % level (t = 3.346) and capacitance DT's were significantly different from conductance DT's at the 5 % level (t = 2.646).

An evaluation of the magnitude of the electrical responses produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> showed that there was a significant difference between all three algorithms at the 0.001 % level (t for impedance versus conductance = 13.004; t for impedance versus capacitance = 5.032; t for conductance versus capacitance = 9.44). Capacitance curves had the greatest magnitude, conductance the least (Fig. 3.4). However, capacitance curves were the least reproducible with a range of magnitude values of over 1000 BUCA.

3.2.2 Comparison of the impedance curves produced by four test species of microorganism in GNB

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6, 2.6.1) two Gram positive and two Gram negative test species were monitored for their impedimetric responses in GNB for 36 h. The results of this work are reported in Table 3.2 and shown graphically in Figs. 3.5 & 3.6.

The morphology of the impedance curves was found to vary between the species. As can be seen in Fig. 3.5, there were no shoulders to the curves produced by the Gram

Test species	Impedance magnitude MEAN <u>+</u> SD
<u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994	1090 <u>+</u> 174
<u>Lact. lactis</u> NCIB 6681	767 <u>+</u> 138
<u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCIB 3756	808 <u>+</u> 147
<u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86	814 <u>+</u> 225
	(N=15)

Table 3.2 The mean impedance magnitudes of four test species in GNB.

negative organisms, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>E. coli</u>, while the two Gram positive species, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u>, produced well defined shoulders. Of the four species tested, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> produced impedance curves of greatest magnitude and morphological quality (Fig. 3.5 & 3.6). Use of the students t-Test (see 2.14) showed that the magnitude of the impedance curves produced by <u>L.</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> was significantly higher than those of the three other test species (Table 3.3). Even at the 20 % level there were no significant differences in the magnitude of electrical responses produced by the other three test species.







Figure 3.6 The mean, range and SD of the magnitude of the impedimetric signal produced by four test species in GNB. In each case the dark grey shading represents the range, the horizontal line the mean and the SD is given as a figure.

 $\begin{bmatrix} L. monocytogenes \\ NCTC 11994 \\ NCIB 6681 \\ NCIB 3756 \\ NCIB 86 \\ \hline \\ L = 5.42 (< 0.001\%) \\ | ------| \\ t = 4.62 (< 0.001\%) \\ | -------| \\ t = 3.62 (< 0.02\%) \\ | -------| \\ t = 0.75 (> 20\%) \\ | -------| \\ t = 0.66 (> 20\%) \\ | -------| \\ t = 0.08 (> 20\%) \\ | -------| \\ \end{bmatrix}$

Table 3.3 Statistical analysis of the impedance magnitudes produced by the four test species in GNB. Values of t are given for each pair of species and the corresponding significance levels are shown in brackets. 3.2.3 Determination of the limits of detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by impedimetric assay

To assess the limits of detection, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 was grown under routine conditions (see 2.3), and then 0.1 cm³ samples of a range of dilutions $(10^{-1}$ to 10^{-8}) were simultaneously evaluated for TVC (see 2.4) and impedimetrically monitored for 36 h in 1 cm³ of GNB (see 2.6, 2.6.1 & 2.6.2). The results obtained are both tabulated (Table 3.4) and shown graphically (Fig. 3.7 & 3.8).

Impedance curves of high magnitude and reproducibility were produced throughout the range of inocula tested, enabling easy identification of the DT and therefore potential enumeration of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Table 3.4, Fig. 3.7). A negative correlation (r = -0.9954) was observed between DT and TVC (Fig. 3.8). This was found to be significant at the 0.1 % level. Of major importance is the observation that the impedimetric assay regimen used was able to detect low TVC's of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> such as might be expected to be present in foodstuffs.

In preliminary experiments problems of detection had been encountered with high cell concentrations. As initial temperature fluctuations can have a significant effect on the morphology of electrical curves, the Bactometer automated software does not register impedance responses initiated in periods up to 1 h after monitoring commences. To overcome the problem of curves being produced within this time period, a 10^{-4} dilution was routinely used as

ŢVC	Impedance	
$(CFU cm^{-3}) + SD$	$\begin{array}{c} DT \\ (h) \pm SD \end{array}$	magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^{8}$	0.9 <u>+</u> 0.09	1041 <u>+</u> 161
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^7$	2.9 <u>+</u> 0.09	1163 <u>+</u> 206
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^{6}$	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.21	956 <u>+</u> 203
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^{5}$	7.0 <u>+</u> 0.30	1371 <u>+</u> 469
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^4$	10.2 <u>+</u> 0.29	1253 <u>+</u> 359
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^3$	12.5 <u>+</u> 0.41	1057 <u>+</u> 220
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^2$	14.8 <u>+</u> 0.57	925 <u>+</u> 286
$1.18\pm0.12 \times 10^{1}$	16.5 <u>+</u> 0.48	1240 <u>+</u> 384
\		(N=4)

Table 3.4 Comparison of TVC and impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in GNB

inoculum in later experiments. This dilution of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> produced a DT of approximately 10 h (Table 3.4).

3.2.4 Evaluation of the effect of pH on the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

The pH stability of GNB during preparation was first determined. The pH of freshly prepared non autoclaved, non pH adjusted GNB was 6.36. The medium was then adjusted to pH 7.2 (the optimum for the target species) by the addition of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide and sterilised by autoclaving. After cooling to room temperature, the pH was again determined and found to be 7.13. Due to this



L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 in GNB. Values shown on graphs are in CFU cm⁻³.



consistent 0.07 pH unit reduction of GNB during autoclaving, in later experiments the pH was always adjusted 0.07 units above the desired value prior to autoclaving.

GNB was adjusted to a range of values above and below pH 7.2 the reported optimum for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Kramer & Jones, 1969) by the addition of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (BDH Biochemicals) or 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (BDH Biochemicals). Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 were then monitored for 36 h in the modified medium. The results are shown in Table 3.5.

L. monocytogenes was observed to be able to produce an impedimetric response at all pH values examined, with pH 7.2 being optimal for rapidity of detection. The pH range of 7.2 to 8.2 produced impedance curves of greatest magnitude.

/	Impedance				
pH	DT (h) <u>+</u>	SD	magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD		
5.2	15.6 <u>+</u>	0.15	754 <u>+</u> 16		
6.2	10.4 <u>+</u>	0.75	926 <u>+</u> 30		
7.2	9.6 <u>+</u>	0.52	988 <u>+</u> 81		
8.2	12.2 <u>+</u>	0.05	1061 <u>+</u> 34		
9.2	13.3 <u>+</u>	0.05	877 <u>+</u> 11		
(/ (N=2)		

Table 3.5 The effect of pH on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994.

3.2.5 Evaluation of the effect of sodium chloride concentration on the impedimetric responses of four test species

The influence of the concentration of sodium chloride in GNB on the impedimetric responses of four test species was examined (see 2.3, 2.6 and 2.6.1). The results obtained are given in Table 3.6 and Fig. 3.9.

Test Sodiu species (g	m chloride dm ⁻³)	Impeda DT (h) <u>+</u> SD	ance magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
<u>L.</u> monocytogenes NCTC 11994	2 5 20 45	$9.8\pm0.159.8\pm0.0510.5\pm0.1913.1\pm0.15$	713 <u>+</u> 3 1135 <u>+</u> 31 2750 <u>+</u> 52 3366 <u>+</u> 77
Lact. lactis NCIB 6681	2 5 20 45	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.15 7.7 <u>+</u> 0.10 8.3 <u>+</u> 0.05 16.6 #	475 <u>+</u> 3 621 <u>+</u> 6 1059 <u>+</u> 25 943
<u>Ps</u> , <u>fluorescens</u> NCIB 3756	2 5 20 45	9.2+0.35 9.1+0.30 13.6+0.65 > 36 h	352 <u>+</u> 3 396 <u>+</u> 76 409 <u>+</u> 96 0
<u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86	2 5 20 45	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.30 7.4 <u>+</u> 0.35 11.1 # 28.2 #	446 <u>+</u> 8 630 <u>+</u> 20 1057 1040
		(N=2)

Key
= One artefactual curve produced.

Table 3.6 The effect of sodium chloride concentration on the impedimetric responses of four test species.





L. monocytogenes produced a DT at all concentrations of sodium chloride examined, although the DT started to increase above concentrations of 5 g dm⁻³. Similar increases in the DT's of the other three test species were observed (Table 3.6). The impedance magnitude of all four test species examined also increased with increasing concentration of sodium chloride (Fig. 3.9). Statistical analysis (see 2.14) showed that there was a significant correlation at the 0.1 % level between the impedance magnitude produced by L. monocytogenes and the concentration of sodium chloride in the medium. In E. coli correlation was significant at the 5 % level and in Lact. lactis at the 10 % level. However, there was no significant correlation between impedance magnitude and sodium chloride concentration in Ps. fluorescens. Furthermore, the latter test species was selectively inhibited by the highest concentration (45 g dm⁻³) of sodium chloride used.

In this series of experiments a number of curves with unusual features were produced (see Fig. 3.10 for examples). As it was impossible to determine the DT and impedance magnitude from these artefactual curves and they were easily differentiated from electrical curves with normal characteristics, they were simply discarded. Such curves could have resulted from electrode interference, for example gas production reducing the surface area of the electrodes.





3.3 Discussion

Owens (1985) commented that the selection of the constituents of an impedimetric medium is an empirical trial and error procedure which is expensive in time and effort. This can be confirmed by the current work.

Much thought was given to the choice of test species for examination. L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 was chosen for routine screening as it is a typical haemolytic strain, whereas the type strain L. monocytogenes NCTC 10357, being non haemolytic, is atypical of the species. As the type strain was isolated in 1924 (Murray et al., 1926), much time has elapsed during which its original characteristics could have changed in laboratory culture. The other three test species, Lact. lactis, Ps. fluorescens and E. coli, were chosen as representative Gram positive and negative organisms.

Stock cultures of the test species were routinely grown in nutrient broth to prevent "carry over" of nutrients not present in the impedimetric medium into the Bactometer reaction wells. As it was intended to develop the enumeration applications of the assay, the choice of diluent was also carefully considered. To limit microbial replication a non-nutrient based diluent, 1/4 strength Ringers solution, was used in preference to a nutrient based diluent, such as peptone water. A 0.1 cm³ inoculum of test organism as used by Arnott <u>et al</u>. (1988) was found to be satisfactory.

Impedance was the algorithm chosen for initial work

as L. monocytogenes produced very poor quality conductance curves in GNB (Table 3.1, Fig. 3.4) and capacitance has been reported to be insensitive for detecting bacterial growth (Richards et al., 1978). Although in this work capacitance was found to give electrical curves of the greatest magnitude, that is it was the most sensitive signal, it was also the most variable in response. Furthermore, as the capacitance signal is principally electrode based, in later work (see Chapter 5) it could be particularly sensitive to the deposition of food material onto the electrodes, thereby increasing the proportion of artefactual curves produced such as those shown in Fig. 3.10. Due to these inherent problems with capacitance, the lack of knowledge of this electrical algorithm (Owens, 1985), and the fact it did not yield a significant reduction in DT, it was not considered an appropriate algorithm for use in this work. On the other hand impedance, which is related to both conductance and capacitance (Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis, 1984; Silley, 1991), produced good quality curves under the routine experimental conditions used.

The basal impedimetric medium chosen for evaluation was Nutrient Broth No. 2. This medium was identified as being particularly useful for the growth of <u>Listeria</u> by Ralovich (1984). It was also used by Kramer & Jones (1969) for the growth of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in selective conditions and by Dominguez <u>et al</u>. (1984) to provide essential nutrients in an enrichment medium. The medium was supplemented with glucose, the sugar which is considered

the best energy source for <u>Listeria</u> spp. (Seeliger & Jones, 1986; Lachia, 1990). This allowed <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to produce impedance curves of greater magnitude than the other three test species examined (Fig. 3.5 & 3.6). The magnitude of the response is of major importance as this parameter increases the sensitivity of an electrochemical assay (Hogg <u>et al.</u>, 1987).

The observed difference in impedance magnitude between <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and the other test species could be further increased to differentiate the target organism in a qualitative type assay. To maximise electrical changes Owens (1985) suggested criteria in the following order were important: buffer pH, proton flux, medium constituents (especially the electron donor, electron acceptor, carbon source and nitrogen source) and metabolic products. Both Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis (1984) and Owens (1985) stated that although a reduction in pH is not the main cause of the conductivity signal, protons do produce large changes in capacitance (see 1.5.1) and therefore impedance.

To enhance impedance magnitude, medium constituents should be used which are involved in as many metabolic processes as possible that produce or consume protons. These constituents should be coupled with a buffer that magnifies proton flux by exhibiting a large change in conductivity on the acquisition or loss of protons. As the proton flux determines the magnitude of the buffer-mediated pH change, nutrients should be chosen which promote the maximum flux of protons in one

direction, that is, "lock" the organism into a particular type of metabolism (Owens, 1985). In addition the buffer should retain the pH at or near the optimum for the target organism to ensure rapid metabolism and therefore rapid detection.

There are a number of problems associated with the development of a qualitative type assay. To channel an organism into a particular metabolism requires a narrow spectrum of nutrients, however the speed of impedimetric detection will be reduced if only a limited range of amino acids, carbohydrates and fats are supplied. Furthermore, as the eventual aim of this work is the detection of L. monocytogenes in foods, the influence of food components must be considered. Due to its complicated chemical nature, the presence of a food matrix would inevitably alter the nutrient spectrum supplied and hence preclude metabolic channelling. As the qualitative type of assay requires monocultures of the target organism, the mixed microflora of foods would alter the morphological characteristics of the detection curves produced. Any methods used to obtain a monoculture of the target organism prior to a qualitative impedimetric assay would be time consuming, labour intensive and defeat the object of rapid detection. Furthermore they would not remove the excenzymes produced by microorganisms in certain foods which Owens (1985) reported could affect conductivity. In addition to the complication of differences in substrates and microflora, Bolton (1990) described an inter-experiment variation in electrical signal with one

medium and one test species. It was noticed that impedance magnitude varied with different batches of the basal medium. This could be due to slight variations in time of autoclaving, in contamination levels on glassware or in the surface area of the electrodes. Firstenberg-Eden (1984), for example, has recorded a decrease in capacitance with reuse of electrodes.

In an ideal quantitative assay the target organism should be detected rapidly, producing electrical curves of high quality, magnitude and reproducibility. The DT should be determined by a sharp acceleration enabling reliable cell enumeration. No detection curves should be produced by any non target species present. Unsupplemented GNB (that is GNB without any additional selective agents) did not allow the quantitative detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Table 3.2). Despite this, the quantitative type assay holds more promise than the qualitative type assay for future application to foods. In the former assay there is only a single morphological prerequisite for identification (that is the presence or absence of a recorded DT within the monitoring period) while the latter assay requires expert interpretation of electrical curves.

There are a number of potential ways of improving the quality of electrical curves. For example, as shown in this work, by an increase in concentration of sodium chloride (Table 3.6). Hogg <u>et al</u>. (1987) suggested adding agents to increase the conductivity changes exhibited by electrical media. Owens (1985) outlined other ways of improving electrical responses: Firstly, reduction of ion

pair formation with inorganic nutrients by the use of uni-univalent salts, such as sodium chloride, whenever possible in preference to uni-bivalent salts, such as calcium chloride. Secondly, alteration in the protein content of the medium to include proteins that are metabolised at the pH range 4 to 8 and that contain high concentrations of amino acids like cysteine carrying charge at these pH values. Thirdly, use of polypeptides or amino acids with high nitrogen to carbon ratios to enable greater production of NH_A^+ ions. As an alternative if the reaction wells were covered with sterile oil, attempts could be made to utilise bicarbonate formation from CO₂ to improve the quality of the electrical responses. CO2 itself is known to stimulate the growth of L. monocytogenes (Skovgaard, 1989). Unfortunately, trapping the produced CO₂ may create gas bubbles on the electrodes thus reducing surface area and decreasing the quality of curves (Connolly et al., 1988).

In developing a quantitative type assay the objective is to achieve a DT for low numbers of target organisms which is less than the time required for detection by traditional methodology. Higher concentrations of target organisms are detected even more rapidly. The lower limit of detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by impedimetric assay in GNB was approximately 10 cells detected in under 17 h (Table 3.4, Figs. 3.7 & 3.8). A significant relationship was found to exist between impedance DT and inoculum concentration (Fig. 3.8). This is as expected; many workers have reported a good correlation between DT and

CFU (Firstenberg-Eden, 1983; Firstenberg-Eden <u>et al</u>., 1984; Shapton & Cooper, 1984; van Spreekens & Stekelenberg, 1986; Nieuwenhof & Hoolwerf, 1987). GNB therefore permitted rapid impedimetric detection of low levels of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. To improve the assay, growth enhancing factors, for example glutamine and ferric chloride (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Leighton, 1985), could be added to increase metabolic activity and hence reduce DT's.

A time limit is set in quantitative type assays to enable the differentiation and rejection of late detection curves produced by non target organisms. The DT of 17 h for 1 to 10 CFU of L. monocytogenes (Table 3.4) suggests an appropriate cut off time after which any detection would be the result of a non Listeria species. To increase the differentiation between Listeria and non-Listeria organisms selective agents or antibiotics can be added to the medium to suppress the growth and electrical signals of the latter (Arnott et al., 1988; Connolly et al., 1988). Taking into account the expected partial inhibition of L. monocytogenes by such agents and the fact that the assay is planned for future development with foods, which themselves often have intrinsic antimicrobial properties, a cut off time of more than 24 h might be required to detect low levels of Listeria. Cells damaged as a result of food processing techniques may metabolise more slowly than normal and hence have delayed DT's. As the test species were grown in GNB prior to their impedimetric monitoring in GNB in these preliminary experiments, it is

likely that DT's were reduced as a result of the induction of any necessary enzymes during the former culture. Organisms from foods would have experienced a different nutritional environment before impedimetric monitoring and hence DT's may be increased by the requirement for enzyme induction in the new medium. Due to these factors a self-imposed time limit for detection was initially set at 36 h.

To prevent high cell concentrations of L. monocytogenes producing a detection within the temperature stabilisation period of the Bactometer, dilution of samples prior to their inoculation into the reaction wells was used as a simple precautionary measure. This could be beneficial in food samples as it could decrease the concentration of preservatives present and reduce deposition of food materials on electrodes. In practice, however, the stabilisation period prior to monitoring should not be a problem as only low levels of L. monocytogenes would be expected to be present in foods. Cady et al. (1978) and Firstenberg-Eden & Zindulis (1984) discussed the necessity for an initial equilibration period of 0.5 to 1.5 h. They stated this was required for bulk medium conductance and polarisation capacitance to equilibrate as both are affected by temperature. Conductance was reported to have a temperature coefficient of 1.5 to 2 % per °C depending on the thermal time constant of the test cell and the initial temperature difference. The time to reach capacitance equilibration depended on electrochemical equilibration, viz the time

required for the dielectric to form, and had a temperature constant of 1 % per °C. Monitoring electrical changes during the time when the temperature is stabilising would obviously affect the morphology of the detection curves and could result in a premature DT.

From the investigation of the effect of pH on the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Table 3.5) it was noted that at 7.2, the optimal pH for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Leighton, 1985), the target species produced its shortest DT. In addition <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was found to produce an impedance curve at a wide range of pH values. This could be exploited in a quantitative type assay for the selective elimination of non-target species which are not as tolerant to extremes of pH.

The investigation into the effect of sodium chloride concentration (Table 3.6, Fig. 3.9) revealed that the level present in basal GNB, 5 g dm⁻³ (Kramer & Jones, 1969), was optimal of the concentrations tested for the rapid impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. It has been reported that <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is very tolerant to sodium chloride compared with other microorganisms (Farber, 1989b; Farber <u>et al</u>., 1992). For example while <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is capable of growth in 130 to 140 g dm⁻³ of sodium chloride and capable of surviving 200 g dm⁻³, one of the other test species <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> is inhibited at 45 g dm⁻³. High salt concentrations could therefore be used for the selective inhibition of non <u>Listeria</u> species.

During the work on sodium chloride concentration a number of electrical signals of uncharacteristic

morphology were produced (Fig. 3.10 for examples of artefactual curves). Cossar <u>et al</u>. (1990) reported that such artefactual data are nearly always due to electrode disturbances and much research is now taking place into these responses.

In conclusion it was decided that GNB is suitable for both the growth of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and the active production of electrolytic products of metabolism. However, GNB requires modification to enable the rapid selective detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by a quantitative type assay. The evaluation of antimicrobial agents selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is described in Chapter 4 and the application of the selective impedimetric assay to foodstuffs is considered in Chapter 5.

CHAPTER 4

EVALUATION OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS FOR USE IN A SELECTIVE IMPEDIMETRIC ASSAY FOR L. MONOCYLOGENES

4.1 Introduction

It is possible to detect individual bacterial species or related groups of species by electrically monitoring growth medium containing selective antimicrobial agents (Hadley & Yajko, 1985). The purpose of the antimicrobial agents is to suppress the metabolism of the non target species which include other bacteria, moulds and yeasts. The aim of the research described in this chapter was to determine one or more toxic agents that could facilitate the impedimetric selection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

The basis of selective toxicity has been discussed by Albert (see for example Albert <u>et al</u>., 1945; Albert & Goldacre, 1948; Albert, 1965; Albert, 1985). He has defined "selectivity" as an influence on one type of living cell without corresponding affect on others, and "selective toxicity" as a strong effect on one type of cell without any effect on others, even when both types are growing side by side. Cells that are affected by an antimicrobial agent are called uneconomic cells, whilst those that remain unaffected are termed economic. Common examples of selective toxicity include pesticides employed in agriculture, such as insecticides used to kill insects

without harming either crops or the consumer, and antibiotics used in medicine to eliminate pathogenic infections without injuring the mammalian host.

Toxicity depends upon the structure of the antagonist and its relationship with cellular receptors. Three arguments have been put forward in support of the concept of receptors: the high dilution at which agents can retain their potency; their biological specificity and the difference in effectiveness of optical isomers (Albert, 1985). A toxic agent must reach an appropriate receptor to elicit its toxic effects, and therefore access of the agent to receptor is crucial. The receptors for antimicrobial agents can be enzymic, for example penicillins block the transpeptidase enzymes bacteria require to form new cell wall. Other receptors are found on non catalytic macromolecules, for example acridine dyes, which are essentially flat molecules, intercalate between adjacent base pairs in DNA and induce frameshift mutations.

Three types of selectivity have been described by Albert (1965, 1985):

- A biologically active agent may be equally toxic to all species but accumulate principally in the uneconomic species. This can be due to cytological or biochemical differences; for example, the uneconomic cells may have a greater surface area. This type of selectivity is therefore based on comparative distribution.
- 2. A biologically active agent may react with and injure a metabolite which is present in greater concentration in
the uneconomic species, or only present in the uneconomic species. This form of selectivity is therefore based on **comparative biochemistry**.

3. A biologically active agent may react exclusively with a cytological feature that only exists in the uneconomic species or existing in greater concentrations than in the economic species. This type of selectivity is therefore based on **comparative cytology**.

An impedimetric assay for the detection of L. monocytogenes would need to take advantage of one or more of the above principles of selectivity. For example, use might be made of the known cytological differences between the cell walls of Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. The task of injuring or eliminating non listerial cells without damaging Listeria spp. has been practised in traditional selective microbiological methods for the isolation of L. monocytogenes. Selective agents found useful in these methods were evaluated in the present research for use in the selective impedimetric detection of L. monocytogenes. Initially, selective agents were evaluated for an ability to differentiate Gram positive from Gram negative and fungal cells. Potentially useful agents were then evaluated for their ability to differentiate the genus Listeria from other Gram positive species. The final objective was to determine one or more agents that allow the sole detection of L. monocytogenes and it was predicted that toxic agents that function on

the principle of comparative biochemistry might be the most effective for this purpose.

4.2 Results - Individual Antimicrobial Agents

A selection of antimicrobial agents, the majority of which had previously been used in media reported to be selective for <u>Listeria</u> spp., were evaluated for their spectrum of antimicrobial activity, minimum inhibitory concentrations and effects on the electrical responses of test microorganisms. The selective agents examined were nalidixic acid (see 4.2.1), thallous acetate (see 4.2.2), moxalactam (see 4.2.3), lithium chloride (see 4.2.4), phenylethanol (see 4.2.5), potassium tellurite (see 4.2.6), oxolinic acid (see 4.2.7), acriflavine hydrochloride (see 4.2.8) and proflavine hydrochloride (see 4.2.9).

Optimal cultural conditions, as determined in Chapter 3, were used throughout this work. For routine screening of selective agents a 10^{-4} dilution of an overnight culture was used as inoculum. In the absence of selective agents this concentration of the target species produced a DT of approximately 10 h (Table 3.4) and therefore avoids the complication of detection during the temperature equilibrium period of the Bactometer. As bactericidal or bacteriostatic activity would be expected to delay the DT, a quantitative estimation of the toxicity of individual antimicrobial agents was obtained by comparing the DT's recorded in supplemented and unsupplemented medium.

4.2.1 Investigation of nalidixic acid as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Nalidixic acid has been described as being bactericidal to most of the common Gram negative species of bacteria, although pseudomonad species are resistant. It has been reported to be less active against Gram positive bacteria (Gilman et al., 1985).

Using routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of four test species (chosen as representatives of different broad taxonomic groups of bacteria in terms of morphology and Gram staining characteristics) was determined spectrophotometrically in GNB supplemented with 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid. Nalidixic acid was added to the medium prior to autoclaving, as described by Kramer & Jones (1969) and Ralovich (1989). The results are tabulated in Table 4.1.

The growth of all four organisms was inhibited by nalidizic acid. However, as expected, the growth of the Gram negative microorganisms was affected to a greater extent than that of the Gram positive organisms. The growth of <u>Listeria</u> was the least affected.

Further work was undertaken to evaluate the effect of nalidixic acid on the electrical responses of the test microorganisms. Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of the four test species individually and in mixed culture were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with a range of concentrations of heat sterilised nalidixic acid. The impedance DT and magnitude

/		
Test species	Concentration of nalidixic acid (mg dm ⁻³)	Absorbance at 610 nm after 24 h
	وه ه ه ه ه ت ه و و و و ت ت ت ه ف ت چ چ پ ر	
<u>L.</u>	0	0.45
monocytogenes	20	0.44
NCTC 11994	40	0.37
1	60	0.29

Lact.	U	1.10
<u>lactis</u>	20	1.00
NCIB 6681	40	0.80
} !	60	0.10
<u>E. coli</u>	0	0.35
NCIB 86	20	0.01
1	40	0.01
1	60	0.00
 Pa.	 0	0.66
fluorescens	20	0.06
NCTR 3756	40	0.02
	50	0.02 1
 \	0V	

(N=1)

Table 4.1 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of heat sterilised nalidixic acid on the growth of test species in GNB.

recorded at each concentration of nalidixic acid are given in Table 4.2.

The DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was observed to increase with increasing concentration of heat sterilised nalidixic acid. For example there was a 6 h increase in DT as the level of nalidixic acid was raised from 0 to 20 mg dm⁻³. At the highest concentration of nalidixic acid used, 60 mg dm⁻³, the increase in DT was 15 h. However

Test	Concentration	Ітрес	tance
species	of nalidixic a	cid DT	magnitude
	(mg dm)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	ہ کا کا کا کہ غذا جا ہے کہ نند نوانی نور ہ		ہ ہے کہ جو جو جو جو بن شاخ کے جو جو بنے ن
<u>L.</u>	0	9.1 <u>+</u> 0.9 #	966 <u>+</u> 42
monocytoge	enes 20	15.0 <u>+</u> 4.6	1185 <u>+</u> 165
NCTC 11994	40	19.4 <u>+</u> 0.7	931 <u>+</u> 138
	60	24.1 <u>+</u> 1.2	735 <u>+</u> 110
Lact.	 0	7.0+0.7	751+103
lactis	20	- 7.8 <u>+</u> 0.6	- 734 <u>+</u> 81
NCIB 6581	40	_ 9.8 <u>+</u> 1.2	
	60		620 <u>+</u> 21
<u>E. coli</u>	0	7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 #	658 <u>+</u> 74
NCIB 86	20	> 36	0
	40	> 36	0
	60	> 36	0
 Pg.	0		579+115
fluorescene	20	7.7+0.3 #	525+ 93
NCTR 3756	40	8.6+1.0 #	531+ 84
	60	11.3+0.9 #	513+118
Mixed cult	ure § 0	6.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	981 <u>+</u> 218
+ <u>L.</u>	20	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	1028 <u>+</u> 131
monocytoge	nes 40	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	1022 <u>+</u> 86
	60	10.4 <u>+</u> 1.0	705 <u>+</u> 47
Mixed cult	ure § 0	6.2 <u>+</u> 0.5 #	989 <u>+</u> 173
- <u>L.</u>	20	6.8 <u>+</u> 0.5 #	1016 <u>+</u> 159
monocytoge	nes 40	7.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	887 <u>+</u> 131
	60	8 6+0 7	701-176

(N=6)

<u>Key</u>

\$ = Each mixed culture consisted of: Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, E. coli NCIB 86 and Ps. fluorescens NCIB 3756. # = One artefactual curve produced

Table 4.2 The effect of heat sterilised nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

neither <u>Lact. lactis</u> nor <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> were affected to the same extent, the DT of both species being increased by less than 5 h at 60 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid. Only the electrical detection of <u>E. coli</u> was prevented during the time course of the assay by supplementing GNB with nalidixic acid.

No detectable difference was found between the electrical curves produced by the mixed culture in the presence and in the absence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Therefore the sole impedimetric detection of this target organism was not possible using GNB supplemented with heat sterilised nalidixic acid. This was expected from extrapolation of the results obtained with individual organisms.

Electrical curves with a spike on the apex (see Fig. 3.10) were sometimes produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u> in this work. However such curves were not observed in all experiments with pure cultures of these species or with mixed cultures containing these species. As "artefactual" electrical curves are easily distinguished from curves of normal morphology, they were not taken into consideration in the subsequent work reported. An investigation was undertaken to evaluate the effect of an alternative method of nalidixic acid sterilisation on this agent's influence on impedimetric responses. In these experiments nalidixic acid was filter sterilised, as described by Buchanan <u>et al</u>. (1987), prior to aseptic transfer to autoclaved GNB. Using routine

conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of the mixed cultures used in the previous experiment were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with a range of concentrations of nalidixic acid. The results are tabulated in Table 4.3.

The alternative method of preparation of nalidixic acid resulted in a greater delay in DT for both mixed cultures at all concentrations of antimicrobial agent tested. This is evidence for the destruction of nalidixic acid during autoclaving, and this finding dictated the use of filter sterilising for all potentially heat labile selective agents in later experiments.

It can therefore be concluded that GNB supplemented with autoclaved or filter sterilised nalidixic acid does not allow the sole detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from mixed cultures of microorganisms.

4.2.2 Investigation of thallous acetate as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

The selective agent thallous acetate (or thallium acetate) has previously been used for the isolation of Gram positive Lactic acid bacteria (Sharpe, 1952) as well as for Listeria spp. (Kramer & Jones, 1969).

Test	Conc	entration o	of .	Impedance	
species	nali	dixic acid	DT	magnitude	
	(mg	dm ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	
Mixed cultur	:e S	 0	6.5+0.1	1123+130	
+ <u>L.</u>	-	20	8.1 <u>+</u> 0.6	608 <u>+</u> 12	
monocytogene	8	40	10.4 <u>+</u> 1.6	704 <u>+</u> 52	
		60	21.4 <u>+</u> 1.6	564 <u>+</u> 36	
Mixed cultur	• \$	0	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.5	939 <u>+</u> 208	
- <u>L.</u>		20	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.4	813 <u>+</u> 85	
monocytogene	<u>=</u>	40	12.1 <u>+</u> 1.5	806 <u>+</u> 52	
		60	17.2+4.9	705+120	

(N=4)

Key
\$ = Each mixed culture consisted of:
Lact. lactis NCIB 6681,
E. coli NCIB 86 and
Ps. fluorescens NCIB 3756.

Table 4.3 The effect of filter sterilised nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of mixed cultures of test species.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of four test species was monitored by spectrophotometric assay in GNB supplemented with 0 to 3 g dm⁻³ of heat sterilised thallous acetate. This range of concentrations was chosen as 2 g dm⁻³ has been found to be effective in traditional methodology for the isolation of <u>Listeria</u> spp. (Kramer & Jones, 1969). Extreme care was taken with use of this poison (Gilman <u>et al</u>., 1985). The results of this work are given in Table 4.4.

Test species	Concentration of thallous acetate $(\alpha \ dm^{-3})$	Absorbance at 680 nm after 24 h
	(3 — 7	
<u>L.</u>	0	0.32
monocytogenes	1	0.28
NCTC 11994	2	0.26
	3	0.22
Lact.	0	0.54
lactis	1	0.80 P
NCIB 6681	2	0.85 F
	3	0.70 2
<u>E. coli</u>	0	0.17
NCIB 86	1	0.00
	2	0.00
	3	0.00
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0.48
luorescens	1	0.00
NCIB 3756	2	0.00
	3	0.00
		(N=1)
	b - c	

P = Thallous acetate precipitate disturbed

Table 4.4 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of thallous acetate on the growth of test species in GNB.

All concentrations of thallous acetate tested were found to be effective against both Gram negative test organisms, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>E. coli</u>. However, the highest concentration tested, 3 g dm⁻³, was not effective against either of the Gram positive test organisms, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u>. Thallous acetate may therefore prove to be a useful anti Gram negative agent for inclusion in a selective impedimetric medium.

A precipitate of thallous acetate was produced even

at 1 g dm⁻³, the lowest concentration tested. As this deposit was accidentally disturbed in the tubes containing <u>Lact. lactis</u> no accurate absorbance readings could be obtained for this organism, although microbial growth was seen to be present at all concentrations.

The absorbance of the unsupplemented GNB controls of the four test species in Table 4.4 varied from those in Table 4.1. This variation may be due to carry over of differing amounts of stock culture into GNB for routine growth of the organisms prior to the selective toxic assay (see 2.3). Alternatively, it could be due to the use of different wavelengths in each case.

Further work was carried out to determine the effect of thallous acetate on the impedance curves produced by the four test species. Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of the test species individually and in mixed culture were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 3 g dm⁻³ of thallous acetate. The results are tabulated in Table 4.5.

The lowest concentration of thallous acetate tested, 1 g dm⁻³, inhibited the impedimetric responses of both Gram negative test species, <u>E. coli</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>, during the time course of the assay. The Gram positive organisms <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u> produced impedance curves in the supplemented medium, with those of the former species being of greater magnitude. However, the selective agent delayed the DT of both organisms to

/	Concentration of	 T1	
species	thallous acetate	DT	magnitude
	(g dm ⁻³)	(h) + SD	(BUIC) + SD
 *			
<u>L.</u>	0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	1018 <u>+</u> 87
monocytogene	1	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	1032 <u>+</u> 144
NCTC 11994	2	12.1 <u>+</u> 0.5	924 <u>+</u> 83
	3	11.7 <u>+</u> 0.5	1029 <u>+</u> 109
Lact.	 0	7.1+0.4 #	726+132
lactis	1	10.3+0.7	718+117
NCIB 6681	2	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	705 <u>+</u> 83
	3	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.8 #	667 <u>+</u> 139
E. coli	0	8.1+0.7	 686 <u>+</u> 6
NCIB 86	1	> 36	- 0
	2	> 36	0
	3	> 36	0
 Ps.	0	7.0+0.8	845 <u>+</u> 185
fluorescens	1	> 36	0
NCIB 3756	2	> 36	0
	3	> 36	0
L. Bonocytog	<u>enes</u> 0	6.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	818 <u>+</u> 2
NCTC 11994 &	1	10.1 <u>+</u> 0.5	823 <u>+</u> 22
Lact. lactis	2	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	890 <u>+</u> 49
NCIB 6681	3	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	781 <u>+</u> 19
Mixed culture	s 0	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	912 <u>+</u> 32
+ <u>L.</u>	1	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	572 <u>+</u> 40
monocytogener	2	10.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	652 <u>+</u> 5
	3	11.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	672 <u>+</u> 2
Mixed culture	s 0	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	844 <u>+</u> 18
- <u>L.</u>	1	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	485 <u>+</u> 6
monocytogener	2	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	508 <u>+</u> 19
	3	12.2 <u>+</u> 0.6	470 <u>+</u> 33
************			(N=4)

<u>Key</u> **s** = Each mixed culture consisted of: Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, E. coli NCIB 86 and Ps. fluorescens NCIB 3756. # = One artefactual curve produced.

Table 4.5 The effect of thallous acetate on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

approximately the same extent at all concentrations tested. This finding together with the observation of a white precipitate at all concentrations suggests that the GNB solution may be saturated with thallous acetate even at 1 g dm⁻³. However, from the absorbance of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Table 4.4) it was seen that an increase in thallous acetate from 1 to 3 g dm⁻³ caused a corresponding but small decrease in the growth of this test species indicating the thallous acetate was not saturated at these concentrations despite the precipitation.

The difference between the optimal concentration of thallous acetate determined by Kramer and Jones (1969) and that observed in this work can be credited to variation in the test species employed and to the method of analysis used. Additionally the aim of this work was to find a concentration of thallous acetate which prevented non <u>Listeria</u> test species from reaching the threshold level required to produce electrical curves within 36 h and not to prevent the formation of colonies by those species.

The high impedance magnitude of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in pure culture was not observed in the mixed culture containing <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Table 4.5). This suggests that the presence of mixed cultures of organisms can mediate the characteristics of the impedance curves thought to be attributable to target organisms within those mixed cultures.

An investigation was carried out to determine whether lower concentrations of thallous acetate than those previously used (Table 4.5) were as effective against Gram negative organisms. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 0.6 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate. The results are given in Table 4.6.

The lowest concentration of thallous acetate which inhibited the impedimetric detection of Gram negative <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> was 0.06 g dm⁻³. This concentration had a negligible effect on the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, causing less than a 1.0 h increase. However, the effectiveness of this concentration of thallous acetate in preventing one particular Gram negative test organism from producing a detection curve may not be representative of Gram negative organisms generally.

It was therefore concluded that thallous acetate could possibly be used to eliminate the detection curves of Gram negative organisms without causing an excessive delay in the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. In addition, as very few artefactual curves were produced in thallous acetate supplemented GNB this agent may have a curve stabilising effect. However, because of its mammalian toxicity it would not be used in preference to an alternative agent with similar characteristics.

Test	Concentration	I	mpedance
species	of thallous	DT	magnitude
	acetate (g dm ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
<u>L.</u>	0	9.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	1097 <u>+</u> 13
monocytogene	<u> </u>	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	1202 <u>+</u> 26
NCTC 11994	0.04	10.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	1162 <u>+</u> 15
	0.06	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1224 <u>+</u> 13
	0.20	15.4 <u>+</u> 1.7	1096 <u>+</u> 49
	0.40	17.2 <u>+</u> 0.8	1040 <u>+</u> 10
	0.60	18.5 #	698
<u>Ps.</u>	0	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	655 <u>+</u> 35
fluorescens	0.02	13.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	543 <u>+</u> 7
NCIB 3756	0.04	24.5 <u>+</u> 0.6	431 <u>+</u> 3
	0.06	> 36	0
	0.20	> 36	0
	0.40	> 36	0
	0.60	> 36	0

(N=2)

Key # = One artefactual curve produced

Table 4.6 The effect of thallous acetate on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and Ps. fluorescens.

4.2.3 Investigation of moxalactam as an agent selective for L. monocytogenes

A number of cephalosporins, such as ceftazidime, cefotetan and moxalactam, have been used for the selective isolation of Listeria (Curtis et al., 1989b). The third generation cephalosporins, which include moxalactam, have been reported to have a broad spectrum of activity although they are less active against Gram positive organisms (Gilman <u>et al</u>., 1985).

Using the routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of four test species was monitored by spectrophotometric assay in GNB supplemented with 0 to 60 mg dm^{-3} moxalactam. The results are shown in Table 4.7.

The growth of the Gram negative organisms <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>E. coli</u> was completely inhibited at 20 mg dm⁻³, the lowest concentration of moxalactam tested. The growth of both Gram positive organisms, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u>, was reduced at all concentrations of moxalactam used, with the latter organism showing greater sensitivity.

Test species	Concentration of moxalactam (mg dm ⁻³)	Absorbance at 680 nm after 24 1
<u>L.</u>	0	0.50
BODOCYLOGEDES	20	0.44
NCTC 11994	40	0.38
	60	0.16
Lact.	0	1.20
lactis	20	0.80
NCIB 6681	40	0.70
	60	0.18
<u>E. coli</u>	0	0.85
NCIB 86	20	0.00
	40	0.00
	60	0.00
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0.80
fluorescens	20	0.03
NCIB 3756	40	0.03
	60	0.05

(N=1)

Table 4.7 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of moxalactam on the growth of test species in GNB.

Further work was carried out to determine the effect of moxalactam on the impedance curves of the test organisms. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of the four test species individually and in mixed culture were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³ of moxalactam. The results are recorded in Table 4.8.

The lowest concentration of moxalactam used, 20 mg dm⁻³, inhibited the impedimetric detection of the three non Listeria test species examined both individually and in mixed culture. One false positive detection curve was produced by the mixed culture without L. monocytogenes at 20 mg dm⁻³; however the DT in this reaction well was delayed extensively. Such a false detection could be easily prevented by a small increase in the concentration of moxalactam. This should not delay the detection of L. monocytogenes unduly as none of the concentrations of moxalactam used in this experiment caused more than a two h increase in its DT. The target organism itself was consistently detected in both pure and mixed culture. Furthermore it produced impedance curves of good morphology and reasonable magnitude at all concentrations of moxalactam tested.

The medium formulation used in this experiment produced artefactual curves in 8 out of 128 reaction wells (see Fig. 3.10 for examples). However, these curves occurred in both supplemented and unsupplemented GNB

/			
Test species	Concentration	Im	pedance
(& number of	of moxalactam	DT	magnitude
samples)	(mg dm ⁻)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	·····	A 5+0 5	1070+126
<u>#1</u> managembananaa	20	10.040.3.4	10/3- 73
	20	10.040.3 #	1242 7 73
	•0	11.1 <u>+</u> 1.0	1008-192
(X =4)	60	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.1 *	911 <u>+</u> 24
Lact.	0	9.0 <u>+</u> 0.8 #	1277 <u>+</u> 740
lactis	20	> 36	0
NCTC 6681	40	> 36	0
(3=4)	60	> 36	0
		••••••	036+ 70
<u>E. CO11</u>	0	8.5 <u>+</u> 0.1 *	a30 <u>+</u> /a
NCIB 86	20	> 36	0
(X=4)	40	> 36	0
,	60	> 36	0
<u>Ps.</u>	0	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.6	857 <u>+</u> 36
fluorescens	20	> 36	0
NCIB 3756	40	> 36	0
(#=4)	60	> 36	0
Mixed culture	s 0	6.3+0.3	1159+176
+ L.	20	9,8+0.6	1369+419
Borocytogenes	40	11.6+1.2 #	1112+113
(#=6)	60	13.9+1.7	955+195
Mixed culture	\$ 0	7.8 <u>+</u> 1.0	1162 <u>+</u> 204
- <u>L.</u>	20	26.4 (1) 8	822
Bonocytogenes		> 36 (7)	o i
()=8)	40	> 36	0
	60	> 36	0 1

<u>Key</u>

- # = One artefactual curve produced * = Two artefactual curves produced
- $\bar{\sigma}$ = Number of curves of each type in brackets
- **S** = Each mixed culture consisted of: Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86 and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCIB 3756.

Table 4.8 The effect of moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures. indicating that moxalactam was not the causative agent.

A number of problems were encountered when this set of experiments was repeated with a batch of moxalactam which was approximately 5 months old (I Hancock, unpublished data). This indicates that the agent is unstable and loses activity on long term storage.

As moxalactam had been found to be effective against three non <u>Listeria</u> species the range of test microorganisms was then increased to include five new species of lactic acid bacteria. These species are commonly found in dairy products susceptible to contamination by <u>Listeria</u> spp. Higher concentrations of the selective agent were used with the aim of achieving the sole impedimetric detection of

L. monocytogenes.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of eight test species was measured by spectrophotometric assay in GNB supplemented with 0 to 120 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results are tabulated in Table 4.9.

It was found that the lowest concentration of moxalactam tested, 40 mg dm⁻³, prevented the growth of the Gram negative microorganisms, <u>E. coli</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>, and two of the Gram positive microorganisms, <u>Lact. lactis</u> subsp <u>cremoris</u> and <u>Leuc. mesenteroides</u> subsp <u>cremoris</u>. However, at the highest concentration used, 120 mg dm⁻³, moxalactam inhibited the growth of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to a greater extent than that of the remaining three lactic acid bacteria, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u>, <u>Ent. faecalis</u> and <u>Strep.</u> salivarius.

Test species	Concentration	Absorbance at
	of moxalactam	680 nm after
	(mag dm ²³)	24 h
 L.	0	0.38
	40	0.15
CTC 11994	80	0.20
	120	0.09
Strep.	0	0.63
galactiae	40	0,58
CIB 8778	80	0.45
	120	0.29
act. lactis	0	0.56
subsp <u>cremoris</u>	40	0.00
ICIB 8662	80	0.00
	120	0.00
<u>int.</u>	0	0.75
aecalis	40	0.64
CIB 775	80	0.30
	120	0.13
trep.	0	0.60
alivarius	40	0.59
CIB 8883	80	0.45
	120	0.30
euc.	0	0.41
esenteroides	40	0.00
subsp <u>cremoris</u>	80	0.00
NCIB 12008	120	0.00
<u>. coli</u>	0	0.50
CIB 86	40	0.00
	80	0.00
	120	0.00
	0	0.71
<u>8.</u>		0.01
<u>s.</u> luorescens	40	0.01
<u>s.</u> luorescens CIB 3756	40 80	0.00

Table 4.9 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of moxalactam on the growth of test species in GNB.

In the experiments so far reported the diammonium salt of moxalactam had been evaluated for its potential as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. At this stage in the research its commercial production was discontinued so, on the advice of Sigma's Technical Service Department, the sodium salt was used instead in all subsequent experiments. As the latter type of moxalactam differed both chemically and in physical appearance from the original type, its influence on the electrical curves of the standard four test species was therefore reexamined.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of the four test species were monitored in GNB supplemented with 0 to 100 mg dm⁻³ of the sodium salt of moxalactam. The results are tabulated in Table 4.10.

The effect of the sodium salt of moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of the test species was found to be similar to that of the diammonium salt used in previous work (Table 4.8). The new type of moxalactam inhibited the impedimetric detection of all three non <u>Listeria</u> species although <u>Lact. lactis</u> produced one late detection curve at 20 mg dm⁻³. This could have been due to a heavy inoculum of stock culture being taken for overnight incubation in GNB prior to use on this occasion. Such late detection curves were prevented by the use of a higher concentration of moxalactam.

				'
Test species	Concentration	Imp	edance	
	of moxalactam	DT	magnitude	Ì
	(mg dm ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	
<u>L.</u>	0	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	1135 <u>+</u> 72	
monocytogenea	20	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	1191 <u>+</u> 74	
NCTC 11994	40	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.9	1127 <u>+</u> 41	
	60	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.4	1089 <u>+</u> 30	
	80	13.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	1036 <u>+</u> 2	
	100	16.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	862 <u>+</u> 94	
Lact.	0	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	730 <u>+</u> 11	
lactis	20	34.6(1) b	93	
NCIB 6681		> 36 (2),#	0	
	40	> 36	0	
	60	> 36	0	
. coli	0	5.1 <u>+</u> 0.0	771 <u>+</u> 25	
NCIB 86	20	> 36	0	
	40	> 36	0	
	60	> 36	0	
Ps.	0	9.3 <u>+</u> 1.3	481 <u>+</u> 117	-
fluorescens	20	> 36	0	
NCIB 3756	40	> 36	0	
	60	> 36	0	
				-/

Key

= One artefactual curve produced.

 $\bar{\Phi}$ = Number of curves of each type in brackets.

Table 4.10 The effect of the sodium salt of moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of four test species.

It was concluded that moxalactam could be useful for incorporation into a selective impedimetric medium as it is active against both Gram negative and a number of Gram positive test species (Table 4.9). It does not hinder the quality of impedance curves or increase the DT of the target organism <u>L. monocytogenes</u> unduly (Tables 4.8 & 4.10).

4.2.4 Investigation of lithium chloride as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

The toxic effects of lithium chloride are related to the imperfect substitution of lithium for other cations such as sodium and potassium ions which normally generate electrochemical gradients and maintain osmotic balance (Oehme, 1978).

Using routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of seven test species was monitored by spectrophotometric assay in GNB supplemented with 0 to 6 g dm⁻³ lithium chloride. This range of concentrations was chosen for evaluation as Lee & McClain (1986) used 5 g dm⁻³ lithium chloride in their selective plating medium. The results are recorded in Table 4.11.

Lithium chloride was not found to be an effective selective agent at the concentrations tested as it allowed the growth of all test microorganisms examined except Lact. lactis.

In conjunction with the spectrophotometric assays, work was carried out to evaluate the effect of lithium chloride on the impedimetric responses of test species. Using routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) eight test microorganisms were monitored in GNB supplemented with 0 to 6 g dm⁻³ lithium chloride. The results are tabulated in Table 4.12.

Test species	Concentration	Absorbance at
	of lithium	680 nm after
	chloride	24 h
	(g dan ⁻³)	
 L.	0	0.28
<u>onocytogenes</u>	2	0.25
CTC 11994	4	0.23
	6	0.22
<u>act.</u>	0	0.89
actis	2	0.80
CIB 6681	4	0.20
	6	0.04
	0	0.81
galactiae	2	0.70
CIB 8778	4	0.65
	6	0.58
<u>nt.</u>	0	0.83
aecalis	2	0.76
CIB 775	4	0.70
	6	0.68
trep,	0	0.82
alivarius	2	0.72
CIB 8883	4	0.49
	6	0.30
<u>coll</u>	0	0.32
CIB 86	2	0.24
	4	0.35
	6	0.34
L.	0	0.40
luorescens	2	0.38
CIB 3756	4	0.40
	6	0.38

Table 4.11 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of lithium chloride on the growth of test species in GNB.

	Concentration of	I	mpedance
species	lithium chloride	DT	magnitude
	(g da= ¯)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
<u>L.</u>	0	10.4 <u>+</u> 3.0	961 <u>+</u> 52
monocytogene	2 2	10.5 #	1357
NCTC 11994	4	10.0 <u>+</u> 0.2	1513 <u>+</u> 45
	6	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	1503 <u>+</u> 11
Lact.	O	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.0	1098 <u>+</u> 21
lactis	2	9.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	1209 <u>+</u> 6
NCIB 6681	4	8.0 <u>+</u> 2.0	1370 <u>+</u> 19
	6	8.8 #	1578
<u>Ent.</u>	0	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	1093 <u>+</u> 69
faecalis	2	7.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	1320 <u>+</u> 28
NCIB 775	4	7.1 #	1621
	6	8.3 #	1675
Strep.	0	6.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	1183 <u>+</u> 9
<u>galactiae</u>	2	6.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	1399 <u>+</u> 55
CIB 8778	4	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.0	1608 <u>+</u> 96
	6	6.7 #	1649
itrep.	0	6.1 <u>+</u> 0.0	1104 <u>+</u> 1
<u>alivarius</u>	2	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.2	1439 <u>+</u> 98
CIB 8883	4	6.2 #	1541
	6	6.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	1594 <u>+</u> 624
actob.	0	33.1 <u>+</u> 1.6	217 <u>+</u> 132
asel	2	> 36	0
ubsp <u>rhamo</u>	<u>sus</u> 4	> 36	0
CIB 6375	6	> 36	0
. coll	0	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	716 <u>+</u> 41
CIB 86	2	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	881 <u>+</u> 80
	4	*	
	6	14.8 #	928
··	0	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	698 <u>+</u> 8
luorescens	2	7.1 #	798
CIB 3756	4	7.2 #	818
	6	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.0	917 <u>+</u> 50

Key
= One artefactual curve produced
* = Two artefactual curves produced

Table 4.12 The effect of lithium chloride on the impedimetric responses of test species.

The DT's of all test microorganisms except Lactob. casei subsp rhamnosus and E. coli were unaffected even at the highest concentration of lithium chloride tested, thus indicating a far greater concentration was required for the selective inhibition of most test species. As Lactob. casei subsp rhamnosus produced a late DT in unsupplemented GNB and E. coli produced a number of artefactual curves in the higher concentrations of supplemented GNB, it is difficult to determine the exact effects of the lithium chloride on these test species. It must be noted that while the growth of Lact. lactis was affected by lithium chloride (Table 4.11) the impedimetric responses of this species were not inhibited at equivalent concentrations of the selective agent (Table 4.12). This indicates that either there were discrepancies between the inoculum concentrations in the two assays or that the spectrophotometric assay is not a good predictor of the impedimetric responses of this organism.

It was observed that the addition of lithium chloride to GNB improved the magnitude of the impedance curves, thus making the interpretation of the curves easier (see 2.6.1). The potential of this chemical as a curve improving agent may be limited, however, as a large number of artefactual curves were produced particularly in the higher concentrations of lithium chloride supplemented GNB.

Further work was then carried out to determine whether lower concentrations of lithium chloride would

improve the quality of impedance curves. Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of two test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 1.0 g dm⁻³ lithium chloride. The results are tabulated (Table 4.13).

Lithium chloride concentrations in the range 0.2 to $1.0 \text{ g} \text{ dm}^{-3}$ were found to improve the magnitude and, to a lesser extent, the morphology of the impedance curves produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>. A much lower proportion of artefactual curves were observed in this set of experiments than had been previously found when higher concentrations of lithium chloride were used (Table 4.12).

It was concluded that while lithium chloride did not allow the selection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from mixed culture, low concentrations of this agent might be used in a selective impedimetric medium to improve the quality of the curves produced by the target organism.

4.2.5 Investigation of phenylethanol as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

Phenylethanol has been used as a selective agent in traditional plate methods of isolating <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. It has been found to suppress the growth of some <u>Pseudomonas</u> spp. (McBride & Girard, 1960; Mossel <u>et al</u>., 1977).

Test	Concentration	Imj	pedance
species	of lithium	DT	magnitude
	chloride	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	(g dm ⁻³)		
<u>L.</u>	0.0	8.6 <u>+</u> 0	1086 <u>+</u> 27
monocytogene	<u>s</u> 0.2	8.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	1590 <u>+</u> 76
NCTC 11994	0.4	8.4 #	2107
	0.6	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.1	2396 <u>+</u> 11
	1.0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	1234 <u>+</u> 20
Ps.	0.0	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	709 <u>+</u> 8
fluorescens	0.2	7.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	1033 <u>+</u> 10
NCIB 3756	0.4	7.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	1059 <u>+</u> 56
	0.6	8.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	1147 <u>+</u> 7
	1.0	7.8 <u>+</u> 1.2	568 <u>+</u> 11

Key
= One artefactual curve produced

N=2)

Table 4.13 The effect of lithium chloride on the impedimetric responses of two test species.

A spectrophotometric assay was attempted to evaluate the antimicrobial spectrum of phenylethanol. However, although previous workers had used similar concentrations (Kramer & Jones, 1969), phenylethanol was found in this work to be immiscible in GNB at 2 to 6 g dm⁻³. Use of a small amount of inorganic solvent to promote dispersion of phenylethanol was deliberated, but evaluating potential additive or synergistic effects was not thought desirable at this stage as other agents, such as thallous acetate and moxalactam, had already been found to be capable of eliminating electrical signals produced by Gram negative <u>Pseudomonas</u> spp. Furthermore, Adak <u>et al</u>. (1987b) showed even minute quantities of solvents affected electrical signals. It was therefore decided to discontinue the investigation of phenylethanol at this point.

4.2.6 Investigation of potassium tellurite as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

Gray <u>et al</u>. (1950) reported that potassium tellurite at concentrations of 0.1 to 1.0 g dm⁻³ was effective against Gram negative organisms. Leighton (1979) advised the use of 0.5 g dm⁻³ for the same purpose.

Using the routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of four test species was determined spectrophotometrically in GNB supplemented with 0 to 1.25 g dm⁻³ potassium tellurite. The results are tabulated in Table 4.14.

The Gram negative species <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>E. coli</u> were both inhibited at the lowest concentration of potassium tellurite tested, 0.25 g dm⁻³. At the highest concentration of potassium tellurite, 1.25 g dm⁻³, the growth of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was inhibited less than that of the other Gram positive organism, <u>Lact. lactis</u>. Both <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u> were observed to produce a black colour change in the potassium tellurite supplemented GNB.

Further work was undertaken to ascertain the effect of lower concentrations of potassium tellurite on impedance detection. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 0.6 g dm⁻³ potassium tellurite. The results are tabulated (Table 4.15).

Test species	Concentration	Absorbance at
	of potassium	680 nm after
	tellurite	24 h
	(g dm)	
L.	0	0.44
monocytogenes	0.25	0.64 æ
NCTC 11994	0.50	0.91 æ
	0.75	0.29 æ
	1.00	0.32 æ
	1.25	0.20 æ
·		A 16
Lact.	0.25	0.10
NATE 6601	0.25	0.32 æ
NCIP COOL	0.30	0.20 æ
	1.00	0.16 a
	1.25	0.04 æ
<u>E. coli</u>	0	0.27
NCIB 86	0.25	0.01
	0.50	0.00
	0.75	0.00
	1.00	0.00
	1.25	0.00
 Ps.	0	 0.42
fluorescens	0.25	0.00
NCIB 3756	0.50	0.00
	0.75	0.00
	1.00	0.00
	1.25	0.00
		(N=1)
		Key
	æ = (Colour change

Table 4.14 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of potassium tellurite on the growth of test species in GNB.

Potassium tellurite inhibited <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> at the lowest concentration tested, 0.02 g dm⁻³, whilst <u>L. monocytogenes</u> had an approximate 1 h delay in DT at 0.02 g dm⁻³ and was resistant up to 0.04 g dm⁻³. Unfortunately the presence of potassium tellurite in GNB greatly reduced impedance magnitude. This made the interpretation of the curves very difficult and effectively precluded the use of potassium tellurite in a selective impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Test	Concentration	In	spedance	
species	of potassium	DT	magnitude	1
	tellurite (g dm ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	1
<u>L.</u>	0	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.0	907 <u>+</u> 19	
monocytogen	es 0.02	10.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	201 <u>+</u> 2	I
NCTC 11994	0.04	14.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	74 <u>+</u> 1	1
	0.06	> 36	0	ł
	0.20	> 36	0	1
	0.40	> 36	0	1
	0.60	> 36	0	
<u>Ps.</u>	0	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	669 <u>+</u> 5	
fluorescens	0.02	> 36	0	I
NCIB 3756	0.04	> 36	0	I
	0.06	> 36	0	1
	0.20	> 36	o	I
	0.40	> 36	0	I
	0.60	> 36	0	ł

Table 4.15 The effect of potassium tellurite on the impedimetric responses of the test species <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>.

4.2.7 Investigation of oxolinic acid as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Oxolinic acid, a quinolone, is a congener of nalidixic acid. It is similar to nalidixic acid in its mechanism of action and spectrum of antimicrobial activity, although it is supposedly two to four times more active than nalidixic acid <u>in vitro</u> (Gilman <u>et al.</u>, 1985).

Oxolinic acid was found to produce a fine precipitate in aqueous solution. As filter sterilisation would affect the final concentration in GNB, this agent was sterilised by autoclaving. This resulted in an aqueous solution of unknown concentration, although the total content of the suspension of oxolinic acid was at the concentration stated.

As the particulate nature of oxolinic acid would influence the absorbance readings obtained in the spectrophotometric assay (see methods 2.5), this assay was not performed. However the effect of oxolinic acid on the electrical responses of test species was investigated. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of eight test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 20 mg dm⁻³ oxolinic acid. These concentrations were chosen as 60 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid had delayed the DT of L. monocytogenes by 15 h (Table 4.2). The results are tabulated (Table 4.16).

	'
rest concentration of impounde magnit	tude
$(m_{c} dm^{-3})$ (h) + SD (BUIC) +	SD
0 9.3 <u>+</u> 0.2 1168 <u>+</u> 20	00
<u>accytogenes</u> 6 9.0 <u>+</u> 0.1 925 <u>+</u>	1
rc 11994 12 9.5 <u>+</u> 1.0 905 <u>+</u>	3
20 9.5 <u>+</u> 0.3 1000 <u>+</u> 7	73
ct. 0 8.4 <u>+</u> 0.2 815 <u>+</u>	5
ctis 6 8.1 <u>+</u> 0.1 802 <u>+</u>	2
IB 6681 12 9.2 <u>+</u> 0.2 822 <u>+</u> J	17
20 9.3 <u>+</u> 0.5 852 <u>+</u>	6
t_ 0 7.0±0.1 882± 3	 17
ecalis 6 7.2 # 1021	
IB 775 12 9.6±0.9 904± 1	12
20 8.6 <u>+</u> 0.3 913 <u>+</u>	6
rap. 0 6.3+0.0 785+ 5	 52
livarius 6 6.5+0.0 848+ 7	20
TB 8883 12 9.1+0.2 739+ 4	46
20 10.5 <u>+</u> 0.0 763 <u>+</u> 4	47
cereus 0 7.0+0.0 544 <u>+</u> 2	 22
CTB 8122 6 > 36 0	
12 > 36 0	
20 > 36 0	
0 10.3 # 915	
btilis 6 > 36 # 0	
TB 8703 12 > 36 0	
20 > 36 0	
	 78
CTB 86 6 11.7 # 133	
12 17.0+0.9 1067+ 6	89
20 > 36 0	
0 9.0+0.4 880 <u>+</u> 20	 67
uorescens 6 9.1±0.1 773±	3
TB 3756 12 24.2 # 605	
20 *	

Key
= One artefactual curve produced
* = Two artefactual curves produced

Table 4.16 The effect of oxolinic acid on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB.

Both L. monocytogenes and the other lactic acid bacteria produced impedimetric signals at all concentrations of oxolinic acid tested. However even at 20 mg dm⁻³ the oxolinic acid supplemented GNB yielded poor quality impedance curves with a rounded acceleration (see Fig. 3.3). As the shoulder of these curves was indistinct, curve interpretation was very difficult. The impedimetric responses of the Bacillus spp. were eliminated at the lowest concentration of oxolinic acid examined, 6 mg dm^{-3} , and those of <u>E. coli</u> were inhibited at 20 mg dm⁻³. Due to the production of artefactual curves it is not certain that the latter concentration of oxolinic acid was also inhibitory to Ps. fluorescens, however as a late detection curve was produced at 12 mg dm^{-3} this is likely to be the case. An unexpected observation was the difference in relative sensitivity of L. monocytogenes and Ps. fluorescens to nalidixic acid (Table 4.2) and to oxolinic acid (Table 4.16).

Further work was carried out to assess the effect of lower concentrations of oxolinic acid on the impedimetric responses of a range of test species. These experiments were performed to determine whether concentrations capable of eliminating the electrical signals produced by <u>Bacillus</u> spp. affected the quality of impedance curves produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of seven test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 6 mg dm⁻³ oxolinic acid. The results are tabulated (Table 4.17).

1690	Concentration of	Impe	edance
species	oxolinic acid	DT	magnitude
	(mg dm ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC)_ SD
<u>L.</u>	0	9.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	918 <u>+</u> 34
monocytogen	<u>es</u> 2	10.1 #	987
NCTC 11994	4	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.4	1066 <u>+</u> 43
	6	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	1037 <u>+</u> 103
Lact.	0	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	711 <u>+</u> 7
lactis	2	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.0	661 <u>+</u> 9
NCIB 6681	4	9.1 <u>+</u> 0.4	747 <u>+</u> 4
	6	8.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	695 <u>+</u> 68
Ent.	0	7.1 #	785
faecalis	2	7.6 <u>+</u> 1.0	884 <u>+</u> 32
NCIB 775	4	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	838 <u>+</u> 33
	6	8.2 <u>+</u> 0.6	697 <u>+</u> 61
. cereus	0	5.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	703 <u>+</u> 58
ICIB 8122	2	*	
	4	15.8 <u>+</u> 0.8	615 <u>+</u> 106
	6	> 36	0
<u>.</u>	0	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.0	553 <u>+</u> 66
circulans	2	*	
NCIB 7578	4	17.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	525 <u>+</u> 7
	6	> 36 #	0
<u>l.</u>	0	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	723 <u>+</u> 181
subtilis	2	7.5 #	498
CIB 8903	4	14.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	525 <u>+</u> 28
	6	> 36	0
<u>'s.</u>	0	8.0 <u>+</u> 1.2	722 <u>+</u> 153
fluorescens	2	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	638 <u>+</u> 45
		8.7+0.5	593+ 97
NCIB 3756			

Key
= one artefactual curve produced
* = two artefactual curves produced

Table 4.17 The effect of oxolinic acid on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB.

.

 6 mg dm^{-3} oxolinic acid was found to be the lowest concentration that prevented the detection of the <u>Bacillus</u> spp. As expected the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, the lactic acid bacteria and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> was not delayed at any of the concentrations of oxolinic acid tested.

In spite of its potentially useful activity against <u>Bacillus</u> spp., it was concluded that oxolinic acid would be unlikely to be included in a selective impedimetric medium for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> for two reasons: firstly because of its solubility problems and secondly because of the poor quality impedance curves produced by the target species in oxolinic acid supplemented GNB.

4.2.8 Investigation of acriflavine hydrochloride as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Acriflavine hydrochloride has been used by many researchers in <u>Listeria</u> selective media, for example, Ralovich (1984) used 20 mg dm⁻³ and Farber <u>et al</u>. (1987), 15 mg dm⁻³. Dominguez <u>et al</u>. (1984) initially used 18.7 mg dm⁻³, but found this to inhibit the growth of <u>L. grayi</u>, <u>L. murrayi</u> and <u>J. denitrificans</u>, and, in order to isolate these species, they had to reduce the concentration of acriflavine to 12 mg dm⁻³.

As this research is aimed at the rapid detection of pathogenic <u>Listeria</u> spp., especially <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, it would be useful to use concentrations of acriflavine hydrochloride which inhibit the non pathogenic species of

<u>Listeria</u>. It was estimated that these should lie in the range 20 to 60 mg dm⁻³. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3 & 2.5) the growth of eight test species was therefore determined by spectrophotometric assay in GNB supplemented with 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated in Table 4.18.

As acriflavine hydrochloride is a fluorescein dye there was some difficulty in evaluating microbial growth by this assay. However 20 mg dm⁻³ was determined to be inhibitory to the growth of all the Gram positive test species except <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. This concentration was also found to be effective against Gram negative <u>E. coli</u>, but not <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>.

Further work was then carried out to determine the influence of acriflavine hydrochloride on the electrical responses of a number of test species. Using the routine procedures (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of nine test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated (Table 4.19).

Of the test microorganisms, only <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> produced impedimetric responses at 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were inhibited at the highest concentration of agent tested, 60 mg dm⁻³, whilst those of <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> were resistant to all concentrations tested.
Test species	Concentration of acriflavine hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³)	Absorbance at 680 nm after 24 h
	 0	0.33
monocytogenes	20	0.05
NCTC 11994	40	0.01
	60	0.00
Lact.	0	0.79
<u>lactis</u>	20	0.00
NCIB 6681	40	0.00
	60	0.00
<u>Strep.</u>	0	0.63
agalactiae	20	0.00
NCIB 8778	40	0.00
	60	0.00
Lact. lactis	0	0.36
subsp <u>cremoris</u>	20	0.00
NCIB 8662	40	0.00
	60	0.00
Bnt.	0	0.69
faecalis	20	0.00
NCIB 775	40	0.00
	60	0.00
Leuc.	0	0.61
mesenteroides	20	0.00
subsp <u>cremoris</u>	40	0.00
NCIB 12008	60	0.00
<u>E. coli</u>	0	0.48
NCIB 86	20	0.00
	40	0.00
	60	0.00
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0.62
fluorescens	20	0.16
NCIB 3756	40	0.00
	60	0.00

(N=1)

Table 4.18 Spectrophotometric assay of the effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the growth of test species in GNB.

1000	Concentration of	Impe	dance
species	acriflavine	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	0	10.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	956 <u>+</u> 160
onocytogene	<u>es</u> 20	10.8 <u>+</u> 0.7	1025 <u>+</u> 247
SCTC 11994	40	21.4 <u>+</u> 8.9	759 <u>+</u> 22
	60	> 36	0
act.	0	10.1 <u>+</u> 1.0 #	880 <u>+</u> 136
lactis	20	> 36	0
SCIB 6681	40	> 36	٥
	60 	> 36	0
int.	0	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	937 <u>+</u> 92
<u>faecalis</u>	20	> 36	C
NCIB 775	40	> 36	٥
	60	> 36	0
trep.	0	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	957 <u>+</u> 113
<u>salivarius</u>	20	> 36	0
NCIB 8883	40	> 36	٥
	60	> 36	0
Strep.	0	7.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	823 <u>+</u> 77
agalactiae	20	> 36	0
NCIB 8778	40	> 36	0
	60	> 36	0
Lact. lactir	0	10.4 <u>+</u> 1.0	789 <u>+</u> 93
subsp <u>cremor</u>	<u>cis</u> 20	> 36	0
NCIB 8662	40	> 36	0
	60	> 36	0
	0	14.9 <u>+</u> 1.2	923 <u>+</u> 130
<u>mesenteroide</u>	20	> 36	0
subsp cremon	<u>-is</u> 40	> 36	0
NCIB 12008	60	> 36	0
E. coli	0	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	955 <u>+</u> 20
NCIB 86	20	> 36	_ 0
	40	> 36	0
	60	> 36	0
 P#.	0	6.2 <u>+</u> 1.4	 769 <u>+</u> 9
<u>fluorescens</u>	20	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	_ 781 <u>+</u> 146
CIB 3756	40		621 <u>+</u> 15
	60	30.5 <u>+</u> 2.0 *	228 <u>+</u> 114
			<u>Key</u>

Table 4.19 The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB.

Increasing the concentration of acriflavine hydrochloride delayed the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (by 0.4 h at 20 mg dm⁻³ and 11.0 h at 40 mg dm⁻³), but did not adversely affect the quality of the electrical curves.

Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of mixed cultures of the test species used in the previous experiment were then monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 40 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated (Table 4.20).

The impedance magnitude produced by the mixed culture was observed to depend upon the presence or absence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, with higher values being obtained when the target species was included. Extrapolation from data obtained with pure cultures (Table 4.19) would suggest that the impedimetric responses registered in the acriflavine hydrochloride supplemented GNB in the absence of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were produced only by <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>. There was no evidence in this experiment of the impedance curves of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> being affected by the presence of non target species.

Further work was carried out to investigate the effects of a range of lower concentrations of acriflavine hydrochloride on an increased number of test microorganisms. The latter included a new culture of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994, two additional serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and closely related species <u>L. innocua</u>, <u>L. ivanovii</u> and <u>J. denitrificans</u>. Using the routine

Test	Concer	tration of	Impedance		
species	acrif	avine	DT	magnitude	
	hydrod (mg d	chloride m ⁻³)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SE	
Mixed cult	ure S	0	7.8 <u>+</u> 0.0	1040 <u>+</u> 16	
+ <u>L.</u>		10	17.7 <u>+</u> 0.0	1054 <u>+</u> 49	
monocytoge	nes	20	18.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	1027 <u>+</u> 2	
NCTC 11994		40	19.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	1093 <u>+</u> 19	
Mixed cult	ure §	0	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.4	1013 <u>+</u> 14	
- <u>L.</u>		10	20.6 <u>+</u> 1.2	568 <u>+</u> 23	
monocytoge	nes	20	19.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	639 <u>+</u> 32	
NCTC 11994		40	19.3±0.1	631 <u>+</u> 26	

Key

\$ = Each mixed culture consisted of: Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Lact. lactis subsp cremoris NCIB 8662, Strep. agalactiae NCIB 8778, Leuc. mesenteroides subsp cremoris NCIB 12008 and Ps. fluorescens NCIB 3756.

Table 4.20 The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of mixed cultures of test species.

conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of these species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated (Table 4.21).

It was determined that concentrations of acriflavine hydrochloride in excess of 10 mg dm⁻³ did not allow the impedimetric detection of all serotypes of L. monocytogenes, indicating that this is the highest concentration of agent that could be used in an

/			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Test	Concentration of	Impe	edance
species	acriflavine	DT	magnitude
1	hydrochloride	(n) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) + SD
1	(magicina)		
	0	14.1 <u>+</u> 3.9	1165 <u>+</u> 40
monocytogen	<u>98</u> 10	13.3 <u>+</u> 3.1	1208 <u>+</u> 54
NCTC 11994	15	17.2 <u>+</u> 4.4	1129 <u>+</u> 156
(Old culture	e) 20	22.9 <u>+</u> 2.7	938 <u>+</u> 122
Serotype 4b			I
<u>L.</u>	0	12.4 <u>+</u> 2.0	1265 <u>+</u> 56
monocytogen	<u>es</u> 10	13.5 <u>+</u> 3.0	1318 <u>+</u> 165
NCTC 11994	15	20.9 <u>+</u> 3.3	1143 <u>+</u> 168
(New culture) 20	29.9 <u>+</u> 5.2	80 <u>+</u> 56 /
Serotype 4b			I
			12091 27
<u></u>	0	17.6+2.5	1208 <u>+</u> 37
monocytogene		30.840.9	440 <u>7</u> 56 1
NCTC 7973	15	> 36	0 1
(Serotype 1a	1) 20	> 38	
<u>L.</u>	0	11.0 <u>+</u> 2.0	1261 <u>+</u> 46
monocytogene	<u>is</u> 10	19.8 <u>+</u> 2.1	1333 <u>+</u> 66
NCTC 5348	15	25.4 <u>+</u> 1.6	1218 <u>+</u> 112
(Serotype 2)	20	> 36	0 1
L.		15.0+2.4	1199+109
innocua	10	20.5+2.9	1267+138
NCTC 10889	15	_ 31.6±0.1	465 <u>+</u> 38
	20	> 36	o 1
	~~~~~~		11654 40
<u>des</u>	10	76.1 <u>4</u> 0.2	0 I
IVANOVII	10	> 36	0
NCTC 11846	70	> 30	0
	20	- JU	
J.	0	28.8 <u>+</u> 7.2	692 <u>+</u> 556
 denitrifican	<b>a</b> 10	> 36	0
NCTC 10816	- 15	> 36	0
	20	> 36	0
			/
			()7-6)

(N=6)

<u>Key</u>

/ = Low impedance magnitude recorded as a result of a late DT preventing the entire electrical curve being registered within the assay time.

Table 4.21 The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species in GNB.

impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> without the production of false negative results. This observation was not expected as the strain noted as most typical of the species, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 (NCTC Colindale, personal communication, 1990), was the most resistant of the listerial test species examined. This work suggests the members of the species <u>Listeria</u> have major differences in their tolerances to this toxic agent. Furthermore the impedimetric responses of <u>L. ivanovii</u>, which is also capable of instigating animal listeriosis, were inhibited at 10 mg dm⁻³, the lowest concentration of acriflavine examined.

A 17.5 h increase in DT was obtained when the new strain of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 was grown in GNB supplemented with 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride, whereas the corresponding increase in the old culture was 8.8 h. This difference in resistance to the selective agent may be the result of the cells being in different physiological states, as the new culture was from a slope inoculated directly from the freeze dried vial within 24 h of its preparation.

Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of three lactic acid bacteria were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated (Table 4.22).

Test	Concentration of	Impedance		
species	acriflavine	DT	magnitude	
	hydrochloride	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SI	
	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
act.	0	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	789 <u>+</u> 22	
lactis	10	> 36	0	
NCIB 6681	15	> 36	0	
	20	> 36	0	
nt.	 0	8.8+0.5	540+ 17	
[aecalis	10	15.7 <u>+</u> 1.1	502 <u>+</u> 53	
NCIB 775	15	> 36	0	
	20	> 36	O	
Strep.	 0	7.5+0.1	559+ 24	
salivarius	10	- 8.3 <u>+</u> 1.1		
CIB 8883	15	> 36	0	

Table 4.22 The effect of acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of three lactic acid bacteria.

The results showed that 10 mg dm⁻³ of acriflavine hydrochloride did not prevent the impedimetric detection of <u>Ent. faecalis</u> or <u>Strep. salivarius</u>. As <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 7973 was inhibited at 15 mg dm⁻³ (Table 4.21), this level of selective agent could not be used to selectively inhibit the detection of all lactic acid bacteria whilst allowing the detection of all <u>L. monocytogenes</u> strains.

It was concluded that if acriflavine hydrochloride were to be employed in a selective impedimetric medium it would need to be used in conjunction with other antimicrobial agents effective against non target Gram positive organisms.

4.2.9 Investigation of proflavine hydrochloride as an agent selective for <u>L. monocytogenes.</u>

Acriflavine hydrochloride consists of two components, 3, 6-diaminoacridine (also known as proflavine hydrochloride) and 3, 6-diamino-10-methylacridium chloride. The former, which has not previously been used for the selective isolation of <u>Listeria</u> spp., was next evaluated. As proflavine hydrochloride is another fluorescein dye the spectrophotometric assay (see 2.5) was not used for this agent, but work was carried out to determine its effect on the electrical responses of Gram positive and Gram negative test species. Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of four test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated (Table 23).

L. monocytogenes produced an electrical curve in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride whereas the other Gram positive test species examined, <u>Lact. lactis</u>, was not detected at 7 mg dm⁻³, the lowest concentration of proflavine used. The electrical responses of Gram negative <u>E. coli</u> were also inhibited by all concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride tested while those of <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> were unaffected by the antimicrobial agent. These results were expected as the same pattern had also occurred with acriflavine hydrochloride supplemented GNB (see 4.2.8).

Test	Concentration of	Impe	adance
species	proflavine	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	(mg cm ⁻³ )		
<u>L.</u>	0	11.1 <u>+</u> 3.5	1136 <u>+</u> 253
monocytogene	<u>#8</u> 7	12.3 <u>+</u> 3.2	1001 <u>+</u> 71
NCTC 11994	14	12.4 <u>+</u> 3.4	1142 <u>+</u> 55
(Serotype 4)	) 21	13.7 <u>+</u> 3.5	1155 <u>+</u> 217
Lact.	0	9.0 <u>+</u> 2.3	993 <u>+</u> 388
lactis	7	> 36	O
NCIB 6681	14	> 36	o
	21	> 36	0
<u>. coli</u>	0	8.6 <u>+</u> 1.2	668 <u>+</u> 139
NCIB 86	7	> 36	0
	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	0
	0	7.7 <u>+</u> 1.9	879 <u>+</u> 121
luorescens	7	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.8	845 <u>+</u> 90
CIB 3756	14	11.6 <u>+</u> 1.7	712 <u>+</u> 195
	21	15.6+5.7	718+156

Table 4.23 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of four test species.

As the acriflavine hydrochloride sensitivity of different serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> had been observed to vary (see Table 4.21), it was important to monitor the effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the electrical responses of a range of strains of the target organism. Using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of four strains, comprising three serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 21 mg dm⁻³ of proflavine hydrochloride. The results are tabulated (Table 4.24).

Test	Concentration of	Impe	dance
species	proflavine	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
<u>L.</u>	0	16.6 <u>+</u> 2.0 #	866 <u>+</u> 63
monocytogen	<u>es</u> 7	21.1 <u>+</u> 2.5	900 <u>+</u> 100
CTC 7973	14	21.1 <u>+</u> 4.1	1155 <u>+</u> 101
(Serotype 1a	a) 21	23.0 <u>+</u> 6.5	840 <u>+</u> 262
·	0	14.8 <u>+</u> 1.6	730 <u>+</u> 247
onocytogen	<u>98</u> 7	19.2 <u>+</u> 1.9	742 <u>+</u> 26
CTC 10357	14	20.2 <u>+</u> 2.5	1098 <u>+</u> 92
Serotype 1a	1) 21	25.1 <u>+</u> 3.6	846 <u>+</u> 367
······································	0	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.5 #	800 <u>+</u> 198
onocytogene	<u>18</u> 7	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.9	1017 <u>+</u> 165
CTC 5348	14	12.8 <u>+</u> 0.7 #	1065 <u>+</u> 100
Serotype 2)	21	14.7 <u>+</u> 1.1	1020 <u>+</u> 243
<u>.</u>	0	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.7	1116 <u>+</u> 50
onocytogene	8 7	14.4 <u>+</u> 2.6	1156 <u>+</u> 253
TC 10527	14	13.3 <u>+</u> 0.7	1351 <u>+</u> 133
Serotype 41	) 21	17.4 <u>+</u> 2.4	1227 <u>+</u> 62
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

<u>Key</u> # = One artefactual curve produced

Table 4.24 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of different serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

All strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> examined produced a detection curve within 30 h in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride, with 16 h being the maximum delay in DT in the supplemented medium. Similar data were obtained with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 (Table 4.23). Furthermore very few (3 out of 96, 2 of these being with unsupplemented controls) artefactual curves were observed, with all remaining curves being of good quality and magnitude.

Further work was then carried out using the routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) to determine the effect of a range of concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of a number of <u>Listeria</u> spp. and <u>J. denitrificans</u>. The results are tabulated in Table 4.25.

At a concentration of 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride only the two strains of <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> produced detection curves within 36 h. The impedimetric responses of <u>L. ivanovii</u> were inhibited at concentrations in excess of 14 mg dm⁻³, those of <u>L. murrayi</u> and <u>L. seeligeri</u> were inhibited at concentrations in excess of 7 mg dm⁻³, while those of <u>L. grayi</u> and <u>J. denitrificans</u> were inhibited at 7 mg dm⁻³, the lowest concentration examined.

Further work was carried out using the routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) to determine the effect of a range of concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of ten listerial food isolates. The results of the experiments with two non haemolytic food isolates, I1 and I2, are given in Table 4.26, while the data obtained with eight haemolytic food isolates, I3 to I10, are given in Table 4.27.

Both of the non haemolytic listerial food isolates, identified as <u>L. monocytogenes</u> or <u>L. innocua</u> (see 2.1),

/			
Test	Concentration of	Impe	dance
species	proflavine	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
' <u>L.</u>	ο	13.5 <u>+</u> 1.6	1153 <u>+</u> 106
innocua	7	15.7 <u>+</u> 1.0	976 <u>+</u> 160
NCTC 10889	14	16.7 <u>+</u> 2.7	1150 <u>+</u> 144
	21	19.3 <u>+</u> 5.4	1019 <u>+</u> 206
<u>L.</u>	0	10.6 <u>+</u> 1.5	1173 <u>+</u> 92
innocua	7	14.0 <u>+</u> 4.4	1173 <u>+</u> 18
NCTC 11288	14	15.4 <u>+</u> 4.4	1037 <u>+</u> 365
	21	14.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	1242 <u>+</u> 120
<u>L.</u>	0	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.9	934 <u>+</u> 178
<u>welshimeri</u>	7	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	1058 <u>+</u> 43
NCTC 11857	14	12.2 <u>+</u> 0.5 *	1283 <u>+</u> 46
	21	14.6 <u>+</u> 3.6	1218 <u>+</u> 160
<u>L.</u>	0	16.2 <u>+</u> 2.1 #	636 <u>+</u> 44
<u>ivanovii</u>	7	22.5 <u>+</u> 4.2	660 <u>+</u> 150
NCTC 11846	14	28.5 <u>+</u> 3.4	374 <u>+</u> 232
	21	> 36	0
L.	0	16.9 <u>+</u> 0.9	1064 <u>+</u> 117
<u>murrayi</u>	7	30.8 <u>+</u> 3.8	1264 <u>+</u> 128
NCTC 10812	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	0
<u>L.</u>	0	16.6 <u>+</u> 2.0 #	1262 <u>+</u> 135
seeligeri	7	18.7 <u>+</u> 1.1	955 <u>+</u> 10
NCTC 11856	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	0
 <u>L.</u>	0	12.8 <u>+</u> 1.2	985 <u>+</u> 47
<u>dravi</u>	7	> 36	0
NCTC 10815	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	o
	 0	26.3 <u>+</u> 1.8	427 <u>+</u> 143
<u>denitrifican</u>	. 7	> 36	0
NCTC 10812	- 14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	0
************			(N=6)
		<u>Key</u>	
	<b>#</b> = 0	ne artefa	actual curve
	* = T	wo artef	actual curve

Table 4.25 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of <u>Listeria</u> spp. and <u>J. denitrificans</u>.

NON NAMEDOLYTIC	concentration	01 1I	menitudo
LISCHILA	proriavina		
isolate	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BOIC) <u>+</u> SD
I1	0	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.8	916 <u>+</u> 28
	7	12.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 #	1179 <u>+</u> 51
	14	14.9 <u>+</u> 0.5	1009 <u>+</u> 240
	21	13.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	1191 <u>+</u> 42
12	0	10.1 <u>+</u> 0.5	940 <u>+</u> 80
	7	12.8 <u>+</u> 1.0	1019 <u>+</u> 232
	14	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.2	981 <u>+</u> 137
	21	11.5 <u>+</u> 0.1 #	1247 <u>+</u> 249



Table 4.26 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of non haemolytic food isolates (L. monocytogenes or L. innocua).

produced detection curves in all concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride examined. A maximum delay in DT of 3.4 h was observed at 21 mg dm⁻³. Similarly all eight haemolytic food isolates, identified as <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, produced detection curves at all concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride examined. In this set of strains the greatest increase in DT in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride was observed to be 17.3 h.

Having shown that all strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> tested produced detection curves in GNB supplemented with

Haemolytic C	oncentration of		Imped	lance
Listeria	proflavine	DT	•	magnitude
food isolate	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD		(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
I3	0	10.2 <u>+</u> 0.2		887 <u>+</u> 13
	7	11.5 <u>+</u> 0.3		1103 <u>+</u> 115
	14	11.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	¥	1047 <u>+</u> 48
	21	13.6 <u>+</u> 1.0		1284 <u>+</u> 188
I4	0	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.7		942 <u>+</u> 84
	7	10.8 <u>+</u> 0.4		1072 <u>+</u> 220
	14	11.8 <u>+</u> 0.6		990 <u>+</u> 125
	21	12.8 <u>+</u> 1.9		1144 <u>+</u> 90
15	0	10.0 <u>+</u> 0.1		910 <u>+</u> 39
	7	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.3		1115 <u>+</u> 127
	14	12.9 <u>+</u> 0.3		1070 <u>+</u> 159
	21	15.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	*	1198 <u>+</u> 119
16	0	8.4 <u>+</u> 0.7		944 <u>+</u> 88
	7	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.5		1428 <u>+</u> 41
	14	12.7 <u>+</u> 0.2		1072 <u>+</u> 250
	21	12.9 <u>+</u> 2.0		1161 <u>+</u> 53
I7	0	9.6 <u>+</u> 0.1		968 <u>+</u> 36
	7	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.1		1191 <u>+</u> 224
	14	11.8 <u>+</u> 0.3		1037 <u>+</u> 224
	21	12.1 <u>+</u> 0.5		1348 <u>+</u> 105
18	0	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.6		970 <u>+</u> 49
	7	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.2		1306 <u>+</u> 113
	14	12.4 <u>+</u> 0.3		962 <u>+</u> 234
	21	14.7 <u>+</u> 1.3		1262 <u>+</u> 119
19	0	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.2		1097 <u>+</u> 22
	7	12.6 <u>+</u> 0.2		971 <u>+</u> 8
	14	18.3 <u>+</u> 3.1	#	1209 <u>+</u> 138
	21	28.2 <u>+</u> 2.2		921 <u>+</u> 206
I10	0	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.3		1098 <u>+</u> 42
	7	11.2 <u>+</u> 0.3		1079 <u>+</u> 42
	14	17.4 <u>+</u> 3.0		1021 <u>+</u> 22
	21	25.7 <u>+</u> 1.6		1252 <u>+</u> 69
				( N=4

Key
# = One artefactual curve produced

Table 4.27 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of haemolytic food isolates (L. monocytogenes).

21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride further work was executed using the routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) to determine the effect of a range of concentrations of this agent on the impedimetric responses of a spectrum of Gram positive test species. The results are tabulated in Table 4.28.

With the exception of <u>B. cereus</u>, the electrical responses of all the Gram positive test species were inhibited by 7 mg dm⁻³, the lowest concentration of proflavine hydrochloride used. As <u>B. cereus</u> produced a detection curve in 14 mg dm⁻³ but not in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride, it was concluded that the latter concentration would be the minimum required to inhibit the detection curves of non target Gram positive microorganisms.

Further work was then undertaken using the routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) to determine the effect of a range of concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of Gram negative test species. The results are tabulated in Table 4.29.

It was observed that while three pseudomonad species were resistant to 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride (for data on <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> see Table 4.23), the electrical detection of <u>E. coli</u> was inhibited by 7 mg dm⁻³, the lowest concentration tested (see Table 4.23).

Test Co	ncentration of	Imped	lance
species pro	oflavine	DT	magnitude
рус	irochloride	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	(mg dm [~] )		
Ent.	0	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.8	1150 <u>+</u> 132
<u>faecalis</u>	7	> 36	0
NCIB 775	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	0
Strep.	0	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	1259 <u>+</u> 96
<u>salivarius</u>	7	> 36	0
NCIB 8883	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	D
Lactob.	0	19.4 <u>+</u> 0.7	587 <u>+</u> 11
<u>casei</u>	7	> 36	0
subsp <u>rhamnosus</u>	14	> 36	0
CIB 6375	21	> 36	0
<u>1.</u>	0	30.2 <u>+</u> 4.6	131 <u>+</u> 16
Coseus	7	> 36	0
CIB 8175	14	> 36	0
	21	> 36	0
<u>}.</u>	0	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	909 <u>+</u> 21
COT CULS	7	12.7 <u>+</u> 4.1	711 <u>+</u> 207
CIB 8122	14	26.4 <u>+</u> 6.2	502 <u>+</u> 261
	21	> 36	0
<u>.</u>	0	12.5 <u>+</u> 6.1	1103 <u>+</u> 67
<i>irculans</i>	7	> 36	0
CIB 7578	14	> 36	0
			-

Table 4.28 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of non target Gram positive test species.

Test	Concentration of	Impedance		
species	proflavine	DT	magnitude	
	hydrochloride	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SI	
	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
<u>Ps.</u>	0	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	516 <u>+</u> 34	
<u>putida</u>	7	12.5 <u>+</u> 1.4	222 <u>+</u> 26	
NCIB 10936	14	20.6 <u>+</u> 1.4	373 <u>+</u> 128	
	21	30.5 <u>+</u> 3.8	211 <u>+</u> 189	
<u>Pe.</u>	0	9.4 <u>+</u> 1.7	 798 <u>+</u> 492	
eruginosa	7	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	820 <u>+</u> 4	
CIB 950	14	12.1 <u>+</u> 0.7	384 <u>+</u> 78	
	21	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.5	142 <u>+</u> 66	
·	0	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.2 *	1983 <u>+</u> 80	
eruginosa	7	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.6	1446 <u>+</u> 260	
CIB 10848	14	12.0 <u>+</u> 4.0	1488 <u>+</u> 140	
	21	15.7+8.2	604+329	

Key
* = Two artefactual curves produced

Table 4.29 The effect of proflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of Gram negative test species.

It was concluded that proflavine hydrochloride was the most promising anti Gram positive agent examined to date, but its lack of activity against pseudomonads would necessitate its use in combination with an anti Gram negative agent in a selective impedimetric assay for the target species.

## 4.3 Discussion - Individual Selective Agents

None of the selective agents examined possessed a broad enough spectrum of activity to allow the impedimetric detection of the target organism <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from a range of test species expected to be present in food.

The selective agent which showed most promise in inhibiting the electrical responses of non target Gram positive organisms was proflavine hydrochloride. While this agent did not eliminate the detection curves of <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u>, very importantly it did eliminate those produced by the closely related Gram positive Lactic acid bacteria. Furthermore proflavine hydrochloride did not adversely affect the morphology of the electrical curves produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and in most strains 21 mg dm⁻³ delayed the DT by less than 10 h.

Two toxic agents, thallous acetate and moxalactam, were found to show an appropriate spectrum of activity in eliminating the detection curves produced by Gram negative microorganisms. As moxalactam is relatively non toxic to humans, cephalosporins are used therapeutically in medicine (Lietman, 1981), it would be used in preference to thallous acetate in an impedimetric assay.

It was next considered necessary to investigate combinations of antimicrobial agents with the aim of developing a selectively toxic medium capable of eliminating the detection curves of all non target Gram positive and Gram negative test species.

## 4.4 Results - Combinations of Selective Agents

A number of the selective agents which had been evaluated individually by impedimetric assay were also investigated in various combinations. The aim of this set of experiments was to broaden the spectrum of antimicrobial activity as no single agent had been found to be capable of eliminating the detection curves of all non Listeria test species. The combinations of agents were evaluated for their spectrum of activity against a variety of Gram negative and Gram positive test species, possible synergism against L. monocytogenes as well as for their influence on the electrical responses of the test microorganisms. Agents were investigated in pairs or larger groups as follows: thallous acetate and nalidixic acid (see 4.4.1), thallous acetate and moxalactam (see 4.4.2), thallous acetate and acriflavine hydrochloride (see 4.4.3), acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam (see 4.4.4), proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam (see 4.4.5), proflavine hydrochloride, moxalactam, amphotericin B and ketoconazole (see 4.4.6), proflavine hydrochloride, moxalactam, aesculin and ammonium-ferric citrate (see 4.4.7) and proflavine hydrochloride, moxalactam and L-rhamnose (see 4.4.8)

4.4.1 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of test species.

The impedimetric responses of test species were

investigated in GNB supplemented with a variety of concentrations of two anti Gram negative selective agents, thallous acetate and nalidixic acid, with the aim of determining whether these agents could act together to produce anti Gram positive activity.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of four test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with a range of concentrations of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid (0 to 3 g dm⁻³ and 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³ respectively). Both individual and mixed cultures were examined. The results of this work are reported in Table 4.30.

The lowest concentration of antimicrobial agents tested, 1 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate and 20 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid, was inhibitory to the Gram negative test species, Ps. fluorescens and E. coli. Unfortunately, addition of this level of agents to GNB increased the DT of L. monocytogenes by approximately 7 h which was far longer than the corresponding increase in the DT of Lact. lactis (Table 4.30). A combination of 2 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate and 40 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid was found to be inhibitory to all the test microorganisms. As L. monocytogenes and Lact. lactis produced detection curves in GNB supplemented with either 3 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate (see 4.2.2) or 60 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid (see 4.2.1), this combination of selective agents may be acting additively or synergistically against both these Gram positive organisms and therefore a selective advantage for the

acetate dm ⁻³ ) 0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 2 3	nalidixic (mg dm ⁻³ ) 0 20 40 60 20 40 60 20 40 60 20 40 60	acid DT (h) $\pm$ SD 9.4 $\pm$ 0.3 15.9 $\pm$ 0.4 > 36 > 36 8.8 $\pm$ 0.3 7.8 $\pm$ 0.2 > 36 > 36 7.3 $\pm$ 0.6 > 36	magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> 895 <u>+</u> 6 801 <u>+</u> 10 0 0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	SD
dm ⁻³ ) 0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2 2  0 1 2	(mg dm ⁻³ ) 0 20 40 60 0 20 40 60 0 20 40 60	(h) $\pm$ 8D 9.4 $\pm$ 0.3 15.9 $\pm$ 0.4 > 36 > 36 8.8 $\pm$ 0.3 7.8 $\pm$ 0.2 > 36 > 36 7.3 $\pm$ 0.6 > 36	(BUIC) <u>+</u> 895 <u>+</u> 6 801 <u>+</u> 10 0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	SD 
0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2	0 20 40 60 20 40 60 0 20 40	9.4 $\pm$ 0.3 15.9 $\pm$ 0.4 > 36 > 36 > 36 8.8 $\pm$ 0.3 7.8 $\pm$ 0.2 > 36 > 36 7.3 $\pm$ 0.6 > 36	$   \begin{array}{r}     895 \pm & 6 \\     801 \pm & 10 \\     0 \\     \hline     0 \\     \hline     610 \pm & 10 \\     579 \pm & 2 \\     0 \\     \hline     0 \\     \hline     658 \pm & 74 \\     0 \\     0 \\   \end{array} $	
0 1 2 3 	0 20 40 60 20 40 60 20 40 60 20 40	9.4 $\pm$ 0.3 15.9 $\pm$ 0.4 > 36 > 36 8.8 $\pm$ 0.3 7.8 $\pm$ 0.2 > 36 > 36 > 36 > 36 > 36	895 <u>+</u> 6 801 <u>+</u> 10 0 0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
0 1 2 3  0 1 2 3  0 1 2	0 20 40 60 20 40 60 20 20 40	$9.4\pm0.3$ $15.9\pm0.4$ > 36 > 36 	895 <u>+</u> 6 801 <u>+</u> 10 0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
1 2 3  1 2 3  0 1 2	20 40 60 20 40 60 20 20 40	$15.9\pm0.4 > 36 > 36 > 36  > 36 $	801 <u>+</u> 10 0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74	
2 3  1 2 3  0 1 2	40 60 20 40 60 20 40 60 20 40	> 36 > 36 	0 0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
3 0 1 2 3  0 1 2	60 0 20 40 60 0 20 40	> 36 8.8 <u>+</u> 0.3 7.8 <u>+</u> 0.2 > 36 > 36 7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	0 610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
0 1 2 3  0 1 2	0 20 40 60 0 20 40	8.8 <u>+</u> 0.3 7.8 <u>+</u> 0.2 > 36 > 36 7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	610 <u>+</u> 10 579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74	
1 2 3  0 1 2	20 40 60 0 20 40	7.8 <u>+</u> 0.2 > 36 > 36 7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	579 <u>+</u> 2 0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74	
2 3  0 1 2	40 60 0 20 40	> 36 > 36  7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	0 0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
3 0 1 2	60 0 20 40	> 36  7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	0 658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
0 1 2	0 20 40	7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
0 1 2	0 20 40	7.3 <u>+</u> 0.6 > 36	658 <u>+</u> 74 0	
1 2	20 40	> 36	D	
2	40		•	
		> 36	U	
3	60	> 36	0	
0	0	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.3	 622 <u>+</u> 115	
1	20	> 36	0	
2	40	> 36	0	
3	60	> 36	0	
 0	 0	6.4+0.0	943+ 15	
- 1	20	16.6+0.6	626+ 28	
2	40	> 36	0	
3	60	> 36	0	
 0	 0	6.5+0.0	870+109	
- 1	20	17.6+0.1	559+ 46	
- 2	40	> 36	0	
- 3	60	> 36	0	
	0 1 2 3 	1     20       2     40       3     60       0     0       1     20       2     40       3     60       0     0       1     20       2     40       3     60       0     0       1     20       2     40       3     60       0     0       1     20       2     40       3     60	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Key
\$ = Each mixed culture consisted of:
Lact. lactis NCIB 6681,
E. coli NCIB 86 and
Ps. fluorescens NCIB 3756.

Table 4.30 The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

target species was not generated whilst using this combination of selective agents. There was little difference between the impedimetric responses of the mixed culture with or without <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, the target species could not be selectively detected using the combinations of antimicrobial agents investigated.

Work was carried out using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) to determine whether thallous acetate at a fixed concentration of 3 g dm⁻³ in combination with various concentrations of nalidixic acid (0 to 21 mg dm⁻³) would selectively inhibit the electrical responses of the lactic acid bacteria. 3 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate was used as it was found to be effective against Gram negative test species without increasing the DT of the target species L. monocytogenes unduly (Table 4.5). Up to 21 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid was used, as in the presence of 2 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate 40 mg dm⁻³ nalidixic acid was found to be inhibitory to all test species (Table 4.30). The results are tabulated in Table 4.31.

In this work a number of curves of uncharacteristic morphology were obtained (see Fig. 3.10 for examples of artefactual curves) making interpretation of the results difficult. However, it could be seen that this combination of selective agents did not allow the differentiation of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from <u>Lact. lactis</u> as both test species have similar DT's.

Further combinations of these selective agents were not examined as from Table 4.30 it was determined increased concentrations required to improve selectivity

were inhibitory to the target species.

It was therefore concluded that at the concentrations tested the combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid was insufficiently selective for the sole detection of the target species <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. At lower concentrations, however, these agents might have a useful anti Gram negative role in a selective impedimetric medium.

Test	Concen	tration of	Impedance		
species	thallous	nalidixic	DT	magnitude	
	acetate	acid	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	
	(g daa ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0	0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	1037 <u>+</u> 35	
<u>L.</u>	3	0	*		
monocytogenes	<u>1</u> 3	7	12.2 <u>+</u> 1.0	1025 <u>+</u> 25	
NCTC 11994	3	14	13.4 <u>+</u> 1.8	1066 <u>+</u> 20	
	3	21	13.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	753 <u>+</u> 46	
	 0	 0	7,1+0,4	726+132	
Lact.	3	0	11.4 #	593	
lactis	3	7	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	599 <u>+</u> 5	
NCIB 6681	3	14	13.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	715 <u>+</u> 13	
	3	21	15.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	618 <u>+</u> 72	
- <b></b>			*		
nonocytogenes	3	7	13.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	499 <u>+</u> 19	
+	3	14	13.8 <u>+</u> 0.0	900 <u>+</u> 237	
Lact. lactis	3	21	12.9 #	665	
			/ 1	N=2)	

# = One artefactual curve produced

* = Two artefactual curves produced

Table 4.31 The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and nalidixic acid on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u> in pure and mixed culture. 4.4.2 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

The impedimetric responses of test species were investigated in GNB supplemented with an anti Gram negative selective agent thallous acetate and the broad spectrum antibiotic moxalactam, with the aim of determining whether this combination of agents would permit the sole detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of eight test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with a range of concentrations of thallous acetate (0 to 3 g dm⁻³) and either 0 or 40 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. 3 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate was used as it was found to be effective against Gram negative test species without increasing the DT of the target species <u>L. monocytogenes</u> unduly (Table 4.5). 40 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam was used as individually this concentration was found to inhibit the electrical responses of the standard three non <u>Listeria</u> test species without significant delay in the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Table 4.10). The results are shown in Table 4.32.

Concentrations of the two agents which individually would not inhibit the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (see 4.2.2 & 4.2.3) acted synergistically preventing the detection of the target species. Additionally, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>E. coli</u> were inhibited. An electrical response of low magnitude was produced in

/				\
Test	thellong	movelecter	Du. Timb	
sherres	acetate	$(m \sigma dm^{-3})$	(h) + SD	(BUTC) + SD
1	$(a dm^{-3})$	(	(,	(2020) ± 20
; 	(9			
 	0	0	9.5+0.1	1225+ 37
L.	0	40		1486+ 45
monocytogenes	1	40	> 36	0
NCTC 11994	2	40	> 36	0
	3	40	> 36	0
		****		
ł	0	0	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	625 <u>+</u> 21
Lact.	0	40	29.3 #	652
lactis	1	40	> 36	0
NCIB 6681	2	40	> 36	o
l	3	40	> 36	0
			,	
l	0	o	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	998 <u>+</u> 87
Ent.	0	40	6.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	1088 <u>+</u> 3
<u>faecalis</u>	1	40	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1272 <u>+</u> 76
NCIB 775	2	40	9.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	1299 <u>+</u> 3
	3	40	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	1162 <u>+</u> 96
	*********			
	0	0	6.1 <u>+</u> 0.3	958 <u>+</u> 76
Strep.	0	40	6.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	1025 <u>+</u> 8
agalactiae	1	40	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	1306 <u>+</u> 13
NCIE 8778	2	40	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.7	1205 <u>+</u> 31
	3	40	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	1171 <u>+</u> 24
				1013 02 1
<b>a</b>	0	0	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.0	1011 <u>+</u> 92 (
Strep.	U	40	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.0	1043 <u>7</u> 13
Salivarius	1	40	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.0	1168- 50
ACTD 0003	4	40	9.7 <u>-</u> 0.0	1137+ 17 1
	ں 	4U	1.U <u>1</u> 1.E	\L <u>T</u> \L.
	0	0	29.1 <u>+</u> 3.8	249 <u>+</u> 6
Lactob.	0	40	?	122 <u>+</u> 2
casei	1	40	> 36	0
subsp rhamnosu	<u>s</u> 2	40	> 36	0
NCIB 6375	- 3	40	> 36	0
	-			

(Table 4.32 continued overleaf)

Test	Conce	ntration of	Imp	edance
species	thallous acetate (g dm ⁻³ )	moxalactam (mg dm ⁻³ )	DT (h) <u>+</u> SD	magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	0	0	8.1 <u>+</u> 0.7	670 <u>+</u> 36
<u>E. coli</u>	0	40	> 36	0
NCIB 86	1	40	> 36	0
	2	40	> 36	0
	3	40	> 36	0
	0	0	7.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	845 <u>+</u> 111
<u>Ps.</u>	0	40	> 36	0
fluorescens	1	40	> 36	0
NCIB 3756	2	40	> 36	0
	3	40	> 36	0
				( N=2

Key
? = DT's not determined
# = One artefactual curve produced

Table 4.32 The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

some reaction wells with <u>Lactob. casei</u> subsp <u>rhamnosus</u> but these were not allocated a DT by the Bactometer software. However, all lactic acid bacteria with the exception of <u>Lact. lactis</u> produced a detection curve at all concentrations tested.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1), further work was undertaken to determine the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of a variety of test species. In this set of experiments concentrations of thallous acetate remained constant at either 0 or 2 g dm⁻³ while moxalactam concentrations were varied from 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³. These concentrations were used to confirm whether this

combination of selective agents acted additively or synergistically against the target species. The findings are tabulated in Table 4.33.

L. monocytogenes was not detected in any combination of the two agents, though it was detected in 2 q dm⁻³ thallous acetate alone. This was expected from previous work (Table 4.32) and confirmed that these selective agents act synergistically in the suppression of the impedimetric responses of L. monocytogenes. Additionally, all the lactic acid bacteria used except Lact. lactis were found to be resistant to the concentrations of agents tested. This variation in tolerance indicates that important cytological or biochemical differences do occur even within the same genus. Nevertheless the observed difference in tolerance between L. monocytogenes and most of the lactic acid bacteria tested suggests that the two genera may be separable in a selective impedimetric assay using appropriate antimicrobial agents. Neither the other Gram positive organism tested, Lactob. casei subsp rhamnosus, nor the Gram negative test species, E. coli and Ps. fluorescens, were detected in the presence of any combination of the selective agents.

It was therefore concluded that as the combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam was more inhibitory to <u>L. monocytogenes</u> than to certain other Gram positive test species it could not be used in a selective impedimetric detection regimen for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. However, as few

Test	Conce	ntration or	Tmb	edance
species	thallous	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
	acetate	(mg dm ⁻ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	(g dm ⁻³ )			
	0	0	8.1+0.3	1102+ 66
L.	2	0	9.2+0.1	1060+ 37
monocytogenes	2	20	> 36	0
NCTC 11994	2	40	> 36	0
	2	60	> 36	0
		0	7.1+0.3	558+ 16
Lect	2	0	7 2+0 1	683+ 11
lactic	2	20	> 36	005 <u>-</u> 11
NCTR 6681	- 2	40	> 36	0
MATR AAAT	- 2	60	35 <	0 0
	6 		- 30	
	0	0	7.8 <u>+</u> 0.6	808 <u>+</u> 12
Strep.	2	0	8.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	905 <u>+</u> 31
agalactiae	2	20	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.3	856 <u>+</u> 33
NCIB 8778	2	40	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.0	962+ 12
	2	60	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	931 <u>+</u> 22
		 0	7.7+0.1	967+ 69
Stren.	2	0	8.5+0.0	901+ 29
salivarine	2	20	8.6+0.1	1111+ 23
NCTR 8883	-	40	8.0+0.4	897+159
	• 2	<b>40</b>	7.9+0.3	1173+ 57
			/.9 <u>-</u> 0.J	11/3 <u>+</u> 3/
	0	0	28.7 <u>+</u> 4.8	231 <u>+</u> 43
Lactob.	2	0	> 36	0
casei	2	20	> 36	0
subsp <u>rhamnosus</u>	2	40	> 36	0
NCIB 6375	2	60	> 36	0
	0	0	8.7 <u>+</u> 0.0	707 <u>+</u> 63
<u>E. coli</u>	2	0	> 36	0
NCIB 86	2	20	> 36	0
	2	40	> 36	0
	2	60	> 36	0
	0	0	7.3 <u>+</u> 0.7	832 <u>+</u> 28
?s.	2	0	> 36	o
fluorescens	2	20	> 36	o
CTB 3756	2	40	> 36	0
	2	60	> 36	0
	-			

Table 4.33 The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

artefactual curves were produced in this work, there is a possibility this combination of agents may have a useful curve stabilising effect.

4.4.3 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of thallous acetate and acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species.

The impedimetric responses of test species were investigated in GNB supplemented with an anti Gram negative selective agent thallous acetate and an anti Gram positive selective agent acriflavine hydrochloride, with the aim of determining whether this combination of agents would permit the sole detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of eight test organisms were monitored for 36 h in either unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 0.06 g dm⁻³ thallous acetate and 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride. The results of these experiments are tabulated (Table 4.34).

Addition of the selective agents to GNB inhibited the detection curves of all test species except <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Furthermore the DT of the target species was not delayed at the concentration of selective agents used. <u>Lact. lactis</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> each produced one late detection curve out of six tests in the doubly supplemented medium; a false positive result for the detection regimen. On reinoculation from these reaction wells the organisms present were found to be sensitive to

species       thallous       acriflavine       DT       magnitude         acetate       hydrochloride       (h) ± SD       (BUIC) ± S         (g dm ⁻³ )       (mg dm ⁻³ )       (mg dm ⁻³ )	D
acetate       hydrochloride       (h) $\pm$ SD       (BUIC) $\pm$ S         (g dm ⁻³ )       (mg dm ⁻³ )         L.       0       0 (N=2) $9.5\pm0.3$ $638\pm21$ monocytogenes       0.06       20 (N=6) $9.4\pm0.7$ $552\pm24$ NCTC 11994	D
(g dm ⁻³ )       (mg dm ⁻³ )         L.       0       0 (N=2)       9.5±0.3       638± 21         monocytogenes       0.06       20 (N=6)       9.4±0.7       552± 24         NCTC 11994       1       1       1       1       1       1         Lact.       0       0 (N=2)       6.6±0.6       697±114         lactis       0.06       20 (N=6)       20.8 (1) ±, 550,         NCIB 6681       > 36 (5) ±       0         Ent.       0       0 (N=2)       7.8±0.1       682± 23         faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 ±       0         NCIB 775       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547± 3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 ±       0         NCIB 8883       0       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547± 3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 ±       0         MCIB 8883       0       0       0 (N=2)       5.0±0.0       616± 21         cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0       0         B.       0       0 (N=2)       5.0±0.0       616± 21         cereus	
L.       0       0 (N=2) $9.5\pm0.3$ $638\pm21$ monocytogenes       0.06       20 (N=6) $9.4\pm0.7$ $552\pm24$ NCTC 11994       1       1 $552\pm24$ $24$ Lact.       0       0 (N=2) $6.6\pm0.6$ $697\pm114$ lactis       0.06       20 (N=6) $20.8$ (1) $\Xi$ , 550,         NCIB       6681       > 36 (5) $\Xi$ 0         Ent.       0       0 (N=2) $7.8\pm0.1$ $682\pm23$ faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 $\sharp$ 0         NCIB 775       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $547\pm3$ salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 $\sharp$ 0         NCIB 8883       0       0       (N=2) $5.0\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ Gereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0       0         NCIB 8112       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0	
monocytogenes         0.06         20 (N=6)         9.4±0.7         552± 24           NCTC 11994	
NCTC 11994         Lact.       0       0 (N=2) $6.6\pm0.6$ $697\pm114$ lactis       0.06       20 (N=6) $20.8$ (1) $\overline{x}$ , 550,         NCIB 6681       > 36 (5) $\overline{x}$ 0         Ent.       0       0 (N=2) $7.8\pm0.1$ $682\pm23$ faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 $\#$ 0         NCIB 775       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $547\pm$ 3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 $\#$ 0         NCIB 8883       0       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ B.       0       0 (N=2) $5.0\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ D.       0       0 (N=2) $5.0\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ Cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0         NCIB 8112       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0	
Lact.       0       0 (N=2) $6.6\pm0.6$ $697\pm114$ lactis       0.06       20 (N=6) $20.8$ (1) $B$ , 550,         NCIB 6681       > 36 (5) $B$ 0         Ent.       0       0 (N=2) $7.8\pm0.1$ $682\pm23$ faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 \$\$       0         KnClB 775       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $547\pm3$ Strep.       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $547\pm3$ salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 \$\$       0         McIB 8883       0       0 (N=2) $5.0\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ B.       0       0 (N=2) $5.0\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ Cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0         NCIB 8112       0.05       20 (N=6)       > 36       0	
lactis       0.06       20 (N=6)       20.8 (1) 5, 550,         NCIB 6681       > 36 (5) 5       0         Ent.       0       0 (N=2)       7.8±0.1       682±       23         faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 775       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547±       3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 8883       0       0       (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547±       3         B.       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547±       3         B.       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       616±       21         Cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0       0         B.       0       0 (N=2)       5.0±0.0       616±       21         Cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0       0         NCIB 8112       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0       0	
NCIB 6681       > 36 (5) 0       0         Ent.       0       0 (N=2)       7.8±0.1       682± 23         faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 \$       0         NCIB 775       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547± 3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 \$       0         NCIB 8883       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547± 3         mcIB 8883       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       616± 21         Cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 \$       0         NCIB 8112       0.05       20 (N=6)       > 36       0	I
Ent.       0       0 (N=2) $7.8\pm0.1$ $682\pm23$ faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 775       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $547\pm3$ salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 8883       0       0       0 (N=2) $7.1\pm0.0$ $547\pm3$ B.       0       0 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         B.       0       0 (N=2) $5.0\pm0.0$ $616\pm21$ Cereus       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36       0         NCIB 8112	
faecalis       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 775             Strep.       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547±       3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 8883	1
NCIB 775         Strep.       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547±       3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 8883	1
Strep.       0       0 (N=2)       7.1±0.0       547±       3         salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 8883	
salivarius       0.06       20 (N=6)       > 36 #       0         NCIB 8883	
NCIB 8883 <u>B.</u> 0 0 (N=2) 5.0 <u>+</u> 0.0 616 <u>+</u> 21 <u>cereus</u> 0.06 20 (N=6) > 36 0 NCIB 8112	i
B.         0         0 (N=2)         5.0±0.0         616± 21           cereus         0.05         20 (N=6)         > 36         0           NCIB 8112	 
cereus 0.06 20 (N=6) > 36 0 NCIB 8112	ļ
NCIB 8112	1
<u>B.</u> 0 0 (N=2) 11.5 <u>+</u> 0.0 409 <u>+</u> 4	ļ
circulans 0.06 20 (N=6) > 36 # 0	1
NCIB 7578	 
<u>B.</u> 0 0 (№=2) 12.5 <u>+</u> 0.1 381 <u>+</u> 37	
subtilis 0.06 20 (N≈6) > 36 0	ļ
NCIB 8703	 
<u>₽s.</u> 0 0 (№=2) 7.9 <u>+</u> 0.2 293 <u>+</u> 50	ļ
<u>fluorescens</u> 0.06 20 (N=6) 25.3(1) 5, 360,	l
NCIB 3756 > 36 (5) & 0	

 $\frac{Key}{\Phi} = One artefactual curve produced$  $<math>\Phi = Number of curves of this type in brackets$ 

Table 4.34 The effect of a combination of thallous acetate and acriflavine hydrochloride on the impedimetric responses of test species. the selective agents, indicating that airborne contamination by resistant organisms was not the cause of the false positives. These false positives were possibly due to clumping of the test species in the dilution from the overnight GNB culture (see 2.3), a greater number of the test species being inoculated into the Bactometer wells on these occasions.

In this set of experiments all test species consistently produced impedance curves of low amplitude both in the presence and absence of the selective agents. The reasons for fluctuations in impedance magnitude are discussed further later in this chapter (see 4.5).

It can be concluded that thallous acetate and acriflavine hydrochloride show potential for use as selective agents in an impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. However, due to its human toxicity, thallous acetate would not be used in preference to another suitable anti Gram negative agent.

4.4.4 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

The impedimetric responses of test species were investigated in GNB supplemented with the anti Gram positive selective agent acriflavine hydrochloride and the broad spectrum agent moxalactam, with the aim of determining whether this combination of agents would permit the sole detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Using routine procedures (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of eight test species were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 0 to 40 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and either 0 or 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. Both individual test species and mixed cultures were examined. The results of this work are reported in Table 4.35.

It was observed that 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam were the minimal concentrations of this combination of antimicrobial agents required to inhibit the detection of all non <u>Listeria</u> test species both individually and in mixed cultures. Furthermore, at these minimum effective concentrations, the DT and the quality of the electrical curves of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were not affected.

Three lactic acid bacteria, <u>Ent. faecalis</u>, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> and <u>Strep. salivarius</u>, produced detection curves in 10 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. Importantly the DT's of the latter two organisms were only delayed 0.7 h and 0.3 h respectively. Hence a higher concentration of acriflavine hydrochloride, that is 20 mg dm⁻³, was required to eliminate their electrical responses. These three lactic acid bacteria were therefore most likely to have been responsible for the detection curves produced by the mixed culture without <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in 10 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.

species ac	riflavine	moxalactam	777	
hy			01	magnitude
	drochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SI
(1	ng dm ⁻³ )			
		0	11.3+0.3	663+ 5
monocytogenes	10	20	11.1+0.3	660+ 11
NCTC 11994	20	20	11.1+0.3	612+ 22
	40	20	12.6 #	610
 Lact.	0	0	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	 789 <u>+</u> 22
lactis	10	20	> 36	0
NCIB 6681	20	20	> 36	0
	40	20	> 36 #	0
<u></u> <u>Bnt.</u>	0	0	8.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	 540 <u>+</u> 17
faecalis	10	20	17.3 <u>+</u> 0.0	416 <u>+</u> 6
NCIB 775	20	20	> 36	0
	40	20	> 36 #	0
Strep.	0	0	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.4	656 <u>+</u> 5
agalactiae	10	20	8.1 <u>+</u> 0.8	617 <u>+</u> 11
CIB 8778	20	20	> 36 #	0
	40	20	> 36	0
Strep.	0	0	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	559 <u>+</u> 24
<u>salivarius</u>	10	20	7.8 <u>+</u> 0.0	512 <u>+</u> 1
ICIB 8883	20	20	> 36	0
	40	20	> 36	0
act. lactis	0	0	9.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	558 <u>+</u> 5
subsp <u>cremoris</u>	10	20	> 36 #	0
NCIB 8662	20	20	> 36 #	0
	40	20	· > 36 #	0
Leuc.	0	0	14.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	941 <u>+</u> 25
mesenteroides	10	20	> 36	0
ubsp <u>cremoris</u>	20	20	> 36	0
NCIB 12008	40	20	> 36	0
	0	0	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.0	662 <u>+</u> 7
fluorescens	10	20	> 36	0
NCIB 3756	20	20	> 36	0
	40	20	> 36	0

Test	Concentra	ation of	Imp	edance
species acr	iflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
hyd (2	irochloride ng dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
Mixed culture §	; 0	0	8.6 #	1075
+ <u>L.</u>	10	20	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.2	923 <u>+</u> 57
monocytogenes	20	20	14.2 #	839
NCTC 11994	40	20	> 36	0
Mixed culture §	; 0	0	7.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	899 <u>+</u> 153
- <u>L.</u>	10	20	9.3 <u>+</u> 1.6	489 <u>+</u> 137
monocytogenes	20	20	> 36	0
NCTC 11994	40	20	> 36	0

(N=2)

Key
\$ = Each mixed culture consisted of:
Lact. lactis NCIB 6681,
Ent. faecalis NCIB 775,
Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883,
Lact. lactis subsp cremoris NCIB 8662,
Strep. agalactiae NCIB 8778,
Leuc. mesenteroides subsp cremoris
NCIB 12008
and Ps. fluorescens NCIB 3756.
# = One artefactual curve produced

Table 4.35 The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

Further work was undertaken to determine the effect of 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam on an increased range of test species. The impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures were determined using the routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1). The results are tabulated (Table 4.36).

L. monocytogenes was the only monoculture to be detected in doubly supplemented GNB. Furthermore, the combination of 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam enabled the sole detection of L. monocytogenes from all four mixed cultures examined. Most importantly, there were no false negative detections from either the pure cultures or the mixed cultures containing L. monocytogenes (that is, L. monocytogenes was detected on all occasions). One false positive result was obtained with Ent. faecalis (out of the 16 reaction wells inoculated with this organism) and one false positive detection was produced by a mixed culture of lactic acid bacteria in the absence of L. monocytogenes (out of 8 reaction wells inoculated).

With the addition of the selective agents the DT of the <u>L. monocytogenes</u> monoculture increased approximately 50 %, from 9.6 to 14.3 h. This delay was not expected from previous work (Table 4.35). Statistical analysis (see 2.14) showed that this increase in DT was significant at a level of < 0.001 % (t = 10.98). Despite the fact that only <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was responsible for the detection curves produced in mixed cultures, statistical analysis showed

/   Test	Concer	ntration of	Imped	dance
species	acriflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
/ - <b>-</b>	hydrochlorid	$le (mc_1 dm^{-3})$	(h) + SD	(BUIC) + SD
1	$(m \sigma dm^{-3})$			
' 				
,   Т.	o	0 (N=8)	9.6+0.8	888+263
monocytogen	es 20	20 (N=16)	_ 14.3+1.0 *	642+258
NCTC 11994	-		-	-
Lact.	o	0 (N=6)	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.9	623 <u>+</u> 154
lactis	20	20 (N=10)	> 36 #	0
NCIB 6681				
Ent.	o	0 (N=8)	8.0 <u>+</u> 2.1	708 <u>+</u> 238
faecalis	20	20 (N=16)	32.1 (1) ē,	# 262,
NCIB 775			> 36 (14) 8	0 (15)
<u>Strep.</u>	0	0 (N=6)	8.4 <u>+</u> 2.2	826 <u>+</u> 428
salivarius	20	20 (N=8)	> 36	0
NCIB 8883				l
Strep.	0	0 (N=8)	9.7 <u>+</u> 2.4 #	687 <u>+</u> 122
agalactiae	20	20 (N=16)	> 36 #	0
NCIB 8778				
Leuc.	0	0 (N=6)	13.1 <u>+</u> 3.0	730 <u>+</u> 38
mesenteroid	<u>es</u> 20	20 (N=8)	> 36 #	0
subsp cremo	ris			
NCIB 12008				
			************	
<u>B.</u>	0	0 (N=6)	6.0 <u>+</u> 0.3	1021 <u>+</u> 11
cereus	20	20 (N=8)	> 36	0
NCIB 8112				I
<u>B.</u>	0	0 (N=6)	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	994 <u>+</u> 154
<u>circulans</u>	20	20 (N=8)	> 36	0
NCIB 7578				I
<u>B.</u>	o	0 (N=6)	8.7 <u>+</u> 2.2	694 <u>+</u> 64
subtilis	20	20 (N=10)	> 36 #	0
NCIB 8703				1
<u>E. coli</u>	0	0 (N=6)	8.1 <u>+</u> 1.2 #	719 <u>+</u> 212
NCIB 86	20	20 (N=10)	> 36 #	0
l				I
<u>Ps.</u>	o	0 (N=6)	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.2 #	600 <u>+</u> 175
fluorescens	20	20 (N=10)	> 36	0
NCIB 3756				
		*****		/

(Table 4.36 continued overleaf)
Test         Concentration of         Impedance           species         acriflavine moxalactam         DT         magnitude           hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )         (h) ± 5D         (BUIC) ± 5D           (mg dm ⁻³ )         (h) ± 5D         (BUIC) ± 5D           Mixed culture 1         +         1         20         20         14.2±4.0 *         1254±214           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         Impedance         Impedance         Impedance           Mixed culture 1         -         1         20         20         14.2±4.0 *         1254±214           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         Impedance         Impedance         Impedance           Mixed culture 2         -         1         12.54±214         Impedance           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         Impedance         Impedance           Mixed culture 3         -         1         1           -         1         20         20         20.7±2.5         961±192           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         Impedance         Impedance           Mixed culture 3         -         Impedance         Impedance           mixed culture 4         1         1         Impedance <th>'</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	'					
species acriflavine moxalactam DT magnitude hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻¹ ) (h) $\pm$ 5D (BUIC) $\pm$ 5D (mg dm ⁻³ ) Mixed culture 1 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 14.2 $\pm$ 4.0 $\approx$ 1254 $\pm$ 214 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 1 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 $\approx$ 1199 $\pm$ 446 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 961 $\pm$ 192 Mixed culture 3 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 961 $\pm$ 192 Mixed culture 3 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 961 $\pm$ 192 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $27.0\pm4.3$ 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 36 $\#$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 $\rightarrow$ 3	Test	C	oncent	ration of	Impeda	ance
hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻ ) (h) $\pm$ 5D (BUTC) $\pm$ 5D (mg dm ⁻² ) Mixed culture 1 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 14.2 $\pm$ 4.0 * 1254 $\pm$ 214 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 1 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 445 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 961 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 961 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 5 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 5 36 # 0	species	acriflay	vine	moxalactam -3	DT	magnitude
(mg dm ) Mixed culture 1 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 14.2 $\pm$ 4.0 * 1254 $\pm$ 214 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 1 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 446 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0		hydroch	loride 3.	(mg dm )	$(h) \pm SD$	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
Mixed culture 1 + $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 14.2 $\pm$ 4.0 = 1254 $\pm$ 214 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 1 - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 + $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 = 1199 $\pm$ 445 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 + $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> - $\underline{L}_{}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>Mixed culture 4</u> <u>Mixed culture 1 = Lact. lactis</u> NCIB 6661, <u>Ent. faecalis</u> <u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8683, <u>Strep. salactiss</u> NCI <u>Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis</u> NCIB 6661, <u>Ent. faecalis</u> Mixed Culture 3 - <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 6661, <u>Ent. faecalis</u> Mixed Culture 3 - <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 6661, <u>Ent. faecalis</u> Mixed Culture 3 - <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 661, <u>Ent. faecalis</u> Mixed Culture 4 - <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. sgalactiss</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 - <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. sgalactiss</u> NCIM 200 LUTUR 4 - <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. sgalactiss</u> NCIM 200 LUTUR 4 - <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. sgalactiss</u> NCIM 200 LUTUR 4 - <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. sgalactiss</u> NCIM 200 LUTUR 4 - <u>Ent</u>		(mg dm	)			
+ $L_{}$ 20       20       14.2 $\pm$ 4.0 * 1254 $\pm$ 214         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994         Mixed culture 1       - $L_{}$ 20       20       > 36 #       0         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994	Mixed aultur					
$\mathbf{L}$ $L$	AIXed Cuitu		20	20	14 244 0 *	1054.014
Mixed culture 1 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 446 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 24.5 (1) 8, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 > 36 (7) 8 0 Mixed culture 3 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 4 - $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 5 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 9 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 9 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 9 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 9 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 9 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 9 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 1 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed Culture 3 = $\underline{L}$ 20 20		NORC 1	1004	20	14.2 <u>7</u> 4.0 "	1234 <u>7</u> 214
Mixed culture 1 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 $+\underline{L} 20 20 11.7\pm 6.6 * 1199\pm 446$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 $-\underline{L} 20 20 24.5 (1) & 1836,$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 $+\underline{L} 20 20 20.7\pm 2.5 961\pm 192$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 $+\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 $+\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $+\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $+\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed Culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed Culture 5 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed Culture 4 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed Culture 5 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed Culture 5 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ Mixed Culture 6 $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$ $-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 # 0$	monocycoden	es acre i				
$-\underline{L} 20 20 > 36 \neq 0$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 + <u>L</u> 20 20 11.7±6.6 * 1199±446 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - <u>L</u> 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 + <u>L</u> 20 20 20.7±2.5 981±192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - <u>L</u> 20 20 20.7±2.5 981±192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + <u>L</u> 20 20 20.7±4.3 709± 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 1194 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 1194 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 1194 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Cul	Mixed cultu					
Encorytogenes       NCTC 11994         Mixed culture 2       + L.       20       20       11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 446         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       -       11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 446         Mixed culture 2       - L.       20       20       24.5 (1) 5, 1836,         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       > 36 (7) 5       0         Mixed culture 3       -       20       20.7 $\pm$ 2.5       981 $\pm$ 192         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       > 36 \$       0         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       -       16 \$         Mixed culture 3       -       20       20       > 36 \$       0         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       -       16       17.0 $\pm$ 4.3       709 $\pm$ 45         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       -       17.0 $\pm$ 4.3       709 $\pm$ 45       17.0 $\pm$ 4.3       19.0 $\pm$ 45         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       -       16       16       16       16         Mixed culture 4       +       +       16       16       16       16         Mixed culture 4       -       20       20       20       26 \$       16       16         Mixed culture 4       -       20	- 1.		20	20	> 36 #	0
Mixed culture 2         + $\underline{L}$ 20       20       11.7±6.6 * 1199±446         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       11.7±6.6 * 1199±446         Mixed culture 2       - $\underline{L}$ 20       20       24.5 (1) 5, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 18366, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836, 1836,	monocytogen	as NCTC 1	1994			•
Mixed culture 2 + <u>L</u> . 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 446 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 > 36 (7) 5 0 Mixed culture 3 + <u>L</u> . 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + <u>L</u> . 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> . 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 $\ddagger$ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Lact. lactis</u> NCIB 6661, <u>Ent. faecali</u> Strep. salivarius NCIB 6883 $\pm$ Strep. agalactias Mixed Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 668, <u>Ent. faecali</u> Strep. salivarius NCIB 6883 $\pm$ Strep. agalactias Mixed Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 665, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCT <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Int. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u> Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactias</u>						
+ <u>L.</u> 20 20 11.7 $\pm$ 6.6 * 1199 $\pm$ 446 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 2 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 24.5 (1) 8, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 > 36 (7) 8 0 Mixed culture 3 + <u>L.</u> 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + <u>L.</u> 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 10 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 Mixed Cult	Mixed cultur	re 2				
monocytogenes       NCTC 11994         Mixed culture 2       20       24.5 (1) 8, 1836, 1836, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 1800, 18	+ L.		20	20	11.7+6.6 *	1199+446
Mixed culture 2 - L. 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 > 36 (7) 5 0 Mixed culture 3 + L. 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 865, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae NCIB NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI MIXed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae NCIB NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI	monocytogen	es NCTC 1	1994		-	-
Mixed culture 2 - L. 20 20 24.5 (1) 5, 1836, monocytogenes NCTC 11994 > 36 (7) 5 0 Mixed culture 3 + L. 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 Mixed culture 5 Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCTB 6681, <u>Ent. faecali</u> <u>Strep. salivarius NCTB 6683, Strep. agalactiae</u> NCTB 6683, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> NCTB 6683, <u>Estep. agalactiae</u> NCTB 66703, <u>Mixed</u> Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCTB 6683, <u>Estep. agalactiae</u> NCTB 8778, <u>E. coli</u> NCTB 8703, NCTB 8778, <u>E. co</u>						
- L.202024.5 (1) 5, 1836,monocytogenesNCTC 11994> 36 (7) 50Mixed culture 3+ L.2020 $20.7\pm2.5$ $981\pm192$ monocytogenesNCTC 11994.Mixed culture 3 L.2020> 36 #0monocytogenesNCTC 11994	Mixed cultur	re 2				
monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         > 36 (7) 8         0           Mixed culture 3         1         1         1           + L.         20         20         20.7±2.5         981±192         1           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         1         1         1           mixed culture 3         1         1         1         1           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         1         1           mixed culture 4         1         1         1           + L.         20         20         27.0±4.3         709± 45         1           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         1         1         1         1           - L.         20         20         27.0±4.3         709± 45         1           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         1         1         1           - L.         20         20         > 36 #         0         1           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         1         1         1           - L.         20         20         > 36 #         0         1           monocytogenes         NCTC 11994         1         1         1           Mix	- <u>L.</u>		20	20	24.5 (1) ō,	1836,
Mixed culture 3 + L. 20 20 20.7±2.5 981±192   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0±4.3 709± 45   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Mixed culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 6883, Strep. agalactias N B. subtilis NCIB 6703, E. coli NCIB 86 $\pounds$ Ps. flu Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8683 $\pounds$ Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 8683 $\pounds$ Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 8683 $\pounds$ Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 85 $\pounds$ Pa. fluorescena NCI B. subtilis NCIB 776, E. coli NCIB 85 $\pounds$ Pa. fluorescena NCI B. subtilis NCIB 776, E. coli NCIB 85 $\pounds$ Pa. fluorescena NCI B. subtilis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactias 1 NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 85 $\pounds$ Pa. fluorescena NCI	monocytogen	nes NCTC	11994		> 36 (7) ā	0
Mixed culture 3 + L. 20 20 20.7 $\pm$ 2.5 981 $\pm$ 192 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 5 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed Culture 5 Mixed Culture 6 Mixed Culture 7 Mixed C						
+ <u>L.</u> 20 20 20.7±2.5 981±192 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 3 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + <u>L</u> 20 20 27.0±4.3 709± 45 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 <u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 <u>Key</u> (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce z = Two artefactual curves produce $z = Two artefactual curves produce s = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 £ Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 3 = B. coli NCIB 866, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae Nixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae Nixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae Nixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae Nixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae Nixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae Nixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae NCIB 8778, B. coli NCIB 86 4 Ps. fluorescene NCI$	Mixed cultur	re 3				
<pre>monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 &gt; 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0±4.3 709± 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 &gt; 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 &gt; 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Key (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce</pre>	+ <u>L.</u>		20	20	20.7 <u>+</u> 2.5	981 <u>+</u> 192
Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 $\ddagger$ 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Key (N=8) $\ddagger$ = One artefactual curve produce $\ddagger$ = Two artefactual curves produce $\ddagger$ = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> Nixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> Nixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> Nixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> NCIB 8778, <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86 $\ddagger$ Ps. fluorescena NCI	monocytogen	es NCTC 1	1994			
Mixed culture 3 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0 $\pm$ 4.3 709 $\pm$ 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 						
- <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 + <u>L.</u> 20 20 27.0±4.3 709± 45 [ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 [ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - <u>L.</u> 20 20 > 36 # 0 [ monocytogenes NCTC 11994 <u>Key</u> (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce & = Two artefactual curves produce & = Two artefactual curves produce & = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = <u>Lact. lactis</u> NCIB 6681, <u>Ent. faecali</u> <u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8883, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> N <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 8681, <u>Ent. faecali</u> <u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8883 4 <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> Mixed Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 868, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI	Mixed cultur	re 3				
<pre>monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0±4.3 709± 45  monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 &gt; 36 # 0  monocytogenes NCTC 11994  Key (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce * = Two artefactual curve produce * = Two artefactual curves produce &amp; = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 8683 £ Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 75, Strep. agalactiae NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI </pre>	- <u>L.</u>		20	20	> 36 #	0
Mixed culture 4 + L. 20 20 27.0±4.3 709± 45 monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Mixed culture 4 - L. 20 20 > 36 # 0 ( monocytogenes NCTC 11994 Key (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce * = Two artefactual curves produce & = Two artefactual curves produce & = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 866, <u>Ps. flurescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. flurescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI	monocytogene	BS NCTC 1	1994			
Hixed culture 4       20       20       27.0±4.3       709± 45         monocytogenes NCTC 11994       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed culture 4       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed culture 4       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed culture 4       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Immonocytogenes       NCTC 11994       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed culture 4       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis       NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis       NCIB 8683, Strep. agalactiae       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis       NCIB 8681, Ent. faecali       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli       NCIB 8683 & Strep. agalactiae       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli       NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens       NCIB         B. subtilis       NCIB 8703.       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis       NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae       Immonocytogenes       Immonocytogenes         Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis       NC			****			
* L.       20       20       27.044.3       7054.45         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       ()         Mixed culture 4       ()         - L.       20       20       > 36 #       0         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       ()         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       ()         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       ()         monocytogenes       NCTC 11994       ()         Mixed culture 4       ()       ()         # = One artefactual curve produce       *         * = Two artefactual curves produce       •         # = One artefactual curves produce       •         * = Two artefactual curves produce       •         # = One artefactual curves produce       •         # = Number of curves of each type       Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali         Strep. salivarius       NCIB 8703, <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86 & Ps. flu         Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis       NCIB 8683 & Strep. agalactiae         Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI         B. subtilis       NCIB 8703.         Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI         D. Subtilis NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescen	Mixed Cultur	<b>CO 4</b>			07.0.4.3	200 45
<pre>Mixed culture 4</pre>	+ <u>4.</u>	- 2000 1	20	20	27.0+4.3	709 <u>+</u> 45
<pre>Mixed culture 4</pre>	monocytogene	BS NCTC 1	.1994	<b>.</b>		
- L. 20 20 > 36 # 0   monocytogenes NCTC 11994   Key (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce * = Two artefactual curves produce 5 = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. flu Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae 1 Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae 1 NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI	Mixed cultur			,		
<u>monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994	- Te		20	20	> 36 #	0
Key       (N=8)         # = One artefactual curve produce         * = Two artefactual curves produce         b = Number of curves of each type         Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali         Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N         B. subtilis NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 866 & Ps. flu         Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali         Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae 1         Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 866, Ps. fluorescens NCID         B. subtilis NCIB 8703.         Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae 10	monocytocer	ISS NCTC	11994			-
Key (N=8) # = One artefactual curve produce * = Two artefactual curves produce & = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8683, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. flu Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalac NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI						
<pre># = One artefactual curve produce # = Two artefactual curves produce &amp; = Number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86 &amp; <u>Ps. flu</u> Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 &amp; Strep. agalactiae 1 Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 868, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalac</u> NCIB 8778, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI</pre>				Key	(N=8)	-
<ul> <li>* = Two artefactual curves production is a number of curves of each type Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. flue Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 &amp; Strep. agalactiae is Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703.</li> <li>Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalactiae NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 878, E. coli NCIB 878, F. coli NCIB 878, E. coli NCIB 878</li></ul>				# = 0	ne artefactual	curve produce
<ul> <li>5 = Number of curves of each type</li> <li>Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali</li> <li><u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8883, <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> N</li> <li><u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86 <u>4</u> <u>Ps. flu</u></li> <li>Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, <u>Ent. faecali</u></li> <li><u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8883 <u>4</u> <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> 3</li> <li>Mixed Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI</li> <li><u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703.</li> <li>Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalac</u></li> </ul>				* = T	wo artefactual	curves produc
Mixed Culture 1 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae N B. subtilis NCIB 8703, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. flu Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae Mixed Culture 3 = E. coli NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens NCI B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agala NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI				ō = N	umber of curves	of each type
Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883, Strep. agalactiae         B. subtilis       NCIB 8703, E. coli       NCIB 86 & Ps. flu         Mixed       Culture 2 = Lact. lactis       NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali         Strep. salivarius       NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae         Mixed       Culture 3 = E. coli       NCIB 86, Ps. fluorescens         NCIB       subtilis       NCIB 8703.         Mixed       Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis       NCIB 775, Strep. agala         NCIB       8778, E. coli       NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens		Mixed Cu	lture	1 = <u>Lact.</u> 1a	ctis NCIB 6681,	Ent. faecali
<ul> <li>B. subtilis NCIB 8703, <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86 &amp; <u>Ps. flu</u></li> <li>Mixed Culture 2 = <u>Lact. lactis</u> NCIB 6681, <u>Ent. faecali</u></li> <li><u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8883 &amp; <u>Strep. agalactiae</u></li> <li>Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI</li> <li><u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703.</li> <li>Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agala</u></li> <li>NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 &amp; Ps. fluorescens NCI</li> </ul>		St	rep. s	alivarius NC	IB 8883, <u>Strep</u> .	agalactiae N
Mixed Culture 2 = Lact. lactis NCIB 6681, Ent. faecali Strep. salivarius NCIB 8883 & Strep. agalactiae 3 Mixed Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalac</u> NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI		в.	subti	118 NCIB 870	3, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB	86 & Ps. flu
<u>Strep. salivarius</u> NCIB 8883 & <u>Strep. agalactiae</u> 1 Mixed Culture 3 = <u>B. coli</u> NCIB 86, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalac</u> NCIB 8778, <u>R. coli</u> NCIB 85 & Ps. fluorescens NC		Mixed Cu	lture	2 = <u>Lact.</u> la	ctis NCIB 6681,	Ent. faecali
Mixed Culture 3 = <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 85, <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> NCI <u>B. subtilis</u> NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalac</u> NCIB 8778, <u>E. coli</u> NCIB 85 & Ps. fluorescens NC		St	rep. s	alivarius NC	IB 8883 & Strep	. agalactiae
B. subtilis NCIB 8703. Mixed Culture 4 = <u>Ent. faecalis</u> NCIB 775, <u>Strep. agalac</u> NCIB 8778, E. coli NCIB 85 & Ps. fluorescens NC		Mixed Cu	lture	3 = B. coli	NCIB 86, Ps. fl	uorescens NCI
Mixed Culture 4 = Ent. faecalis NCIB 775, Strep. agalad		B.	subti	lis NCIB 870	3.	
NCTB 8778. E. coli NCIB 86 & Ps. fluorescens NCI		Mixed Cu	lture	4 = Ent. fae	calis NCIB 775.	Strep. agala
		N	CIB 87	78, <u>E.</u> coli	NCIB 86 & Ps. f.	luorescens NC

Table 4.36 The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

that significant differences in curve criteria occurred between the different mixed cultures. Tables 4.37 and 4.38 respectively illustrate the differences in DT's and impedance magnitudes. Mixed culture 4 (a combination of lactic acid bacteria and Gram negative species) produced the longest DT's and lowest impedance magnitudes of the four mixed cultures examined. The possible causes of these observed differences include: competition for nutrients

Mixed Culture Mixed Culture Mixed Culture Mixed Culture  $| 1 + \underline{L}, 2 + \underline{L}, 3 + \underline{L}, 4 + \underline{L}, |$ monocytogenes monocytogenes monocytogenes monocytogenes _____ - | t = 0.65 ( > 20 % ) I. 1 |-----| 1 Т 1 t = 3.14 ( < 2 % ) -----t = 4.87 ( < 0.001 % ) *************** t = 2.99 ( < 0.01|-----| t = 4.60 ( < 0.001 )------t = 3.07 ( < 0.011 | ----- | 1 1 ł 

Table 4.37 Statistical analysis of the DT's produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in the four mixed cultures. Values of t are given for each pair of mixed cultures and the corresponding significance levels are shown in brackets.

 
 Mixed Culture
 Mixed Culture
 Mixed Culture
 Mixed Culture

 1
 1 + L.
 2 + L.
 3 + L.
 4 + L.

 monocytogenes
 monocytogenes
 monocytogenes
 t = 0.22 ( > 20%)Т 1 |-----| t = 2.11 ( < 10 % ) L I. T t = 4.98 ( < 0.001 % ) L ł t = 1.05 ( > 20 % ) {----| t = 2.18 ( < 10 % )t = 2.82 ( < 2 % ) -----

Table 4.38 Statistical analysis of the impedance magnitudes produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in the four mixed cultures. Values of t are given for each pair of mixed cultures and the corresponding significance levels are shown in brackets.

prior to the selective agents taking effect, endotoxin release from Gram negative species affected by toxic agents, and production of bacteriocins. In addition the mixed cultures were observed to produce a high proportion of artefactual curves.

Additional experiments were carried out to determine the minimum concentration of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> detectable by impedimetric assay in 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine

hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB. Using routine methods (see 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 2.6.1 & 2.6.2) serially diluted samples of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 were simultaneously monitored for TVC, DT and impedance magnitude. The results are tabulated (Table 4.39) and shown graphically (Fig. 4.1).

Throughout the range of inocula used <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was detected by impedimetric assay in the doubly supplemented GNB. The DT increased with decreasing numbers of organisms whilst mean impedance magnitude remained relatively constant. A significant negative correlation  $(r = -0.9408, p = 0.05 \)$  was observed between inoculum concentration and DT (Fig. 4.1). Using this relationship it would therefore be possible to simultaneously detect and enumerate monocultures of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in GNB supplemented with acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam.

An inoculum of 10⁴ CFU of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> yielded a mean DT of 9.2 h in unsupplemented GNB and a mean DT of 10.2 h in GNB supplemented with 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam (Table 4.39). Statistical analysis (see 2.14) showed that this delay in DT was significant at the 5 % level (t = 2.43), and therefore use of these selective agents reduced the rapidity of the assay. However, the selective agents were not found to have any significant influence on the magnitude of the impedance curves produced by the  $10^4$  CFU inoculum of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (mean magnitude was 799 BUIC in unsupplemented medium and 772 BUIC in doubly

/ TVC of inoculum	Concentratio	on of	Imped	ance
(CFU cm ⁻³ + SD)	acriflavine	moxalact	am DT	magnitude j
   	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	) (mg dm	$^{-3}$ ) (h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD  
Fi:	rst experiment	: (N=6)		۱ا
Control				4
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^4$	0	0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.7	632 <u>+</u> 68
Ι				1
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10'$	20	20	4.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	592 <u>+</u> 28
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{\circ}$	20	20	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	603 <u>+</u> 50
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{2}$	20	20	7.2 <u>+</u> 1.1	595 <u>+</u> 89
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{4}$	20	20	10.1 <u>+</u> 0.7	569 <u>+</u> 93
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$	20	20	13.0 <u>+</u> 0.8 #	612 <u>+</u> 91
$  1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{2}$	20	20	14.7 <u>+</u> 1.6	590 <u>+</u> 96
$1.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{1}$	20	20	18.3 <u>+</u> 0.9 #	585 <u>+</u> 98
50	econd experime	ent (N=6)		l
Control				1
$  1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{\circ}$	0	0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.6 #	999 <u>+</u> 28
7				ł
$  1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10'$	20	20	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	979 <u>+</u> 52
$  1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{\circ}$	20	20	6.1 <u>+</u> 0.0	992 <u>+</u> 87
$1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{3}$	20	20	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.6	991 <u>+</u> 90
$  1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{4}$	20	20	10.2 <u>+</u> 1.4	975 <u>+</u> 37
$  1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{3}$	20	20	12.0 <u>+</u> 0.5 Ç	1161 <u>+</u> 27
$  1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{2}$	20	20	14.8 <u>+</u> 2.3	1021 <u>+</u> 104
$1.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{1}$	20	20	14.4 <u>+</u> 3.2 #	1088 <u>+</u> 82
	data for the	10 ⁴ CFU 1	noculum from 1	the two
		expe	eriments (N=12)	· · ·
Control				· · ·
$1.3 + 0.5 \times 10^4$	o	0	9.2+0.6 #	799±190
= <u>-</u> 	-			
				i
$1.3 + 0.5 \times 10^4$	20	20	10.2 <u>+</u> 1.1	772 <u>+</u> 215
		********		

<u>Key</u> # = One artefactual curve produced

* = Two artefactual curves produced

Ç = Three artefactual curves produced

Table 4.39 Impedimetric responses of a range of inoculum concentrations of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB.



supplemented medium; t = 0.30, p = > 20 %).

To investigate the reproducibility of the impedimetric responses of L. monocytogenes in the doubly supplemented GNB data obtained using a  $10^4$  CFU inoculum on two separate occasions (see Table 4.39) were compared using the t-Test (see 2.14). The mean DT in the first assay was 10.1 h, whilst that in the second assay was 10.2 h. This difference is not significant (t = 0.39, p = > 20 %). However, the mean magnitude of the first assay was 569 BUIC, whilst that in the second assay was 975 BUIC. This difference is significant at the 0.001 % level (t = 9.01). These results indicate that a detection regimen for L. monocytogenes should not utilise impedimetric magnitude as a curve criterion as significant inter-experiment differences occur. Variation in electrical response is discussed further later in this chapter (see 4.5).

The effect of the combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam was next investigated on an extended range of test organisms including a second strain of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and a number of closely related species as well as an increased number of Gram negative organisms. The impedimetric responses of these species individually and in mixed culture were determined using routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1). The results are tabulated (Table 4.40).

No individual test species except <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 was consistently detected in 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam

supplemented GNB. As this strain was detected with only a small increase in DT in the doubly supplemented medium compared with the unsupplemented medium the failure to detect L. monocytogenes NCTC 10357 was not expected. The known difference in serotype between these two strains, and hence the presumed difference in cell surface structure, may account for this observed difference in their susceptibility to the selective agents. As all known serotypes of L. monocytogenes are potentially pathogenic the detection of all is equally important. A second unexpected result in this set of experiments was the production of a detection curve in the doubly supplemented medium by two mixed cultures not containing L. monocytogenes. However, the addition of L. monocytogenes resulted in a decrease in DT in both cases. Assuming that the initial concentration of antimicrobial agents in the medium is reduced as a result of uptake by growing cells, then the presence of a greater number of organisms in mixed cultures (where the inoculum consists of 0.1  $\text{cm}^3$  of a 10⁻⁴ dilution of each test species) than in pure cultures (where the inoculum consists of 0.1  $\text{cm}^3$  of a 10⁻⁴ dilution of one test species) may require GNB to be supplemented with higher concentrations of the selective agents in order to maintain active concentrations in solution and permit differentiation of the target species.

Test	Concent	ration of	Imped	ance
species	acriflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
<u>L.</u>	0	0	12.2 <u>+</u> 2.5	1183 <u>+</u> 74
monocytogene	<u>s</u> 20	20	> 36	0
NCTC 10357 (	Serotype 1a)			
<u>L.</u>	0	0	9.9 <u>+</u> 1.6	1253 <u>+</u> 145
monocytogene	<u>s</u> 20	20	14.9 <u>+</u> 2.3	1233 <u>+</u> 102
NCTC 11994 (	Serotype 4b)			
<u>L.</u>	0	0	13.2 <u>+</u> 0.8	954 <u>+</u> 108
<u>ivanovii</u>	20	20	> 36	0
NCTC 11846				
<u>L.</u>	0	0	10.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	1191 <u>+</u> 92
innocua	20	20	> 36	0
NCTC 10889				
<u>L.</u>	0	0	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	1104 <u>+</u> 145
innocua	20	20	31.0 <u>+</u> 1.1 (3) a	523 <u>+</u> 109
NCTC 11288			> 35 (2) ōð	0
<u>L.</u>	0	0	13.5 <u>+</u> 3.0 #	1351 <u>+</u> 38
<u>seeligeri</u> NCTC 11855	20	20	> 36 #	0
<u>J.</u>	0	0	20.5 <u>+</u> 7.1	654 <u>+</u> 192
denitrifican NCTC 10815	20	20	> 36 *	0
<u>M.</u>	0	0	> 36 #	0
roseus	20	20	> 36	0
NCIB 8175				
<u>M.</u>	0	0	> 36	0
luteus	20	20	> 36	0
NCIB 9278				

/	Concen	tration of	Imp	dance
species	acriflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride	e (mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) + SD
I	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0	8.7 <u>+</u> 1.6	1253 <u>+</u> 253
<u>aeruginosa</u>	20	20	> 36 *	0
NCIB 950				i
   De			7.7+0.8	1699+424
l <u>FB:</u>	20	20	> 36	0
NCTR 10848	20	24	- 30	
				،   ا
Ps.	٥	0	6.6 <u>+</u> 0.9	, 690 <u>+</u> 250
putida	20	20	> 36 *	0
NCIB 10936				ŀ
				·
E. coli	0	0	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	732 <u>+</u> 94
NCIB 86	20	20	> 36	0
				I
Mired cultur			8.8+0.5	1148+ 23
+ Tu	20	20	12.0+0.3	1175+ 21
	a NCTC 11994	20		
Mixed cultur	re 1 0	0	8.8 <u>+</u> 0.6	1156 <u>+</u> 85
- <u>L.</u>	20	20	30.9 <u>+</u> 2.8	195 <u>+</u> 241
monocytogen	<b>BE NCTC 11994</b>			1
Mixed cultur	<b>e</b> 2 0	0	4.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	1301+15
+ <u>L.</u>	20	20	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.5	1230- 84
monocytogen	28 NCTC 11994			ا ا
Mixed cultur	<b>e 2</b> 0	0	5.2+0.1	1329+ 13
- L.	20	20	15.6 <u>+</u> 1.1	1046+273
monocytogene	B NCTC 11994		-	_ 1
				·/

Key

# = One artefactual curve produced

(N=6)

- * = Two artefactual curves produced
- $\bar{\varpi}$  = Number of curves of this type in brackets
- Mixed Culture 1 = <u>L. ivanovii</u> NCTC 11846, <u>L. innocua</u> NCTC 10889, <u>L. innocua</u> NCTC 11288, <u>L. seeligeri</u> NCTC 11856, <u>J. denitrificans</u> NCTC 10816. Mixed Culture 2 = <u>L. ivanovii</u> NCTC 11846, <u>L. innocua</u> NCTC
- 10889, <u>L. innocua</u> NCTC 11288, <u>L. seeligeri</u> NCTC 11856, <u>J. denitrificans</u> NCTC 10816, <u>M.roseus</u> NCIB 8175, <u>M.luteus</u> NCIB 9278, <u>Ps. aeruginosa</u> NCIB 950, <u>Ps. aeruginosa</u> NCIB 10848, <u>Ps. putida</u> NCIB 10936.

Table 4.40 The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

Further work was then undertaken to examine the effect of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on four different strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> of varying serotype. The impedimetric responses of these organisms were determined using routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1). The results are tabulated (Table 4.41).

<u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 was the only strain to be detected on all occasions. The concentration of selective agents used severely delayed or inhibited detection of all the other strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> examined.

Test	Concent	ration of	Impeda	nce
species	acriflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD ()	BUIC) ± SD
	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
 L.	0	0	13.9 <u>+</u> 0.4	1067 <u>+</u> 56
monocytogene	<u>s</u> 20	20	34.5 (1) <b>D</b> , *	159,
NCTC 7973 (8	erotype 1a)		> 36 (3) 86	o
<u>L.</u>	0	0	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.6	1130 <u>+</u> 157
monocytogene	<u>is</u> 20	20	30.1 <u>+</u> 3.4 (2) ā, #	198 <u>+</u> 41
NCTC 5348 (8	erotype 2)		> 36 (3) Đ	0
<u>L.</u>	0	0	10.2 <u>+</u> 0.5 #	1199 <u>+</u> 64
monocytogene	<u>18</u> 20	20	21.6 <u>+</u> 2.4 *	793 <u>+</u> 266
NCTC 11994 (	Serotype 4b)			
	0	0	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	1258 <u>+</u> 46
monocytogene	<u>is</u> 20	20	34.0 (1) ā,	103,
NCTC 10527 (	Serotype 4b)		> 36 (5) 80	0

<u>Key</u>

# = One artefactual curve produced

* = Two artefactual curves produced

 $\bar{\Phi}$  = Number of curves of this type in brackets

Table 4.41 The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of various strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on ten <u>Listeria</u> food isolates (see 2.1) was next investigated. The impedimetric responses of these food isolates were determined using the routine methods (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1). The results are tabulated in Table 4.42.

As in previous experiments <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 (control) was consistently detected. However, 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam was found to be too inhibitory to allow the reliable detection of any of the <u>Listeria</u> food isolates.

It was therefore concluded that supplementation of GNB with 20 mg dm⁻³ acriflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam affected the electrical responses of a range of test bacteria, the acriflavine hydrochloride eliminating non Listeria Gram positive test species (see 4.2.8) and the moxalactam eliminating Gram negative pseudomonad species (see 4.2.5). However, at this level of selective agents, detection curves were produced by some mixed cultures of test species in the absence of L. monocytogenes (Table 4.40). It would not be feasible, therefore, to use lower concentrations of selective agents to allow detection of the strains of L. monocytogenes which were inhibited by the present levels (Tables 4.40, 4.41 & 4.42). An alternative anti Gram positive agent is obviously required which is less toxic to L. monocytogenes strains and more toxic to non Listeria organisms. As lactic acid bacteria did not produce detection curves in

Test	Concent	ration of	Impedance			
species	acriflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude		
	hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD		
	(mg dm ⁻³ )					
<u>.</u>	0	0	11.6 <u>+</u> 2.2	 718 <u>+</u> 198		
onocytogen	<u>es</u> 20	20	19.4 <u>+</u> 1.1	606 <u>+</u> 549		
CTC 11994						
 pod	0	0	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	732 <u>+</u> 94		
solate	20	20	29.3 <u>+</u> 0.5 (3)	ā, 1100 <u>+</u> 68		
11			> 36 (3) ቆ	0		
	0	0	10.1 <u>+</u> 1.9	 751 <u>+</u> 163		
solate	20	20	29.1 <u>+</u> 0.8 (3)	ō, 759 <u>+</u> 369		
12			> 36 (3) ō	0		
	0	0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	 875 <u>+</u> 212		
solate I3	20	20	> 36 *	0		
	0	0	10.4 <u>+</u> 1.6	811+211		
olate I4	20	20	> 36	0		
	 0		12.0 <u>+</u> 1.7 #	678+237		
solate 15	20	20	> 36	0		
		0	11.9+1.3	550+241		
solate I6	20	20	> 36	0		
	0		13.7+3.9	749+ 280		
solate I7	20	20	> 36 #	0		
	 0	0	11.3+1.6	724+139		
solate I8	20	20	> 36 #	0		
	0	0	10.4+0.1 #	888+ 21		
solate 19	20	20	> 36	0		
	 0	0	9.6+0.1	957+ 3R		
~~ Iolata	20	20	34.6 (1) <b>A</b> .	127.		
I10			> 36 (5) 5	0		
solate I10 	20	20	34.6 (1) 8, > 36 (5) 8	127, 0		

 $\Phi$  = Number of curves of each type in brackets

Table 4.42 The effect of a combination of acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of <u>Listeria</u> food isolates.

7 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride while all strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> examined were detected at 21 mg dm⁻³ (see 4.2.9), this agent could be a useful alternative to acriflavine hydrochloride. Its toxic spectrum in combination with moxalactam was therefore next evaluated.

4.4.5 Evaluation of the effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

The impedimetric responses of test species were investigated in GNB supplemented with the anti Gram positive selective agent proflavine hydrochloride and the broad spectrum agent moxalactam, with the aim of determining whether this combination of agents would permit the sole detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of four test species were monitored for 36 h in either unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results are tabulated in Table 4.43.

Of the test species examined only <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 produced detection curves in the doubly supplemented GNB. These curves were of good morphology and magnitude. This combination of selective agents was therefore judged suitable for further examination.

Work was then carried out using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) to determine the impedimetric responses of an increased range of Gram positive and negative test species in either unsupplemented GNB or GNB

/		**		
Test	Concenti	ration of	Imp	edance
species	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
l	hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
l	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
			*******	
<u>L.</u>	0	0	9.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	1064 <u>+</u> 129
monocytogene	21	20	13.1 <u>+</u> 1.8	1012 <u>+</u> 21
NCTC 11994				
Lact.	0	0	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.4	762 <u>+</u> 132
lactis	21	20	> 36	0
NCIB 6681				
************			۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔	
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0	10.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	497 <u>+</u> 187
fluorescens	21	20	> 36	0
NCIB 3756				
<u>E.</u>	0	0	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	539 <u>+</u> 135
<u>coli</u>	21	20	> 36	0
NCIB 86				
یو هم چه چو چو وله جوا هم چو دو دو دو دو دو دو				
				(N=6)

Table 4.43 The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results are presented in Table 4.44.

L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 and L. monocytogenes food isolate I4 (see 2.1) were the only test species that produced a detection curve within 36 h in the doubly supplemented GNB. The inhibition of the Gram positive lactic acid bacteria is of significant importance. Such organisms are both common contaminants and members of the starter inocula of dairy products and, as they are related to L. monocytogenes, were expected to be the most difficult non target organisms to eliminate in a selective impedimetric assay.

/   Test	Concentr	ation of	II	npedance
species	proflavine	moxalacta	un DT	magnitude
· -	hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) + SD	(BUIC) + SD
	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
<u>L.</u>	0	0	8.7 <u>+</u> 0.6	947 <u>+</u> 79
monocytog	enes 21	20	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.8	1117 <u>+</u> 61
NCTC 1199	4			
<u>L.</u>	0	0	8.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	1033 <u>+</u> 7
monocytog	enes 21	20	15.3 <u>+</u> 1.0	970 <u>+</u> 71
food isol	ate 14			
Lact.	o	0	7.9 <u>+</u> 1.1	882 <u>+</u> 52
lactis	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 6681				
<u>Ent.</u>	0	o	6.0 <u>+</u> 0.3	1015 <u>+</u> 5
faecalis	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 775				
Strep.	0	0	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	1259 <u>+</u> 96
salivariu	<b>s</b> 21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 8883				
Lactob.	0	٥	19.4 <u>+</u> 0.7	587 <u>+</u> 11
casei	21	20	> 36 h	0
subsp <u>rha</u> NCIB 6375	mosus			
м.	0	0	18.9+0.9	905+ 57
roseus	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 8175				
в.	0	0	8.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	909 <u>+</u> 21
cereus	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 8122				
в.	0	0	12.5 <u>+</u> 6.1	1103 <u>+</u> 67
circulans	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 7578				
B.	0	0	11.4+0.5	707+ 1
subtilis	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 8703				-
Table	4.44 cont	inued	overleaf)	(N=6)

Tost	Concentr	ation of	Tm	edance
species	proflavine	moxalactam	т. D <b>T</b>	magnitude
Bherren	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0	21.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	288 <u>+</u> 9
fluorescen	<u>s</u> 21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 3756				
<u>Ps.</u>	 0	0	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.6	428 <u>+</u> 99
putida	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 10936				
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.7	547 <u>+</u> 312
aeruginosa	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 950				
<u>Ps.</u>	0	0	9.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	1409 <u>+</u> 50
aeruginosa	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 10848				
<u>E.</u>	0	0	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	561 <u>+</u> 15
coli	21	20	> 36 h	0
NCIB 86				
				(N=6)

Table 4.44 The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of test species.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of four <u>L. monocytogenes</u> strains and a number of closely related species were monitored for 36 h in either unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results of this work are reported in Table 4.45. An investigation of the impedimetric responses of a variety of mixed cultures in the doubly supplemented medium was also undertaken and the results presented in Table 4.45. The corresponding control values obtained with

Test	Concentra	ation of		edance
species p	roflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitud
hydi (1	rochloride ng dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> S
<u>L.</u>	0	0	9.8 <u>+</u> 1.1	948 <u>+</u> 9
monocytogenes	21	20	14.8 <u>+</u> 1.2	1037 <u>+</u> 12
NCTC 7973 (8e)	cotype 1a)			
<u>L.</u>	0	0	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.6	1092 <u>+</u> 3
monocytogenes	21	20	27.6 <u>+</u> 0.8 *	970 <u>+</u> 3
NCTC 10357 (Se	erotype 1a)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
<u>L.</u>	0	0	12.0 <u>+</u> 1.0	1214 <u>+</u> 5
monocytogenes	21	20	20.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	1001 <u>+</u> 1
NCTC 5348 (Ser	otype 2)			
<u>L.</u>	0	0	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.9	1093 <u>+</u> 9
monocytogenes	21	20	19.7 <u>+</u> 3.1 #	1146 <u>+</u> 18
NCTC 10527 (Se	rotype 4b)			
	0	0	19.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	861 <u>+</u> 4:
ivanovii	21	20	> 36	0
NCTC 11846				
	0	0	12.4 <u>+</u> 3.3	1234 <u>+</u> 100
innocua	21	20	25.7 <u>+</u> 1.6	531 <u>+</u>
NCTC 10889				
<u>L.</u>	0	0	13.5 <u>+</u> 0.7	1297 <u>+</u> 61
innocua	21	20	15.1 <u>+</u> 0.6 #	1253 <u>+</u> 115
NCTC 11288				
L.	0	0	16.4 <u>+</u> 8.1	889 <u>+</u> 184
<u>welshimeri</u>	21	20	27.8 <u>+</u> 1.9	1034 <u>+</u> 329
NCTC 11857				
	0	0	14.8 <u>+</u> 1.1	1273 <u>+</u> 232
<u>seeligeri</u>	21	20	> 36	0
NCTC 11856				
 <u>L.</u>	0	0	12.2 <u>+</u> 1.3	1003 <u>+</u> 106
grayi	21	20	> 36	0
NCTC 10815				
 <u>L.</u>	0	0	17.3 <u>+</u> 0.5	995 <u>+</u> 60
murrayi	21	20	> 36	٥
NCTC 10812				
 J.	0	0	19.2 <u>+</u> 2.5	935 <u>+</u> 23
denitrificans	21	20	> 36	0

/				
Test	Concentr	ation of	In	pedance
species	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
Mixed cult + L. mono	ure 1 21 cytogenes NCTC	20 : 11994	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	1371 <u>+</u> 7
Mixed cult	ure 1 21	20	9.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	1125 <u>+</u> 23
Mixed cult	ure 1 21	20	14.4 <u>+</u> 0.8	942 <u>+</u> 149
+ <u>L. inno</u>	<u>cua</u> NCTC 11288			
Mixed cult	ure 1 21 species	20	> 36	0
Mixed cult + <u>L. mono</u>	ure 2 21 cytogenes NCTC	20 11994	7.4 <u>+</u> 1.2	1003 <u>+</u> 89
Mixed cult + <u>L.welsh</u>	ure 2 21 <u>imeri</u> NCTC 118	20 57	8.5 <u>+</u> 1.1	1140 <u>+</u> 50
Mixed cult + <u>L. inno</u>	ure 2 21 <u>cua</u> NCTC 11288	20	10.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	1257 <u>+</u> 3
Mixed cult - <u>Listeria</u>	ure 2 21 species	20	> 36	0
Mixed cult + <u>L. mono</u>	ure 3 21 cytogenes NCTC	20 11994	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1162 <u>+</u> 38
Mixed cult: + <u>L.welsh</u> :	are 3 21 imeri NCTC 118	20 57	10.9 <u>+</u> 1.0	1014 <u>+</u> 80
Mixed cultu + <u>L. innoc</u>	are 3 21 Cua NCTC 11288	20	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.7	1184 <u>+</u> 45
Mixed cultu - <u>Listeria</u>	nre 3 21 species	20	> 36	0
		Kev	( N=	 6)
	# = Or	ne artefactua	l curve produ	ced
	* = Tv	o artefactua	l curves prod	uced
Mixed	Culture 1 = <u>La</u> NC	<u>ect. lactis</u> N CIB 775, <u>M. I</u>	CIB 6681, Ent	. faecalis 75 and
Mixed	$\frac{B}{2}$	subtilis NCI	B 8703. NCIB 950 and	E. COLI NCIB 8
Mixed	Culture 3 = La 77	nct. lactis N 75, <u>M. roseus</u>	CIB 6681, <u>Ent</u> NCIB 8175 an	. faecalis NCIB d <u>B. subtilis</u>
	NC	IB 8703, Ps.	aeruginosa N	CIB 950 and
	E.	COLI NCIB 8	6.	

Table 4.45 The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of individual test species and mixed cultures.

L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 and the non Listeria components of these mixed cultures (that is, their individual impedance DT's and magnitudes in unsupplemented medium) are given in Table 4.44.

L. monocytogenes, L. innocua and L. welshimeri were the only monocultures detected within 36 h in doubly supplemented GNB. Similarly mixed cultures containing one of these species were detected while those lacking these species were not.

Of significance L. monocytogenes NCTC 10357 (serotype 1a), which had not been detected in GNB supplemented with acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam (Table 4.40), consistently produced detection curves in GNB supplemented with proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam within the time course of the assay. All detection curves were of good morphology and magnitude but the DT was delayed by an average of 18.7 h compared with the respective DT in unsupplemented medium. Similarly L. monocytogenes strains NCTC 7973 (serotype 1a), NCTC 5348 (serotype 2) and NCTC 10527 (serotype 4b), whose impedimetric detection had been inconsistent, being either severely delayed or totally inhibited, in GNB supplemented with acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam (Table 4.41), always produced detection curves. Addition of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam to GNB caused maximum delay in the detection of L. monocytogenes NCTC 10527 where the DT was increased by an average of 10.8 h compared with the respective value in unsupplemented medium.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the

impedimetric responses of a range of <u>Listeria</u> food isolates (see 2.1) were monitored for 36 h in either unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results are tabulated in Table 4.46.

Both non haemolytic (I1 and I2) and haemolytic (I3 to I10) <u>Listeria</u> food isolates produced detection curves in the doubly supplemented medium. Addition of the selective agents caused delays in detection varying from 4.2 h (I3) to 18.1 h (I9) compared with the respective DT's in unsupplemented medium.

Additional experiments were carried out to determine the minimum concentration of L. monocytogenes detectable by impedimetric assay in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB. Using routine methods (see 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 2.6.1 & 2.6.2) serially diluted samples of L. monocytogenes NCTC 5348 were simultaneously monitored for TVC, DT and impedance magnitude. The results are tabulated (Table 4.47) and shown graphically (Fig. 4.2). L. monocytogenes NCTC 5348 was chosen as the test strain in these experiments as L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 had been found to exhibit uncharacteristic resistance to other combinations of antimicrobial agents compared with other members of the genus Listeria (see Table 4.40 & 4.41).

Test	Concentr	ation of	Impe	dance
species	proflavine hydrochloride	moxalactam (mg dm ⁻³ )	DT (h) <u>+</u> SD	magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	(mg um )			
Food	0	0	7.7 <u>+</u> 1.9	998 <u>+</u> 184
isolate	21	20	13.7 <u>+</u> 3.9	839 <u>+</u> 459
11				
Food	0	0	9.3 <u>+</u> 0.6	834 <u>+</u> 19
isolate	21	20	14.0 <u>+</u> 2.3	936 <u>+</u> 54
12				
Food	0	0	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	1073 <u>+</u> 38
isolate	21	20	14.9 <u>+</u> 1.1	957 <u>+</u> 84
13				
Food	0	0	9.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	1025 <u>+</u> 49
isolate	21	20	18.9 <u>+</u> 0.4	834 <u>+</u> 102
14				
Food	0	0	8.7 <u>+</u> 0.7	1074 <u>+</u> 58
isolate	21	20	20.2 <u>+</u> 3.5	1023 <u>+</u> 68
15				
?000d	0	0	15.1 <u>+</u> 3.1	867 <u>+</u> 34
isolate	21	20	23.1 <u>+</u> 2.8	959 <u>+</u> 72
16				
/ood	0	0	12.2 <u>+</u> 0.7	1236 <u>+</u> 212
isolate	21	20	18.6 <u>+</u> 3.1	1037 <u>+</u> 184
17				
Food	0	0	12.4 <u>+</u> 1.8	1231 <u>+</u> 241
isolate	21	20	25.0 <u>+</u> 4.6 #	1102 <u>+</u> 143
18				
700d	0	0	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.1 *	1152 <u>+</u> 87
isolate	21	20	26.1 <u>+</u> 1.5	1076 <u>+</u> 33
19				
Food	0	0	11.9 <u>+</u> 1.3	1310 <u>+</u> 131
isolate	21	20	28.0 <u>+</u> 1.1 #	1285 <u>+</u> 75
<b>I10</b>				
			,,,,	(N=6)
		Key		
	# =	• One ar	tefactual	curve p
	* =	= Two ar	tefactual	curves j

Table 4.46 The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam on the impedimetric responses of <u>Listeria</u> food isolates.

| TVC of inoculum Concentration of Impedance | | (CFU cm⁻³ <u>+</u> SD) proflavine moxalactam DT magnitude | hydrochloride (mg dm⁻³) (h)  $\pm$  SD (BUIC)  $\pm$  SD | (mg dm⁻³) I 1 ------<u>Control</u>  $\begin{array}{c|cc} & & & & & & \\ \hline & control & & & & \\ \hline & 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^4 & 0 & 0 & 8.7 \pm 0.5 & 1049 \pm 14 & \\ \hline & & 21 & 0 & 12.1 \pm 0.4 & 1030 \pm 21 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ 1  $\begin{vmatrix} 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^7 & 21 & 20 & 4.5\pm0.2 & 1022\pm187 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^6 & 21 & 20 & 5.9\pm0.4 & 952\pm78 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^5 & 21 & 20 & 9.7\pm2.1 & 1265\pm230 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^4 & 21 & 20 & 13.3\pm1.8 & 1176\pm180 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^3 & 21 & 20 & 18.3\pm0.1 & 1194\pm35 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^2 & 21 & 20 & 20.9\pm0.4 & 1054\pm220 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^1 & 21 & 20 & 27.1\pm3.2 & 779\pm309 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 29.2\pm4.3(3) & 5, 961\pm113 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 20.2\pm1 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 20.2\pm1 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 20.2\pm1 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2 \times 10^0 & 21 & 20 & 20.2\pm1 \\ | 6.8 \pm 1.2$ > 36 (1) 50 0, --/

(N=4)

 $\frac{Key}{\Phi} = Number of curves of each type in brackets$ 

Table 4.47 Impedimetric responses of a range of inoculum concentrations of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 5348 in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB.

Electrical curves of good morphology were produced throughout the range of inoculum concentrations used and a significant negative correlation (r = -0.9588, p = 0.05 %) was observed between inoculum concentration and DT (Fig. 4.2). <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 5348 was detected on all occasions except in one reaction well inoculated with the lowest concentration tested. In this case it can be assumed that there were no microbial cells





present in the inoculum. The impedimetric system is therefore capable of detecting less than 10 CFU of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> per reaction well and hence is potentially capable of detecting a single cell.

The production of detection curves by extracellular enzymes was also investigated. However, a cell free extract of a 24 h culture of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 (see 2.10) did not produce detection curves in either unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam (data not shown).

In conclusion, GNB doubly supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam allowed the selective impedimetric detection of strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>L. welshimeri</u> and <u>L. innocua</u> by the suppression of the metabolism of a variety of Gram positive and Gram negative species. The undesirable false positive detection of <u>L. welshimeri</u> and <u>L. innocua</u> is less important than the pathogen <u>L. monocytogenes</u> remaining undetected, a small number of false positive results being preferable to even a single false negative.

4.4.6 Evaluation of the effect of fungal species and antifungal agents on the impedimetric responses of L. monocytogenes.

Work was undertaken to determine the effects of the fungal species <u>Penicillium claviforme</u> and <u>P. roqueforte</u> and of the antifungal agents amphotericin B and ketoconazole on the electrical responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of monocultures of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994, <u>P. claviforme</u> and <u>P. roqueforte</u>, and of a mixed culture of the three test species were monitored for 36 h in unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride, 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam and 0 to 60 mg dm⁻³ of either amphotericin B or ketoconazole. As a result of preliminary experiments (see 2.4) (data not shown), 0.1 cm³ of an undiluted 24 h malt extract broth culture was chosen as inoculum for both fungal monocultures. The inoculum of the mixed culture comprised 0.1 cm³ of a 10⁻² dilution of an appropriately grown 24 h culture of each of the three test species. The results are tabulated in Table 4.48.

Neither fungal species tested produced a detection curve within 36 h in unsupplemented GNB or in GNB supplemented with proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam. However, in the presence of the antifungal agents a number of detection curves (14 out of 56) occurred at approximately 20 h. As fungi generally decrease the conductance component of the impedimetric signal, whereas bacteria increase it (Connolly <u>et al</u>., 1988) the production of detection curves by fungal species was not expected. Possibly these sporadic detection curves were caused by the release of intracellular fungal material, the mode of action of amphotericin B and of ketoconazole being to alter the permeability of fungal cell membranes and hence allow leakage of intracellular materials (Gilman

Test	Concentr	ation of	Impedance		
species	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude	
( µ2	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	
<u>L.</u>	0	0	11.0 <u>+</u> 1.7	1298 <u>+</u> 136	
monocytogene NCTC 11994	21	20	12.8 <u>+</u> 4.0	1161 <u>+</u> 119	
<u>P.</u>	0	0	> 36	0	
claviforme ATCC 10426	21	20	> 36 (6) *	0	
<u>P.</u>	0	0	> 36	0	
<u>roqueforte</u> NCTC 588	21	20	> 36	0	
lixed culture	0	0	7.8 <u>+</u> 3.1	1239 <u>+</u> 186	
5	21	20	9.3 <u>+</u> 4.3	1212 <u>+</u> 93	

Test		Concentration of		Impedance	
species	proflavine	moxalactam	amphotericin	B DT	magnitude
hy (:	drochloride mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
L.	21	20	20	13.0 <u>+</u> 2.9	1010 <u>+</u> 164
monocytogene	<u>s</u> 21	20	40	12.6 <u>+</u> 2.7	955 <u>+</u> 171
NCTC 11994	21	20	60	12.5 <u>+</u> 2.8 #	1016 <u>+</u> 22
<u>P.</u>	21	20	20	> 36 (5)ā, #	0 (5)
<u>claviforme</u>				20.9 <u>+</u> 0.4 (2)	1090 <u>+</u> 50 (2)
ATCC 10426	21	20	40	> 36 #	0
	21	20	60	> 36 (6) <b>ð</b> , á	₿ 0 (6)
				21.0 (1)	1093 (1)
<u>P.</u>	21	20	20	> 36 (5) 8, 1	• 0 (5)
roqueforte				20.5 (1)	1154 (1)
NCTC 588	21	20	40	> 36 (4) ð, *	• 0 (4)
				19.3 <u>+</u> 1.2 (2)	1381 <u>+</u> 124 (2)
	21	20	60	> 36 #	0
Mixed culture	21	20	20	9.6 <u>+</u> 4.1	1117 <u>+</u> 159
5	21	20	40	9.5 <u>+</u> 4.3	1112 <u>+</u> 187
	21	20	60	9.4+4.4 #	1031+ 68

(Table 4.48 continued overleaf)

(N=8)

Ketoconazo)	Le					
Test		Concentration	of	Impedance		
species pr	oflavine	moxalactam	ketoconazole	DT	magnitude	
hydr (mg	rochloride g dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	
<u>L.</u>	21	20	20	12.8 <u>+</u> 2.6 *	1019 <u>+</u> 120	
monocytogenes	21	20	40	14.9 <u>+</u> 3.1 #	1074 <u>+</u> 80	
NCTC 11994	21	20	60	11.3 <u>+</u> 3.0 Ç	1030 <u>+</u> 132	
<u>P.</u>	21	20	20	> 36 #	o	
<u>claviforme</u>	21	20	40	> 36 (6) ō,	# D (6)	
ATCC 10425				20.5 (1)	1135 (1)	
	21	20	60	> 36 (4) ō,	# 0 (4)	
				21.0 <u>+</u> 0.5 (2)	1122 <u>+</u> 7 (2)	
<u>P.</u>	21	20	20	> 36 *	0	
roqueforte	21	20	40	> 36 (6) 56,	0 (6)	
NCTC 588				20.5 <u>+</u> 0.1 (2)	1135 <u>+</u> 8 (2)	
	21	20	60	> 36 (6) ð,	0 (6)	
				21.0 <u>+</u> 0.8 (2)	1122 <u>+</u> 6 (2)	
Mixed culture	21	20	20	12.7 <u>+</u> 2.7 Ç	1124 <u>+</u> 16	
S	21	20	40	9.9 <u>+</u> 4.1	1072 <u>+</u> 176	
	21	20	60	11.7 <u>+</u> 2.9	1069 <u>+</u> 123	

(N=8)

<u>Key</u>

# = One artefactu	al curve produced
-------------------	-------------------

- * = Two artefactual curves produced
- Ç = Three artefactual curves produced Ø = Number of curves of each type in brackets
- **§** = Each mixed culture consisted of:
  - <u>P. claviforme</u> ATCC 10426, <u>P. roqueforte</u> NCTC 588 and

L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994.

Table 4.48 The effect of a combination of proflavine hydrochloride, moxalactam and amphotericin B or ketoconazole on the impedimetric responses of L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994, P. claviforme and P. roqueforte.

et al., 1985; Sigma Technical Services, personal communication, 1993).

Even the highest concentration of amphotericin B and ketoconazole tested, 60 mg dm⁻³, did not cause a delay in the DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. However, whether antifungal agents were added or not, the presence of fungal species did not hinder the detection curves of the target species.

It was concluded therefore that the use of antifungal agents in the impedimetric system would not be necessary as the fungal species were incapable of producing detection curves within 36 h in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.

4.4.7 Evaluation of the effect of aesculin and ammonium-ferric citrate on the impedimetric responses of test species.

Aesculin hydrolysis has been used in traditional methodology for the detection of <u>Listeria</u> spp. In the presence of iron all <u>Listeria</u> spp. hydrolyse aesculin causing blackening around their colonies on plating media (Dominguez <u>et al</u>., 1984; Curtis <u>et al</u>., 1989a; bioMérieux, 1992b).

The aim of this work was to determine the effectiveness of incorporating aesculin hydrolysis as a secondary colorimetric detection system in an impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 and 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 were monitored for 36 h in

unsupplemented GNB, unsupplemented ANB (see 2.2 & 2.9), and ANB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. In addition the colour of the reaction wells was observed every 4 h. The results are recorded in Table 4.49.

The DT of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in unsupplemented ANB was 1.5 h shorter than in unsupplemented GNB. This finding was unexpected as aesculin has been reported to be not as readily usable as a growth enhancing substrate as glucose (Lachia, 1990). The corresponding DT in the doubly supplemented ANB was not unduly delayed. Furthermore all electrical curves were of good morphology and magnitude, and significantly there was an obvious and distinct blackening in the reaction wells after 24 h. Therefore the colorimetric test could be used in conjunction with the impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Impedimet	ric Concen	tration of	Imj	pedance
medium   	proflavine hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	moxalactam (mg dm ⁻³ )	DT (h) <u>+</u> SD	magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
GNB	0	0	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	951 <u>+</u> 65
ANB	0	0	9.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	1212 <u>+</u> 48
ANB	21	20	12.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	962 <u>+</u> 89
				( N

Table 4.49 The effect of aesculin and ammonium-ferric citrate on the impedimetric responses <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994.

4.4.8 Evaluation of the effect of L-rhamnose on the impedimetric responses of test species.

Strains of <u>L. welshimeri</u> and <u>L. innocua</u> have been shown to exhibit variable growth responses on the sugar L-rhamnose whereas all <u>L. monocytogenes</u> strains are capable of utilising this sugar (McLauchlin, 1987; Notermans <u>et al</u>., 1989b). In an attempt to prevent the detection of <u>L. welshimeri</u> and <u>L. innocua</u> the impedimetric responses of these species were therefore investigated in nutrient broth enriched with L-rhamnose rather than L-glucose.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 and 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of the test species were monitored for 36 h in unsupplemented GNB and RNB (see 2.2) as well as in both types of media supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. Cultures previously grown in GNB and in RNB were used as inocula. As in the latter case preliminary experiments (data not shown) had indicated that growth was poor after 24 h,  $10^{-2}$  dilutions were used rather than the normal  $10^{-4}$  dilutions. The results are tabulated in Table 4.50.

Whilst neither GNB nor RNB grown <u>L. welshimeri</u> produced detection curves in RNB, this species produced detection curves in both unsupplemented GNB and doubly supplemented GNB on all occasions. This indicates that the enzymes necessary for L-rhamnose utilisation are neither synthesised constitutively nor inducibly in the strain tested.

Test	Impedimetric Concentration of		ration of	 Imp	edance
species	medium	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
(and initial		hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
growth mediu	um)	(mg dm ⁻³ )			
<u>L.</u>	GNB	0	0	12.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	941 <u>+</u> 10
<u>welshimeri</u>		21	20	20.1 <u>+</u> 2.0	1052 <u>+</u> 124
NCTC 11957					
(GNB)	RNB	0	0	> 36	0
I		21	20	> 36	O
1					
   T			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	9 8±0 4	877± 16
welshimeri	9110	21	20	25.8+0.9 #	803+ 39
NCTC 11957		<del>c</del> ±	4 <b>.</b>	2010-010 #	<u></u>
(RND)	RNR	٥	o	> 36	0
		21	20	> 36	0
, 					
<u>L.</u>	GNB	0	0	20.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	455 <u>+</u> 10
<u>innocua</u>		21	20	> 36	0
NCTC 10889					
(GNB)	RNB	0	0	> 36	O
l		21	20	> 36	0
L.	GNB	 0	 0	15.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	497 <u>+</u> 11
innocua		21	20	> 36	0
NCTC 10889					ĺ
(RNB)	RNB	ο	0	> 36 #	0
		21	20	> 36	0
					l
			 ^	14 2+0 2	1013+ 3
		21	20	28.2+1.5	924+ 174 l
NCTC 11288		61	24	2012_210	
(GNB)	RNB	٥	0	19.4 <u>+</u> 2.2	443 <u>+</u> 6
,,		21	20	32.5+0.5	253 <u>+</u> 82
		-		-	_ !
<u>L.</u>	GNB	0	0	11.9 <u>+</u> 0.7 *	1083 <u>+</u> 87
<u>innocua</u>		21	20	23.6 <u>+</u> 1.3 #	1249 <u>+</u> 28
NCTC 11288					ł
(RME)	RNB	0	0	11.6 <u>+</u> 0.6	618 <u>+</u> 6
		21	20	29.8 <u>+</u> 0.8	591 <u>+</u> 13
					I
#22222277077-				NT A \	/
				(N=4)	

<u>Key</u> # = One artefactual curve produced * = Two artefactual curves produced

Table 4.50 The effect of L-rhamnose on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. welshimeri</u> and <u>L. innocua</u>.

Both GNB and RNB grown <u>L. innocua</u> NCTC 10889 produced late detection curves in unsupplemented GNB but not in the other media. This late detection was most likely due to a low concentration of cells in the inoculum added to these wells. The effects of the substitution of L-rhamnose for glucose therefore could not be determined for this strain. However GNB and RNB grown <u>L. innocua</u> NCTC 11288 produced detection curves in both unsupplemented and doubly supplemented GNB and RNB. The use of L-rhamnose rather than glucose therefore did not prevent the detection of this test strain.

The variable results obtained in this work were as expected, L-rhamnose preventing the detection of one test species but not affecting another. As L-rhamnose inhibited the impedimetric detection of <u>L. welshimeri</u>, its incorporation into the impedimetric medium was evaluated further.

Using routine conditions (see 2.3, 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of a range of strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Listeria</u> food isolates were monitored for 36 h in unsupplemented RNB and RNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results are given in Table 4.51.

Non-haemolytic and haemolytic <u>Listeria</u> food isolates were detected on all occasions in the doubly supplemented RNB. However, all strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> except NCTC 11994 remained undetected. This finding precluded the further use of L-rhamnose in an impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Test	Concentr	ation of	Impedance		
species	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude	
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD	
<u>L.</u>	0	0	13.3 <u>+</u> 0.2		
monocytogenes	21	20	> 36 #	0	
NCTC 7973 (se	erotype 1a)				
<u>L.</u>	0	0	13.1 <u>+</u> 0.7	185 <u>+</u> 63	
monocytogenes	21	20	> 36	0	
NCTC 10357 (s	erotype la)				
<u>L.</u>	0	0	13.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	531 <u>+</u> 107	
monocytogenes	21	20	> 36 (3)&,	ο,	
NCTC 5348 (se	rotype 2)		34.0 (1)ā	434	
<u>L.</u>	0	0	14.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	253 <u>+</u> 15	
monocytogenes	21	20	> 36	0	
NCTC 10527 (s	erotype 4b)				
<u>L.</u>	0	0	12.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	517 <u>+</u> 20	
monocytogenes	21	20	14.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	886 <u>+</u> 27	
NCTC 11994 (m	erotype 4b)				
<u>Listeria</u>	0	0	8.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	895 <u>+</u> 9	
food isolate	21	20	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.9	822 <u>+</u> 90	
12					
<u>Listeria</u>	0	0	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.8	737 <u>+</u> 53	
food isolate	21	20	14.8 <u>+</u> 0.7	547 <u>+</u> 23	
13					
Listeria	0	0	14.5 <u>+</u> 0.7 #	774 <u>+</u> 33	
food isolate	21	20	23.8 <u>+</u> 3.5	642 <u>+</u> 78	
14					
) <b></b> - <b></b>				(N=4)	

 $\frac{Key}{\Phi} = One artefactual curve produced$  $<math>\Phi = Number of curves of each type in brackets$ 

Table 4.51 The effect of L-rhamnose on the impedimetric responses of strains of L. monocytogenes and Listeria food isolates.

The results reported in this chapter generally correspond well with those obtained by conventional methods of testing antimicrobial activity. For example, the range of concentrations of nalidixic acid reported to be selective for L. monocytogenes (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Gilman et al., 1985; Doyle & Schoeni, 1986; Buchanan et al., 1987; Farber et al., 1987, 1988), was found to inhibit the growth and electrical responses of E. coli (Tables 4.1 & 4.2). Similarly the concentrations of potassium tellurite found to be effective against Gram negative organisms by Schoer (1944) and Gray et al. (1950) was confirmed by impedimetric assay (Tables 4.14 & 4.15). However, there were some discrepancies. For example moxalactam had been found to be ineffective against <u>Pseudomonas</u> spp. (Yogev, 1986), yet this agent inhibited the production of detection curves by <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> (Table 4.8). Moxalactam may have a lower inhibitory affect on Ps. fluorescens than on some other test species, just preventing this organism reaching the threshold level required for detection by impedance microbiology. Nevertheless, the high degree of correlation between antibiotic sensitivity as measured by minimum inhibitory concentration and by impedimetric methods found by others (Hogg et al., 1987) can be confirmed.

It has been reported that some selective agents can affect the quality of electrical curves (Adak <u>et al</u>., 1987a; Arnott <u>et al</u>., 1988). This was encountered in the

current work. For example, nalidixic acid was found to cause a delay in the DT and decrease the magnitude of the impedimetric responses of test species (see 4.2.1). Agents capable of improving the morphology of electrical curves were also recognised. For example, lithium chloride was found to enhance the magnitude of curves (Table 4.12). It could be argued that this effect is due to the additional electrolytes in the medium (viz. the lithium and chloride ions themselves), impedimetric signals being strongly dependent on salt concentration (Richards et al., 1978). However potassium tellurite supplemented GNB yielded low magnitude impedance curves (see 4.2.6). As all concentrations of lithium chloride examined increased impedance magnitude and potassium tellurite consistently decreased this parameter, the effects of these agents on electrical responses are not likely to be due to the amount of salt (w/v) in solution. As both metal ions carry the same charge, the electrochemical effect may be related to the size of the ions in solution. The smaller lithium ion being more mobile would therefore be expected to be more active electrically. However, due to hydration it is possible that these metal ions are the same size, and therefore the differential modification of electrical responses by lithium chloride and potassium tellurite may be due to the comparative effects of the chloride and tellurite ion.

It was noticed that some mixed cultures influenced the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. <u>Lact. lactis</u> reduced the magnitude of impedance curves
produced by the target organism in thallous acetate supplemented GNB (Table 4.5), while in acriflavine hydrochloride supplemented GNB a broad spectrum of eight microorganisms (including <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>, a microorganism resistant to the selective agent being evaluated) did not have any effect on their morphology (Table 4.20). The variable magnitude of impedance curves produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in acriflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam supplemented GNB in the presence of different mixed cultures (Table 4.36) would preclude detection by a qualitative impedimetric method. Under these conditions impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could therefore only be achieved by a quantitative type assay.

Fluctuations in impedance magnitudes were found between batches of medium (see Table 4.39). This has also been reported by others (Bolton, 1990). Such variation could be caused by different extents of medium caramelisation affecting the mobility of ions. This effect might be eliminated by autoclaving for sufficient time to ensure that all heat catalysed reactions have occurred, or by filter sterilising individual medium components and combining them aseptically. However, batch to batch fluctuations would not affect a quantitative type assay.

Reproducibility of curve criteria is important in either type of impedimetric assay. The number of artefactual curves which were produced in even the most highly controlled conditions in this work dictates that any electrical assay must be performed in at least duplicate. The occurrence of false positive results needs

to be low enough to minimise the need for confirmatory tests, and more seriously, in an industrial situation false negative results would have to be avoided to prevent the release of contaminated foods.

Of the range of selective agents tested, proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam met the necessary criteria for use in the impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Both agents have had previous medical applications. Aminoacridines have been used to irrigate deep infected wounds as their antibacterial action takes place at high dilution and at one time they were thought not to harm mammalian tissue (Poate, 1944). Proflavine hydrochloride is less toxic than acriflavine hydrochloride (Albert, 1944). Moxalactam has been used to treat infections in many areas except those in the US where <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is prevalent (Yogev, 1986). A combination of these two agents was found to inhibit the impedimetric responses of all species tested apart from <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> (see 4.4.5).

In sensitive cells, the flat proflavine hydrochloride molecules become intercalated into DNA between base pairs. They are bound by Van der Waals forces and by ionic bonds to the phosphate ions of the DNA backbone (Lerman, 1961). Polymerases are then prevented from synthesising bacterial DNA and RNA (Hurwitz <u>et al</u>., 1962). Bacterial DNA is more vulnerable to toxic agents than the DNA of higher forms of life because of its lack of protection by a either a nuclear membrane or histone molecules (Albert, 1985). Once they have penetrated the plasma membrane the aminoacridine

molecules therefore have unlimited access to their target site. The bacteriostatic action of aminoacridines has been found to be proportional to the degree of ionisation (Albert, 1944). Ionisation is required for the toxic agent to remain bound, as neutral aminoacridines easily leave the receptor exchanging with the sodium and potassium ions that bathe the area. Although ions require an energy consuming mechanism in order to penetrate membranes, neutral aminoacridines can pass through the plasma membrane and reionise in the aqueous cytoplasm (proflavine hydrochloride is 99 % ionised at a pH of 7.3 at 37 °C) (Albert, 1965; 1985).

ß lactam antibiotics such as moxalactam affect the transpeptidase enzyme which catalyses the peptide crosslinks of the peptidoglycan cell wall (Mandell & Sande, 1991). In addition a number of so called penicillin binding proteins act as receptors for these antibiotics. <u>E. coli</u>, for example, has at least seven and include proteins necessary for maintenance of the rod like shape and for septum formation. Penicillin binding proteins vary in their affinity for different ß lactams (Mandell & Sande, 1991). After treatment with ß-lactams susceptible growing bacteria rupture under their own osmotic pressure (Albert, 1985).

For antimicrobial agents to exert their action they must have access to their relevant target sites. The cytological and biochemical differences between the various types of microbial cell are the basis of the selective activity of toxic agents and dictate whether

these agents can reach the receptor, or are degraded, deactivated or by storage prevented from contact with the target sites.

Major differences between microorganisms occur in their cell walls. The outer surfaces of some bacteria are coated by capsules which are capable of affecting the uptake of ionised molecules into the cell, promoting entry of cations and retarding anions. Encapsulated <u>Ps. aeruginosa</u> variants are more resistant than non capsulated cells to anionic penicillins (Chopra & Ball, 1982). Fiedler (1988) found no evidence for the presence of a capsule in <u>Listeria</u>, an observation which corresponds with the resistance of this genus to the cationic acridine dyes (see 4.2.8 & 4.2.9).

The walls of Gram positive bacteria are 15 to 30 nm thick and composed mainly of peptidoglycan, a polymer of  $\beta$  1,4 linked amino sugars cross-linked by short peptides. While the sugar residues are almost always N-acetyl glucosamine and N-acetyl muramic acid, the composition of the peptides and mode of cross linkage vary between bacteria (Schleifer and Kandler, 1972; Chopra & Ball, 1982; Albert, 1985). In many Gram positive organisms other polymers, such as teichoic acids and teichuronic acids, are covalently linked to the amino sugar chains, the quantity of these varying with growth conditions (Chopra & Ball, 1982). The cell wall of members of the genus <u>Listeria</u> has been found to be typical of Gram positive organisms (Fiedler, 1988; Jones, 1989; Alvarez-Dominguez <u>et al</u>., 1993). Their peptidoglycan

contains a dipeptide N-acetylmuramyl D-alanyl L-isoglutamine which is directly cross linked by mesodiaminopimelic acid and is associated with teichoic acids, lipoteichoic acids and long chain fatty acids. L-rhamnose is also present (Ralovich, 1984; Alvarez-Dominguez et al., 1993). It is possible that the resistance of L. monocytogenes to some selective agents could be due to the presence of charged cell wall components affecting their accumulation at the cell wall and thus reducing access to target sites. Cationic acridine dyes and anionic  $\beta$  lactam antibiotics would be repelled by similarly charged components and attracted by oppositely charged ions. Similarly, the lipophilic component of lipoteichoic acids in the cell walls could repel hydrophilic antimicrobial agents such as proflavine hydrochloride.

The walls of Gram negative bacteria are more complicated. The peptidoglycan layer, constituting 5 to 20 % of the cell wall mass, is firmly attached on the inner side to the plasma membrane while its outer side is covered with another membrane, consisting of a lipid bilayer with lipoprotein and lipopolysaccharide (Mandell & Sande, 1991). This outer membrane of Gram negative bacteria is of primary importance in excluding hydrophilic materials and accounts for the resistance of these cells to some ß lactam antibiotics, which must traverse it to reach their receptor sites (Gilbert, 1984). The hydrophobic outer membrane could be the reason for the resistance of <u>Pseudomonas</u> spp. to acridine dyes (see 4.2.8

& 4.2.9). However, no evidence of an outer membrane has been found in Gram positive <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Fiedler, 1988), and therefore this morphological feature cannot be responsible for resistance of the target species to either moxalactam or proflavine hydrochloride (see 4.2.3 & 4.2.9).

Some outer membrane proteins are involved in facilitated diffusion of specific solutes (including antibiotics) across the membrane (Chopra & Ball, 1982), while others, such as porins, allow relatively non specific passive diffusion of hydrophilic low molecular weight solutes including most cephalosporin antibiotics (Albert, 1985; Mandell & Sande, 1991). The inhibition of the impedimetric responses of <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> and <u>E. coli</u> by moxalactam (see 4.2.3) could therefore be accounted for by the antibiotic gaining access to the penicillin binding proteins via these uptake systems.

Fungal cell walls consist of a mosaic of carbohydrates with some lipids and proteins. The predominant carbohydrate in filamentous fungi is chitin, a polymeric N-acetyl glucosamine (Albert, 1985). However, as the <u>Penicillium</u> species tested in this work were sensitive to proflavine and moxalactam it was not necessary to exploit the cytological differences between these eukaryotic cells and the prokaryotic target organism <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in the development of the impedimetric assay.

The comparative distribution of toxic agents relies mainly upon their ability to pass through membranes

(Albert, 1985). The Gram positive cytoplasmic membrane (or plasma membrane) and the inner membrane of Gram negative microorganisms are similar. Both are approximately 6 to 10 nm thick, sometimes extending into the cytoplasm as simple protrusions, and contain enzyme systems for electron transfer, transport of solutes, lipid synthesis and production of cell wall and envelope polymers. Their structural framework is a phospholipid bilayer which in Gram positive species is the main permeability barrier. The presence of specific facilitated diffusion and active transport carriers in bacterial membranes dictates which toxic agents are taken up (Chopra & Ball, 1982). The resistance of L. monocytogenes to aminoacridines (see 4.2.8 & 4.2.9) could therefore be due to the lack of a relevant transport system and hence the inability of these agents to gain access to their target site, the DNA. Furthermore, membrane lipids have been shown to store neutral toxic agents and membrane bound RNA cationic toxic agents (Albert, 1985). As the plasma membrane of L. monocytogenes contains 15 to 20 % neutral lipid and 1.5 % RNA (Ralovich, 1984), these components could also be implicated in the resistance of the target organism to proflavine hydrochloride (see 4.2.9).

As the receptors for cephalosporins are incorporated into the plasma membrane it is not necessary for moxalactam to penetrate this membrane. The sensitivity of microorganisms to ß lactams is thought to be related to the extent of binding to suitable receptors. For example, Vicente <u>et al</u>. (1990) suggested that the susceptibility

pattern of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, which is sensitive to penicillins but resistant to cephalosporins, reflects the presence of binding proteins for the former type of antimicrobial agent only.

A number of general mechanisms exist by which bacteria become resistant to toxic agents. Degradative enzymes are used by many species to increase their resistance to agents. Chopra and Ball (1982) reported that several plasmid encoded antibiotic inactivating enzymes are located in the periplasmic space between the inner and outer membranes of Gram negative bacteria, while Gram positive bacteria are known to secrete large amounts of B lactamase (Mandell & Sande, 1991). Barbuti et al. (1992) tested 26 antimicrobial agents on 64 strains of L. monocytogenes and 102 strains of L. innocua. These authors found strains of L. monocytogenes with non plasmid mediated resistance to four antibiotics used therapeutically, viz. tetracycline, co-trimoxazole, erythromycin and clindamycin, but no evidence of plasmid borne resistance in this species. This suggests that the resistance to moxalactam exhibited by the target species (see 4.2.3) is unlikely to be plasmid mediated. Mutation can also decrease the sensitivity of cells to antibiotics, the increased use of antibiotics promoting the selection of resistant strains.

The colour change caused by aesculin hydrolysis has been used for many years in traditional plating media to indicate the presence of the pathogen <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (see for example Dominguez <u>et al.</u>, 1984). This phenomenon

was also successfully utilised by Phillips & Griffiths (1989) in a qualitative impedimetric assay for L. monocytogenes. In this chapter it was evaluated for inclusion in the quantitative assay developed in current work (see 4.4.7). The shorter DT's observed for L. monocytogenes in ANB compared with GNB may be explained on the basis of the availability of extra nutrients. Iron present in the former medium only (see 2.2) has been observed to enhance the growth of Listeria spp. (Cowart & Foster, 1985), while glucose is liberated in the hydrolysis of aesculin by  $\beta$ -glucosidase (bioMérieux, 1992b). However, as the target organism was detected within 13 h by impedance and only after 24 h by aesculin hydrolysis, waiting for this characteristic to develop would increase the assay time. In addition, as all Listeria spp. hydrolyse aesculin, it could not be used to differentiate L. welshimeri or L. innocua from L. monocytogenes, and therefore would not improve selectivity. Furthermore, as Enterococcus spp., which are used as probiotic feed organisms for farm animals (Fuller, 1989), also cause aesculin hydrolysis (bioMérieux, 1992c), their presence in food products could be expected to produce false positive results in the colorimetric assay.

As both <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> show variable growth responses to L-rhamnose (Rocourt <u>et al</u>., 1983), an attempt was made to improve the selectivity of the impedimetric assay by substituting the former sugar for glucose. Unfortunately, however, a number of strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were not detected in this modified medium

(see 4.4.8). It has been reported that L-rhamnose, a constituent of peptidoglycan in the target species, binds complement factors which promote the uptake of L. monocytogenes by macrophages during an early stage in pathogenesis (Ralovich, 1984; Benedict, 1990; Alvarez-Dominguez et al., 1993). As metabolism of L-rhamnose by L. monocytogenes generates highly conductive lactic acid molecules (Benedict, 1990), the incorporation of this sugar into the cell wall would decrease the yield of ionic products, and may account for the lack of detection of some strains. Reduction of the impedimetric responses in RNB compared with GNB might therefore be used as an indicator of virulence.

The impedimetric assay developed for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was next evaluated in foodstuffs (Chapter 5).

## **CHAPTER 5**

## EVALUATION OF THE IMPEDIMETRIC ASSAY FOR THE DETECTION AND ENUMERATION OF FOODBORNE <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

## 5.1 Introduction

The ultimate objective of this research is the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from food substrates. The constituents of foods are complex, the chemical matrix and its intrinsic microflora being very variable from food to food. Food substrates therefore require careful evaluation as potentially they can influence both the selective toxicity of an impedimetric medium and the morphology of the electrical curves obtained.

Experiments using traditional detection procedures have shown that in general foods with substantial loads of contaminating microorganisms require highly selective media in order to isolate the target species, while those with low loads require less selectivity. Furthermore, antimicrobial agents suppressing non target species from one type of food do not necessarily suppress such organisms from another (Brackett & Beuchat, 1989). The physical characteristics of a food, for example particle size and pH, can also influence the recovery of target organisms (Brackett & Beuchat, 1989).

Firstenberg-Eden (1983) highlighted the importance of sample preparation in impedimetric detection of

microorganisms. She observed that the diluents in which foods were stomached affected both DT's and the quality of the electrical curves. The storage of diluted samples at either mesophilic or refrigeration temperatures prior to impedimetric testing was found to have an adverse effect on curve production, while a poor correlation between inoculum concentration and DT resulted in samples which had previously been frozen.

The suitability of a detection method is influenced by the purpose of the analysis (Brackett & Beuchat, 1989). The objectives of microbiological examinations vary; sometimes a gross count is required to determine the shelf life of a food product (Collins-Thompson & Allen, 1986), while on other occasions the specific detection of particular target organisms is necessary, as in this work. As the infectious dosage of L. monocytogenes is still unknown (WHO Working Group, 1988; Al-Ghazali & Al-Azawi, 1990; Johnson et al., 1990; Lund, 1990), a zero tolerance limit has been set and one cell of L. monocytogenes in 25 g of foodstuff is now unacceptable (Wehr, 1987). Because of the constraints imposed by the necessity of homogenising solid foods in diluents and by the volume of inocula which can be added to plates, direct plating on selective media cannot consistently detect less than  $10^3$  cells g⁻¹ (Golden <u>et al</u>., 1988a; Yousef <u>et al</u>., 1988). Such direct techniques therefore lack sufficient sensitivity for zero tolerance assurance. While enrichment may be used to increase the concentration of the target species enough to be detected by traditional plating

methods, this has the disadvantage of precluding accurate enumeration. Enrichment prior to impedimetric analysis would also be at the cost of rapidity. However, this disadvantage may be outweighed if enrichment permitted the use of reduced levels of food substrates in the inoculum and hence lessened the risk of these interfering with the production of good quality impedance curves.

It is important that a selective system is able to detect stressed organisms as food processing can cause many sublethal injuries. Microorganisms damaged by procedures such as heat treatment, refrigeration, freeze drying, freezing and thawing, irradiation, changes in nutrition, and addition of preservatives, may retain their virulence (Lovett, 1988; Mossel, 1989). Sublethally injured cells can repair in foods stored after processing (Warburton <u>et al.</u>, 1992), or in hospitable parts of the body after consumption resulting in disease (Mossel & van Netten, 1984). On the other hand, the additional stress caused to sublethally injured cells by the chemicals in some selective media can result in failure to detect the target organisms (Mossel, 1989).

The aim of the work described in this chapter was to evaluate different methods of sample preparation and to determine whether the impedimetric assay developed in Chapter 4 could be used to detect both unstressed foodborne L. monocytogenes and cells stressed as a result of temperature shocks. Initially a one stage direct assay was used to monitor L. monocytogenes in cheese. Later this procedure was modified and a number of enrichment regimens

were examined in an attempt to increase the sensitivity of the assay to enable zero tolerance specifications for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to be adhered to.

## 5.2 Results

5.2.1 Comparison of the efficiency of methods of dissociating microorganisms from solid food products.

As the major food products likely to harbour the target organism, <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, are solid, for example cheese and paté, the first stage in any detection procedure must involve the recovery of the organisms. Three standard methods of dissociating the normal microflora from food substrates were compared, viz:

1) Homogenisation in a blender (Braun).

2) Grinding and pounding in a pestle and mortar.

3) Stomaching in a stomacher (Colworth).

In each case 100 cm³ of 10 % (w/v) cheddar cheese in 1/4 strength Ringers solution was treated for differing time intervals and then the TVC and impedimetric responses of 0.1 cm³ of each sample of dissociated cheese in 1.0 cm³ GNB were determined (see 2.4, 2.6 & 2.6.1). The results are tabulated in Table 5.1.

As the same cheese was used throughout this set of experiments, if each method was equally effective then a similar colony count and corresponding DT would have been expected in all cases. From Table 5.1 it can be seen that

Dissoci	Lation	TVC		Impedance
method	time	(CFU g ⁻¹ <u>+</u> SD)	DT	magnitude
	(minutes)	)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
Blender	0.16	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.15 × 10 ⁸	11.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	1063 <u>+</u> 10
-	0.30	2.6 <u>+</u> 0.07 x 10 ⁸	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	1038 <u>+</u> 11
-	0.50	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.35 x 10 ⁸	11.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	1212 <u>+</u> 25
	0.62	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.20 x 10 ⁸	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.0	1255 <u>+</u> 17
Pestle	0.50	2.7 <u>+</u> 0.19 x 10 ⁸	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.0	803 <u>+</u> 28
mortar	1.00	4.2 <u>+</u> 0.32 x 10 ⁸	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	767 <u>+</u> 7
•	1.50	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.20 x 10 ⁸	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	786 <u>+</u> 10
-	2.00	4.1 <u>+</u> 0.27 x 10 ⁸	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	851 <u>+</u> 19
Stomacher	0.50	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.28 × 10 ⁸	10.1 <u>+</u> 0.0	791 <u>+</u> 12
-	1.00	6.0 <u>+</u> 0.46 x 10 ⁸	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.0	829 <u>+</u> 50
	1.50	4.3 <u>+</u> 0.34 x 10 ⁸	10.6 <u>+</u> 0.0	822 <u>+</u> 30
-	2.00	6.1 <u>+</u> 0.49 x 10 ⁸	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	 741 <u>+</u> 13
			 (	N=2)

Table 5.1 Comparison of the cell count and impedimetric responses obtained after three different methods of dissociating the normal microflora from cheddar cheese.

this did not occur. Of the three procedures investigated the stomacher separated the microorganisms most efficiently; the DT's obtained were equivalent to those for the pestle and mortar, while the TVC's were higher. Although the data were somewhat variable, dissociation appeared to be complete after 1.0 minute. Use of the blender, on the other hand, consistently resulted in greater impedance magnitudes than the other methods but it

liberated fewer microorganisms and yielded longer DT's. As DT's are not affected by the degree to which the organisms are dissociated from each other, this indicates that homogenisation in the blender caused a reduction in cell viability. The stomacher was therefore chosen to dissociate microorganisms from the solid food products used in this work.

5.2.2 Evaluation of the effect of temperature stress on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994.

As food processing procedures can cause sublethal injuries to cells, an investigation was carried out to determine whether the impedimetric assay could detect stressed cells of L. monocytogenes. L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 was treated with three different temperature regimens. A 24 h GNB culture was diluted to  $10^{-4}$  in 1/4 strength Ringers solution. 10 cm³ volumes of the diluted culture were incubated for 1 h at 4°, 30° and 55°C. The latter temperature chosen as previous workers had found it effective in causing sublethal stress to L. monocytogenes (Warburton et al., 1992). After the incubation period,  $0.1 \text{ cm}^3$  aliquots were transferred to Bactometer wells containing 1.0 cm³ of either unsupplemented GNB or GNB supplemented with 21 mg  $dm^{-3}$  proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam and, using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of the three sets of temperature conditioned cells were monitored for 36 h. The results are tabulated in Table 5.2.

Little difference was observed between the impedimetric responses of the control cells (viz those pre-incubated at 30 °C) and the cold stressed cells. However the DT of the heat stressed cells was increased by 4 h in comparison with the control cells in both the unsupplemented and the doubly supplemented GNB. In addition these cells produced much lower impedance magnitudes than the control and cold stressed cells. Such observations would be expected if the heat treatment had reduced the viable cell number and/or caused sublethal injuries.

It was therefore concluded that the impedimetric assay could detect temperature stressed cells. The increase in DT observed with heat stressed cells was not thought to be of sufficient duration to warrant a period of non selective enrichment.

Temperature	Concenti	ration of	Im	pedance
treatment	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude
	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
30 °C for 1	h 0	0	10.4 <u>+</u> 0.0	 1230 <u>+</u> 18
(control)	21	20	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1026 <u>+</u> 41
4 °C for 1	h 0	0	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	 1178 <u>+</u> 2
	21	20	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.0	1123 <u>+</u> 25
55 °C for 1	 h 0	0	 14.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	 469 <u>+</u> 25
	21	20	15.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	514 <u>+</u> 10

Table 5.2 The effect of temperature stress on the impedimetric responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994.

5.2.3 Evaluation of the direct impedimetric assay for the detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in spiked cheeses.

Work was undertaken to determine whether <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could be detected in spiked cheeses by the impedimetric assay developed in chapter 4.

Using routine conditions (see 2.6, 2.6.1 & 2.7) the impedimetric responses of 10 % (w/v) suspensions of a variety of cheeses spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 (at a concentration of 1 X  $10^4$  and 1 x  $10^3$  CFU g⁻¹) were monitored for 36 h in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. Additionally, to investigate the presence of naturally occurring <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in these cheeses, the impedimetric responses of unspiked samples were similarly monitored in unsupplemented and doubly supplemented GNB and conventional selective plating was undertaken (see 2.4). The impedimetric results are recorded in Table 5.3.

No evidence was obtained from either the selective plates (data not shown) or the impedimetric assay (Table 5.3) for the natural contamination of any of the cheeses with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. However the target organism was detected on all occasions in the spiked cheeses by the impedimetric assay, indicating that this procedure can reveal 1 x  $10^3$  CFU <u>L. monocytogenes</u> g⁻¹ cheese (Table 5.3). Although the different cheeses were found to affect the magnitude and shape of the electrical curves produced, all curves were of adequate morphology to enable the detection of the target species.

Cheese	TVC of added $L$ .	Concentration of		Impedance		
	monocytogenes	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude	
	(CFU g ⁻¹ cheese)	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SI	
Edam	Unspiked	0	0	15.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	512 <u>+</u> 152	
	Unspiked A	21	20	> 36	0	
	1 x 10 [*] 3	21	20	18.2 <u>+</u> 1.7	1438 <u>+</u> 17	
*****	1 x 10 ⁻	21	20	20.9 <u>+</u> 3.5	1405 <u>+</u> 81	
Cheddar	Unspiked	0	0	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	674 <u>+</u> 71	
	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	O	
	1 x 10	21	20	22.9 <u>+</u> 2.9	912 <u>+</u> 77	
	1 x 10 ³	21	20	25.1 <u>+</u> 2.2	877 <u>+</u> 55	
Gouda	Unspiked	0	0	10.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	 713 <u>+</u> 3	
	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	0	
	1 x 10 [°]	21	20	21.1 <u>+</u> 1.7	1079 <u>+</u> 71	
	1 x 10 [°]	21	20	23.3 <u>+</u> 2.5	1154 <u>+</u> 62	
Danish blue	Unspiked	0	0	12.4 <u>+</u> 1.4	490 <u>+</u> 162	
	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	0	
	1 x 10	21	20	13.6 <u>+</u> 2.9	1354 <u>+</u> 6	
	1 x 10 ³	21	20	14.6 <u>+</u> 1.5	1365 <u>+</u> 59	
Stilton	Unspiked	0	0	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.6	529 <u>+</u> 5	
	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	o	
	1 x 10 [*]	21	20	23.0 <u>+</u> 1.8	776 <u>+</u> 10	
	1 x 10 [°]	21	20	26.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	782 <u>+</u> 44	
Brie	Unspiked	0	0	3.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	1367 <u>+</u> 5	
	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	0	
	1 x 10	21	20	13.8 <u>+</u> 3.1	1283 <u>+</u> 56	
	1 x 10 [°]	21	20	16.0 <u>+</u> 3.6	1302 <u>+</u> 49	
Camembert	Unspiked	0	0	9.2 <u>+</u> 0.8 #	672 <u>+</u> 24	
	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	0	
	1 x 10	21	20	13.9 <u>+</u> 0.9	690 <u>+</u> 45	
	1 x 10 ³	21	20	17.2 <u>+</u> 1.6	712 <u>+</u> 84	
Italian	Unspiked	0	0	22.2 <u>+</u> 0.2 #	244 <u>+</u> 19	
choese	Unspiked	21	20	> 36	0	
pread	$1 \times 10^{4}$	21	20	18.5 <u>+</u> 1.9	1212 <u>+</u> 124	
	1 x 10 ³	21	20	21.2 <u>+</u> 1.3	1158 <u>+</u> 127	
					N=6)	

,

 $\frac{Key}{\#} = One artefactual curve produced.$ 

Table 5.3 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in a range of cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in unsupplemented GNB and GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.

5.2.4 Development of a more sensitive impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>

A series of experiments was next undertaken to determine whether the sensitivity of the impedimetric assay could be improved to enable zero tolerance assurance for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Various enrichment regimens were evaluated (see 2.8).

Two 50 cm³ suspensions of 5 % and two 50 cm³ suspensions of 10 % (w/v) cheddar cheese in GNB were prepared and stomached for 1.0 minute prior to aseptic supplementation with the selective agents, 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. At zero time, one suspension of each concentration of cheese was spiked with a  $10^{-7}$  dilution of a 24 h GNB culture of <u>L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994</u> (which was enumerated by routine methods, see 2.4). The four enrichment vessels were then incubated at 30 °C. At 0, 2.5, 5 and 24 h, 1.0 cm³ aliquots were removed from each enrichment vessel and, using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), their impedimetric responses were monitored for 36 h. The results are tabulated in Table 5.4.

Controls (data not shown) indicated that the cheese was not naturally contaminated with <u>Listeria</u> and unsupplemented GNB allowed the natural microflora of the cheese to produce detection curves. However, as detection curves were also produced by the natural microflora in doubly supplemented GNB, the assay was insufficiently selective to detect <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in either

Concentration	TVC of added <u>L.</u>	Enrichment	Imp	edance
of cheese	monocytogenes	time	DT	magnitude
suspension	(CFU g ⁻¹	(h)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	cheese)			
10%(w/v)	Unspiked	0	 5.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	843 <u>+</u> 2
		2.5	2.1 <u>+</u> 0.8	794 <u>+</u> 14
		5.0	3.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	749 <u>+</u> 81
		24	8.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	566 <u>+</u> 25
10%(w/v)	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	0	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	968 <u>+</u> 147
	_	2.5	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.1	794 <u>+</u> 14
		5.0	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.3	756 <u>+</u> 15
		24	9.3 <u>+</u> 0.7	454 <u>+</u> 112
5 % (w/v)	Unspiked	0	7.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	582 <u>+</u> 10
		2.5	6.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	622 <u>+</u> 30
		5.0	6.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	622 <u>+</u> 79
		24	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	610 <u>+</u> 50
5 % (w/v)	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	0	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	911 <u>+</u> 330
	_	2.5	4.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	627 <u>+</u> 9
		5.0	4.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	526 <u>+</u> 16
		24	*	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			(N=2)

Key
* = Two artefactual curves produced.

Table 5.4 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after various lengths of enrichment in the same doubly supplemented medium.

5 % or 10 % (w/v) cheese suspension. This indicates that the increased concentration of cheese matrix (0.1 g cheese  $cm^{-3}$  in the 10 % (w/v) suspension, compared with 0.01 g cheese  $cm^{-3}$  in the previous direct test [Table 5.3]) and / or the increased concentration of its microflora even after 24 h selective enrichment significantly influenced the impedimetric assay.

It was therefore concluded that the impedimetric

assay needed to be modified in order to detect levels of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> contamination as low as 1 organism per 25 g. This might be achieved by diluting the suspension from the enrichment vessels prior to inoculation into the Bactometer wells or alternatively by increasing the concentration of selective agents in the enrichment medium and /or the impedimetric medium. The former possibility was investigated in the next experiment.

Two 50 cm³ suspensions of 5 % (w/v) cheddar cheese in GNB were prepared and stomached for 1.0 minute prior to aseptic supplementation with the selective agents, 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. At zero time, one suspension was spiked with a  $10^{-7}$  dilution of a 24 h GNB culture of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 (which was enumerated by routine methods, see 2.4). The two enrichment vessels were then incubated at 30 °C. At timed intervals, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 1.0 cm³ aliquots were removed from each enrichment vessel and diluted to 2.3 cm³ with freshly prepared doubly supplemented GNB. The impedimetric responses of these suspensions were then monitored for 36 h using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1). The results are tabulated in Table 5.5.

Controls (data not shown) indicated that the cheese was not naturally contaminated with <u>Listeria</u> and unsupplemented GNB allowed the natural microflora of cheese to produce detection curves. <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was detected in the  $0.1 \text{ cm}^3$  aliquot of spiked cheese

suspension after 24 h enrichment. When the same volume was removed after shorter periods of enrichment however, no impedimetric responses were observed during the time course of the assay. Although the data are less clear cut, larger volumes of both spiked and unspiked cheese suspensions removed after 24 h enrichment generally produced detection curves and therefore did not permit the sole detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Only in the case of the 0.3 cm³ aliquot was it possible to distinguish between the spiked and unspiked cheese on the basis of DT.

It was therefore concluded that the dilution of  $0.1 \text{ cm}^3$  of a 5 % (w/v) cheese suspension into 2.2 cm³ of

TVC of added <u>1</u>	. Volume	Enrichment	Imped	ance
monocytogenes	transferred from	time	DT	magnitude
(CFU g ^{−1}	enrichment	(h)	(h) <u>+</u> SD ()	BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
cheese)	vessel			
Unspiked	0.1 cm ³	0	> 36	0
		2.5	> 36	0
		5.0	> 36	0
		24	> 36	0
9.6 <u>+</u> 2.5	0.1 cm ³	0	> 36 #	 0
-		2.5	> 36	0
		5.0	> 36	0
		24	8.7 <u>+</u> 4.5	1159 <u>+</u> 405
Unspiked	0.3 cm ³	0	> 36	0
		2.5	> 36	0
		5.0	> 36	0
		24	28.7 <u>+</u> 0.3 (2)# ð,	, 371 <u>+</u> 16
			>36 (1)ð	0
9.6 <u>+</u> 2.5	0.3 cm ³	0	> 36 #	0
		2.5	> 36	0
		5.0	> 36	0
		24	4.2+4.7 #	1132+119

(Table 5.5 continued overleaf)

of added L.         Volume         Enrichment         Impedance           cytogenes         transferred from         time         DT         magnitude           FU g ⁻¹ enrichment         (h)         (h) ± SD         (BUIC) ± SD           heese)         vessel					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TVC of added <u>L.</u>	Volume	Enrichment	Imp	edance
FU g ⁻¹ enrichment (h) (h) $\pm$ SD (BUIC) $\pm$ SD heese) vessel nspiked 0.6 cm ³ 0 > 36 0 2.5 > 36 0 2.6 $\pm 2.5$ > 36 0 2.15 > 36 0 2.15 > 36 0 2.24 $2.3\pm0.1$ (2), $\ge 1350\pm114$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ .6 $\pm 2.5$ 0.6 cm ³ 0 19.8 $\pm 2.1$ (2), $\ge 855\pm374$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 2.5 26.3 (1) $\ge 984$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 2.5 26.3 (1) $\ge 984$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 2.5 26.3 (1) $\ge 984$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 2.4 10.5 (1) $\ddagger \ge 1253$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 1.0 cm ³ 0 > 36 0 2.4 10.5 (1) $\ddagger \ge 1, 1253$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 1.0 cm ³ 0 > 36 0 2.4 15.8 $\pm 14.4$ 713 $\pm 498$ .6 $\pm 2.5$ 1.0 cm ³ 0 22.1 $\pm 2.7$ (2) $\ge, 1358\pm 14$ , > 36 (2) $\ge 0$ 2.5 26.6 (1) $\ge, 1230$ > 36 (3) $\ge 0$ 2.5 36 (3) $\ge 0$ 2.5 26.6 (1) $\ge, 271$ > 36 (3) $\ge 0$ 2.4 10.2 $\pm 0.2 \pm 1301\pm 60$ (N=4) = 0 ne artefactual curve p	monocytogenes	transferred fr	rom time	DT	magnitude
heese) vessel nspiked 0.6 cm ³ 0 > 36 0 2.5 > 36 0 2.6 $(2) = 0$ .6 $\pm 2.5$ 0.6 cm ³ 0 19.8 $\pm 2.1$ (2), $\pm 1350\pm 114$ , > 36 (2) $\pm 0$ .6 $\pm 2.5$ 0.6 cm ³ 0 19.8 $\pm 2.1$ (2), $\pm 855\pm 374$ , > 36 (2) $\pm 0$ 2.5 26.3 (1) $\pm 984$ , > 36 (2) $\pm 0$ 2.5 26.3 (1) $\pm 8, 1253$ , > 36 (3) $\pm 0$ 5.0 > 36 0 24 10.5 (1) $\pm 8, 1253$ , > 36 (2) $\pm 0$ nspiked 1.0 cm ³ 0 > 36 0 2.4 10.5 (1) $\pm 8, 1253$ , > 36 (2) $\pm 0$ .6 $\pm 2.5$ > 36 0 2.4 15.8 $\pm 14.4$ 713 $\pm 498$ .6 $\pm 2.5$ 1.0 cm ³ 0 22.1 $\pm 2.7$ (2) $\pm , 1358\pm 14$ , > 36 (2) $\pm 0$ 2.5 26.6 (1) $\pm , 1230$ > 36 (3) $\pm 0$ 5.0 29.9 (1) $\pm , 2711$ > 36 (3) $\pm 0$ 2.4 10.2 $\pm 0.2 \pm 1301\pm 60$ (N=4) Key # = One artefactual curve p	(CFU g ⁻¹	enrichment	(h)	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) + SE
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	cheese)	vessel			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Unspiked	0.6 cm ³	0	> 36	0
$5.0 > 36 0$ $24 2.3 \pm 0.1 (2), 5 1350 \pm 114, > 36 (2) 5 0$ $.6 \pm 2.5 0.6 \text{ cm}^3 0 19.8 \pm 2.1 (2), 5 855 \pm 374, > 36 (2) 5 0$ $2.5 26.3 (1) 5, 984, > 36 (2) 5 0$ $2.5 26.3 (1) 5, 984, > 36 (3) 5 0$ $5.0 > 36 0$ $24 10.5 (1) # 5, 1253, > 36 (2) 5 0$ $2.5 > 36 0$ $2.4 10.5 (1) # 5, 1253, > 36 (2) 5 0$ $2.5 > 36 0$ $2.4 15.8 \pm 14.4 713 \pm 498$ $.6 \pm 2.5 1.0 \text{ cm}^3 0 22.1 \pm 2.7 (2) 5, 1358 \pm 14, > 36 (2) 5 0$ $2.5 26.6 (1) 5, 1230 > 36 (3) 5 0$ $5.0 29.9 (1) 5, 271 > 36 (3) 5 0$ $24 10.2 \pm 0.2 \times 1301 \pm 60$ $(N=4)$ $Key$			2.5	> 36	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			5.0	> 36	C
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			24	2.3 <u>+</u> 0.1 (2),ō	1350 <u>+</u> 114,
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				> 36 (2)	<b>5</b> 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.6+2.5	0.6 cm ³	0	19.8+2.1 (2), <b>D</b>	855+374,
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-			> 36 (2)	<u>ـــ</u>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2.5	26.3 (1) ō,	984,
$5.0 > 36 0$ $24  10.5 (1) # 5, 1253, \\ > 36 (2) 5 0$ $1.0 \text{ cm}^3 \qquad 0 \qquad > 36 \qquad 0$ $2.5 \qquad > 36 \qquad 0$ $2.5 \qquad > 36 \qquad 0$ $2.4 \qquad 15.8\pm14.4 \qquad 713\pm498$ $.6\pm2.5 \qquad 1.0 \text{ cm}^3 \qquad 0 \qquad 22.1\pm2.7 (2) 5, 1358\pm14, \\ > 36 (2) 5 \qquad 0$ $2.5 \qquad 26.6 (1) 5, 1230 \\ > 36 (3) 5 \qquad 0$ $5.0 \qquad 29.9 (1) 5, 271 \\ > 36 (3) 5 \qquad 0$ $24 \qquad 10.2\pm0.2 \times 1301\pm60$ $(N=4)$ $\frac{Key}{4} = One artefactual curve p$				> 36 (3)	<del>م</del> 0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			5.0	> 36	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			24	10.5 (1) # 8	, 1253,
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				> 36 (2)	δ <b>Ο</b>
$2.5 > 36 0$ $5.0 > 36 0$ $24   15.8\pm14.4   713\pm498$ $.6\pm2.5   1.0   cm^3   0   22.1\pm2.7   (2)   5,   1358\pm   14,   36   (2)   5   0$ $2.5   26.6   (1)   5,   1230   36   (3)   5   0$ $5.0   29.9   (1)   5,   271   36   (3)   5   0$ $24     10.2\pm0.2   1301\pm   60$ $(N=4)$ $\frac{Key}{4} = One artefactual curve p$	Unspiked	1.0 cm ³	0	> 36	 0
5.0 > 36 0 24 $15.8\pm14.4$ $713\pm498$ .6 $\pm2.5$ 1.0 cm ³ 0 $22.1\pm2.7$ (2) 5, $1358\pm14$ , > 36 (2) 5 0 2.5 26.6 (1) 5, 1230 > 36 (3) 5 0 2.6 $29.9$ (1) 5, 271 > 36 (3) 5 0 24 $10.2\pm0.2 \times 1301\pm60$ (N=4) # = One artefactual curve p			2.5	> 36	0
$24   15.8\pm14.4   713\pm498$			5.0	> 36	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			24	15.8 <u>+</u> 14.4	713 <u>+</u> 498
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 9.6 <u>+</u> 2.5	1.0 cm ³	0	22.1 <u>+</u> 2.7 (2) <b>5</b> ,	1358 <u>+</u> 14,
$2.5  26.6 (1) =,  1230 \\ > 36 (3) = 0 \\ 5.0  29.9 (1) =,  271 \\ > 36 (3) = 0 \\ 24  10.2 \pm 0.2 \times 1301 \pm 60 \\ \hline (N=4) \\ = 0ne \text{ artefactual curve p}$	-			> 36 (2) ð	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2.5	26.6 (1) <b>æ</b> ,	1230
5.0 29.9 (1) 5, 271 > 36 (3) 5 0 24 $10.2\pm0.2 \times 1301\pm 60$ (N=4) $\frac{Key}{4} = One artefactual curve p$				> 36 (3) ō	0
> 36 (3) <b>b</b> 0 24 10.2 $\pm$ 0.2 * 1301 $\pm$ 60 (N=4) <b>Key</b> <b>#</b> = One artefactual curve p			5.0	29.9 (1) <b>D</b> ,	271
$24   10.2 \pm 0.2 \times 1301 \pm 60   (N=4)   Key   Key   F = One artefactual curve p$				> 36 (3) ð	0
(N=4) <u>Key</u> # = One artefactual curve p			24	10.2 <u>+</u> 0.2 *	1301 <u>+</u> 60
$\frac{Key}{\#} = One artefactual curve p$					(N=4)
<pre># = One artefactual curve p</pre>				<u>Key</u>	
" •••••		#	= One art	efactual	curve p

* = Two artefactual curves produced.  $\bar{\Phi}$  = Number of curves of each type in

brackets

Table 5.5 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after various lengths of enrichment in the doubly supplemented medium.

fresh selective medium after 24 h enrichment provided a suitable regimen for the detection of levels of the target organism as low as 9.6 CFU  $g^{-1}$  cheese.

Further work was then undertaken to determine the effects of using a) a larger volume of enrichment medium and b) a two stage enrichment regimen.

Two 225  $\text{cm}^3$  suspensions of 5 % (w/v) cheddar cheese in GNB were prepared and stomached for 1.0 minute prior to aseptic supplementation with the selective agents, 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. At zero time these suspensions were returned to pH 7.2 by the aseptic addition of sterile 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (BDH Biochemicals) and one suspension (in enrichment vessel 2) was spiked with a  $10^{-7}$  dilution of a 24 h GNB culture of L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 (which was enumerated using routine conditions, see 2.4). The two enrichment vessels were then incubated at 30 °C for 48 h and at intervals the pH of each was determined. After 10 h, 2  $\text{cm}^3$  was aseptically transferred from the unspiked and the spiked enrichment vessels to 223  $\text{cm}^3$  volumes of freshly prepared doubly supplemented GNB (in vessels 3 and 4 respectively). pH monitoring of these vessels was commenced at this time. After 24 h, aliquots were removed from each of the four enrichment vessels, diluted as appropriate, and made up to 2.3 cm³ in freshly prepared doubly supplemented GNB. Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of these diluted samples were monitored for 36 h. The data obtained on the pH profile and the impedimetric responses of the

suspension in each enrichment vessel are shown in Tables 5.6 and 5.7 respectively.

Controls (data not shown) indicated that the cheese was not naturally contaminated with <u>Listeria</u> and unsupplemented GNB allowed the natural microflora of the cheese to produce detection curves. The concentration of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> added to the spiked cheese was determined to be  $4.0 \pm 0.5$  CFU per 10 g, which is below the level detectable by direct selective plating methods.

Measurement of the pH of the cheese suspensions prior to enrichment (Table 5.6) revealed that the addition of cheese decreased the pH of GNB from 7.2 to 6.25. This latter value is well below optimum for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. As microbial metabolism would be expected to decrease the pH and hence the growth rate of the target species further, the suspensions were readjusted to pH 7.20 at the start of enrichment. During the subsequent first 24 h of enrichment none of the suspensions fell by more than half a pH unit, so further pH readjustment was not considered necessary.

vessel	monocytogene	es time	-
	(CFU g ⁻¹	- (h)	
	cheese)		
)ne stage e	nrichment	Before of adjustment	6.25
•	Uneniked		7.20
•	oushived	3	7.10
		5	6.90
		8	6.85
		10	6.72
		24	6.70
		48	5.09
ne stage e	richment		
		Before pH adjustment	6.25
2	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.05	0	7.20
		3	7.08
		6	7.00
		8	7.00
		10	6.70
		24	6.70
		48	5.00
wo stage e	richment		
-		Before pH adjustment	6.25
3	Unspiked	10	7.20
		24	6.90
		48	6.74
wo stage es	richnet		
		Before pH adjustment	6.25
4	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.05	10	7.20
		24	6.95
		24	0.35

-

Table 5.6 The pH profile of cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) during enrichment in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.

Enrichment	Volume	Concentration of	Impedance
vessel	transferred from	proflavine moxalactam	DT magnitud
	enrichment	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD (BUIC) <u>+</u> S
l	vessel	(mg dm ³ )	
One stage e	-1 3		
1	1.0 x 10 cm	21 20	8.5 <u>+</u> 0.7 1242 <u>+</u> 41
(unspiked)	-2 3		
	5.0 X 10 Cm	21 20	11.6 <u>+</u> 8.2 914 <u>+</u> 470
	$10 \times 10^{-3} = 3$	21 20	10 0+2 0 263+ 87
	1.0 X 10 CM	21 20	19.012.9 2051 07
	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{3}$	21 20	> 36 0
		***	
One stage en	richmat		
2	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^{3}$	21 20	6.9 <u>+</u> 1.5 1267 <u>+</u> 16
(spiked)			
	$5.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$	21 20	7.6 <u>+</u> 1.6 1168 <u>+</u> 25
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	21 20	12.6 <u>+</u> 1.3 1198 <u>+</u> 61
	-5 3		
	$1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm ⁻⁷	21 20	17.4 <u>+</u> 0.7 # 1349 <u>+</u> 77
Two stage es	-1 3		
3	1.0 x 10 cm	21 20	$13.2 \pm 0.4$ $482\pm 140$
(Unspiked)	5 0 ··· 10 ⁻² ³	21 20	15 3+1 6 1904 06
	5.0 X 10 Cm	21 20	13.341.0 1034 00
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	21 20	> 36 0
	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$	21 20	> 36 0
**			
Two stage of	richment		
4	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$	21 20	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.1 1236 <u>+</u> 23
(spiked)			
	$5.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$	21 20	9.5 <u>+</u> 0.1 1223 <u>+</u> 17
	<b>.</b>		
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	21 20	13.6 <u>+</u> 0.3 739 <u>+</u> 517
	- E 7		
	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ³	21 20	18.9 <u>+</u> 0.2 1295 <u>+</u> 28
			(11=4)

-

# = One artefactual curve produced.

Table 5.7 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994) in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after 24 h one stage or two stage enrichment.

A DT of under 20 h was recorded when  $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$ of spiked cheese suspension was transferred to 2.3 cm³ of impedimetric medium after 24 h single stage enrichment, whereas the same volume of an unspiked suspension remained undetected for the 36 h of impedimetric monitoring. The pH adjustment at zero time improved the growth and metabolism of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>,  $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$  of spiked cheese suspension containing sufficient organisms for detection, whereas without adjustment  $0.1 \text{ cm}^3$  was required to enable <u>L. monocytogenes</u> to be detected after 24 h enrichment (Table 5.5). The two stage enrichment regimen inhibited the growth and metabolism of the natural microflora of cheese to a greater extent than the one stage regimen, as  $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3$  of unspiked cheese suspension produced an impedimetric response only in the latter case.

It was therefore concluded that enrichment may be scaled up to a volume of 225  $\text{cm}^3$  and selection of the target species is enhanced by a two stage regimen.

Experiments were also carried out to determine whether increased concentrations of the selective agents in the impedimetric medium would improve the detection of the target species in spiked cheese samples.

A series of 9.9 cm³ suspensions of 5 % (w/v) cheddar cheese in GNB were prepared and were stomached for 1.0 minute. One suspension remained unspiked, while the others were inoculated with various test species (0.1 cm³ of a  $10^{-3}$  dilution of a 24 h GNB culture in each case). Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1) the impedimetric responses of 1.0 cm³ aliquots of the unspiked

cheese suspension were monitored for 36 h in the unsupplemented GNB and GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam, while those of 1.0 cm³ aliquots of the spiked cheese suspensions were monitored for 36 h in the doubly supplemented GNB. Concomitantly, the impedimetric responses of the test species alone (that is in the absence of cheese) were monitored for 36 h in unsupplemented GNB and GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. The results are recorded in Table 5.8.

All strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> tested, except <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 10357, and all ten <u>Listeria</u> food isolates could tolerate the increased concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam when in the presence of cheese. Approximately one third of these strains were also impedimetrically detected in the doubly supplemented medium in the absence of this foodstuff. Unfortunately, however, increased concentrations of the toxic agents did not improve the selectivity of the assay by preventing the detection of <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u>.

Test	Presence	Concentration of		Impedance		
species	of	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude	
cheese	hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	(mng dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD		
	+	0	0	7.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	<b>524<u>+</u>13</b>	
None	+	30	30	> 36	o	
 <u>L.</u>		0	0	7.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	 494 <u>+</u> 6	
Bonocytogen	<u>08</u> -	30	30	> 36	0	
NCTC 10357 (serotype 1	+ m)	30	30	> 36	0	
<u>L.</u>		0	0	8.2 <u>+</u> 0.0	 685 <u>+</u> 11	
monocytogen	- 88	30	30	24.8 #	100	
(serotype 1)	+ a)	30	30	32.0 #	471	
<u>L.</u>	-	0	0	10.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	562 <u>+</u> 11	
onocytogen	- <u>88</u>	30	30	31.4 <u>+</u> 2.6	447 <u>+</u> 61	
ACTC 5348 (serotype 2)	+	30	30	23.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	559 <u>+</u> 7	
<u></u>	-	0	0	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	669 <u>+</u> 8	
conocytogen	-	30	30	25.7 <u>+</u> 1.5	507 <u>+</u> 78	
RCTC 11994 (serctype 4)	+ >)	30	30	13.3 <u>+</u> 0.2	589 <u>+</u> 12	
	-	0	0	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.7	751 <u>+</u> 19	
onocytogene	<u>)s</u> –	30	30	> 36	0	
CTC 10527 (serotype 4)	+ >)	30	30	29.6 <u>+</u> 3.4	663 <u>+</u> 19	
	-	0	0	11.0 #	165	
innocua	-	30	30	34.9 #	230	
ICTC 11288	+	30	30	26.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	202 <u>+</u> 8	
		0	0	12.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	634 <u>+</u> 13	
nnocua	-	30	30	> 36	0	
ICTC 10889	+	30	30	34.0 <u>+</u> 0.8	602 <u>+</u> 10	
·····		0	0	9.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	747 <u>+</u> 6	
elshimeri	-	30	30	30.3 <u>+</u> 2.0	461 <u>+</u> 118	
CTC 11857	+	30	30	23.6 <u>+</u> 0.7	516 <u>+</u> 104	

Test	Presence	Concent	ration of	Impedance		
species	of	proflavine	moxalactam	DT	magnitude	
	cheese	hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SI	
		(mg dm ⁻³ )				
Food	-	0	0	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	589 <u>+</u> 12	
isolate	-	30	30	23.7 <u>+</u> 1.4	657 <u>+</u> 83	
<b>I1</b>	+	30	30	22.3 <u>+</u> 1.2	634 <u>+</u> 76	
Pood	-	0	0	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	601 <u>+</u> 24	
isolate	-	30	30	24.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	499 <u>+</u> 21	
12	+	30	30	19.0 <u>+</u> 1.1	547 <u>+</u> 65	
Food	-	0	0	10.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	614 <u>+</u> 31	
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0	
13	+	30	30	32.6 <u>+</u> 1.4	477 <u>+</u> 103	
 Pood		 0	 0	10.6+0.2	1109+ 78	
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0	
14	+	30	30	17.3 <u>+</u> 5.8	252 <u>+</u> 2	
Food	-	0	0	9.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	1075 <u>+</u> 33	
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0	
15	*	30	30	19.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	197 <u>+</u> 50	
				10,9+0.1	1202+ 29	
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0	
16	÷	30	30	21.0+1.4	279+ 94	
					- <u> </u>	
 ?ood		0	0	11.2 #	1084	
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0	
17	+	30	30	17.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	1092 <u>+</u> 11	
Table 5	.8 conti	nued overl	.eaf)		(N=2)	

-

Test species	Presence of cheese	Concent: proflavine hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	ration of moxalactam (mg dm ⁻³ )	II DT (h) <u>+</u> SD	pedance magnitude (BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
Food		0	0	10.4 #	1164
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0
18	+	30	30	18.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	252 <u>+</u> 1
 Food		0	0	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	 639 <u>+</u> 14
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0
19	+	30	30	33.8 <u>+</u> 4.2	569 <u>+</u> 72
Food		0	0	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.1	577 <u>+</u> 23
isolate	-	30	30	> 36	0
110	*	30	30	32.3 <u>+</u> 3.2	489 <u>+</u> 42
÷					(N=2)

-

<u>Key</u> # = One artefactual curve produced

Table 5.8 Impedimetric responses of a range of test species in unsupplemented GNB and in GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam in the absence and presence of cheese.

Further work was then undertaken to determine whether these increased concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam would improve the selective enrichment regimen for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Four 225  $\text{cm}^3$  suspensions of 5 % (w/v) cheddar cheese in GNB were prepared and stomached for 1 minute. Two suspensions (in enrichment vessels 1 and 2) were supplemented with 21 mg  $dm^{-3}$  proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam, and two (in enrichment vessels 3) and 4) with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. At zero time the suspensions were all returned to pH 7.2 by the aseptic addition of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (BDH Biochemicals) and those in enrichment vessels 2 and 4 were spiked with a  $10^{-7}$ dilution of a 24 h GNB culture of L. monocytogenes NCTC 11994 (which was enumerated by routine methods, see 2.4). The four enrichment vessels were incubated at 30 °C and at intervals the pH of each was determined. After 24 h incubation samples were removed from each of the vessels and diluted as appropriate in GNB. 0.1 cm³ aliquots of these diluted suspensions were transferred to Bactometer wells containing 2.2  $\text{cm}^3$  GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of these samples were monitored for 36 h. The data obtained on the pH profile and the impedimetric responses of the suspension in each

enrichment vessel are shown in Tables 5.9, 5.10 and 5.11.

Controls (data not shown) indicated that the cheese was not naturally contaminated with <u>Listeria</u> and unsupplemented GNB allowed the natural microflora of the cheese to produce detection curves. None of the suspensions in the four enrichment vessels fell below pH 6.5 within the 24 h incubation period (Table 5.9). <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could not be detected in either doubly supplemented impedimetric medium after enrichment in GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam (Table 5.10). Significantly, however, enrichment in GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam enabled the detection of all except the lowest dilution of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> tested in both types of doubly supplemented impedimetric medium (Table 5.11).

Enrichment	TVC of added <u>I</u>	Concentrat	ion of	Er	richment	pE
₹ 0000 <b>4</b>	(CFU g ⁻¹	in enrichment	medium		(h)	
cheese)	cheese)	proflavine hydrochloride (mg dm ⁻³ )	moxala (mg	dm ⁻³ )		
		21	20	Before p	E adjustment	6.21
1	Unspiked	21	20		0	7.18
		21	20		24	6.50
		21	20	Before p	E adjustment	6.20
2	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.04	21	20		0	7.19
		21	20		24	6.64
		30	30	Before p	H adjustment	6.20
3	Unspiked	30	30		0	7.20
		30	30		24	6.67
		30	30	Before p	E adjustment	6.21
4	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.04	30	30		0	7.19
		30	30		24	6.65

-

Table 5.9 The pH profile of cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) during enrichment in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.
Enrichment	Volume	Concentration of		Impedance	
vessel	transferred from	selective	agents in	DT	magnitude
	enrichment	impedimet	ric medium	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	vessel	proflavine	moxalactam	1	
		hydrochloride	("ng dan")		
		(mg dm ⁻³ )			
	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$		20	6.4+0.0	
(unspiked)	2 3				
	1.0 x 10 cm	21	20	12.3 #	933
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3$	21	20	13.3 #	174
	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ³	21	20	15.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	733 <u>+</u> 10
1	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$	30	30	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	412 <u>+</u> 124
(unspiked)	$1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$	30	30	11.7 #	218
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{3}$	30	30	15.2 #	352
	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$	30	30	19.2 <u>+</u> 0.7	434 <u>+</u> 65
2 (spiked)	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$	21	20	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	1249 <u>+</u> 11
, <b>⇒₽====</b> 1	$1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$	21	20	5.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1254 <u>+</u> 41
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{3}$	21	20	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	1299 <u>+</u> 37
	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$	21	20	10.0 <u>+</u> 0.2	1344 <u>+</u> 16
2	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$	30	30	4.3 <u>+</u> 1.3	1048 <u>+</u> 133
(spiked)	1.0 x 10 ⁻² cm ³	30	30	7.5 <u>+</u> 1.7	1227 <u>+</u> 10
	1.0 x 10 ⁻³ cm ³	30	30	9.9 #	1094
	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ³	30	30	12.0 <u>+</u> 0.1	1271 <u>+</u> 34
<b></b>				(N=2)	

(**

# = One artefactual curve produced

Table 5.10 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after enrichment in 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB.

<u>Key</u>

Enrichment	Volume	Concentration of		Impedance	
vessel	transferred from	selective	agents in	DT	magnitude
	enrichment	impedimetr	ic medium	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	vessel	proflavine	moxalactam		
		hydrochloride	(mg dm ⁻³ )		
}		(mg dm ⁻³ )			
					***
3	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$	21	20	15.4 <u>+</u> 0.0	386 <u>+</u> 63
(unspiked)	-2 3				
	1.0 x 10 ⁻ cm	21	20	> 36	0
	-3 3				
	1.0 x 10 ° cm	21	20	> 36 #	0
	-5 3				
	1.0 x 10 cm	21	20	> 36	0
	1 0		30	15 9 #	 667
ل داد مداد می ک		30	30	13.9 #	567
(unspiked)	$1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$	30	30	> 36	0
	1.0 x 10 Cm	30	30	- 50	Ū
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{3}$	30	30	> 36	0
	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$	30	30	> 36	0
		************			
4	$1.0 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3$	21	20	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	1252 <u>+</u> 36
(spiked)					
	$1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$	21	20	11.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	1197 <u>+</u> 2
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	21	20	14.9 <u>+</u> 0.7	289 <u>+</u> 37
	<b>F</b> 3				
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	21	20	17.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	424 <u>+</u> 85
					İ
************					
4	1.0 x 10 [°] cm [°]	30	30	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	989 <u>+</u> 35
(spiked)	-2 3		20	12 440 2	1001
	1.0 x 10 cm	30	30	13.470.3	TOATT 0
		34	30	16.2+0 1	1218+ 42
	1.0 x 10 Cm	30	30	10.270.1	1210 <u>7</u> 42
	<b>1</b> 0 <b>1 - 5</b> <u>-</u> <b>3</b>	30	30	23.1 #	1142
	1.0 X 10 CM	30	30	au-ur + de H	
			Kev		(N=2)

-

# = One artefactual curve produced

Table 5.11 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u>) in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after enrichment in 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB. A series of experiments was then undertaken to determine the effects of these increased concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam during enrichment on the detection of a variety of strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in a range of different cheeses. One example is recorded below.

Two 500  $\text{cm}^3$  suspensions of 5 % (w/v) stilton cheese in GNB were prepared and stomached for 1 minute prior to aseptic supplementation with 30 mg  $dm^{-3}$  proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam. At zero time both suspensions were returned to pH 7.2 by the aseptic addition of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (BDH Biochemicals) and one suspension (in enrichment vessel 2) was spiked with a 10⁻⁷ dilution of a 24 h GNB culture of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 7973 (which was enumerated by routine methods, see 2.4). After 24 h incubation at 30 °C samples were removed from each vessel and diluted as appropriate in GNB. 0.1  $cm^3$  aliquots of these diluted suspensions were transferred to Bactometer wells containing 2.2 cm³ GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg  $dm^{-3}$  moxalactam. Using routine conditions (see 2.6 & 2.6.1), the impedimetric responses of these samples were monitored for 36 h. The results are recorded in Table 5.12.

Controls (data not shown) indicated that the cheese was not naturally contaminated with <u>Listeria</u> and unsupplemented GNB allowed the natural microflora of the cheese to produce detection curves. The concentration of

<u>L. monocytogenes</u> added to the spiked cheese was determined to be  $10.0 \pm 1.0$  CFU per 25 g. Enrichment in GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam enabled the detection of both dilutions of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> tested in impedimetric medium supplemented with either the higher or the lower concentrations of selective agents, whereas the unspiked stilton sample remained undetected on all occasions (Table 5.12). All detection curves produced were of good quality.

Other strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> consistently detected by the above protocol included <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994, <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 5348 and <u>Listeria</u> food isolates I1 and I3 (see 2.1) in cheddar cheese and <u>L. monocytogenes</u> NCTC 11994 in stilton cheese (data not shown).

It can therefore be concluded that the use of  $30 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$  proflavine hydrochloride and  $30 \text{ mg dm}^{-3}$  moxalactam enrichment is effective for detecting very low levels of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (down to 10 CFU per 25 g) in cheese suspensions.

Enrichment	Volume	Concentration of	Impedance	
vessel	transferred from	selective agents in	DT	magnitude
	enrichment	impedimetric medium	(h) <u>+</u> SD	(BUIC) <u>+</u> SD
	V69261	hydrochloride (mg dm ^{$-3$} )		
		(mg dm ⁻³ )		
1 (unspiked)	1.0 x 10 ⁻³ cm ³	21 20	> 36	0
	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ³	21 20	> 36	0
1	1.0 x 10 ⁻³ cm ³	30 30	> 36	0
(unspiked)	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$	30 30	> 36	0
2	1.0 x 10 ⁻³ cm ³	21 20	12.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	1073 <u>+</u> 26
(spiked)	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ³	21 20	18.6 <u>+</u> 1.4	1065 <u>+</u> 123   
2 (apiked)	1.0 x 10 ⁻³ cm ³	30 30	17.8 <u>+</u> 1.1 #	1056 <u>+</u> 349
(spiked)	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ³	30 30	28.2 <u>+</u> 2.5	806 <u>+</u> 126   
			(N=4)	/

<u>Key</u>

# = One artefactual curve produced.

Table 5.12 Impedimetric responses of the microflora in stilton cheese suspensions (unspiked and spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes NCTC 7973</u>) in GNB supplemented with either 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam or 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam after enrichment in GNB supplemented with 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam.

The constituents of foodstuffs (that is, their chemical components and naturally occurring microflora) have been found to have a significant effect on the impedimetric responses of L. monocytogenes. For example, milk and camembert cheese improve curve quality, whereas thick yogurts and Wensleydale cheese have detrimental effects (I. Hancock, unpublished work). As the presence of milk nearly doubles the impedance magnitude, possibly due to its calcium ion content, the incorporation of sterile milk into GNB might be used to enhance the quality of electrical curves. As poor quality electrical curves were produced in the presence of some food products, greater dilution of these foods could result in improvement of curve morphology. Dilution may have other benefits, such as reducing the influence of the foodstuff on the pH of the system and decreasing the concentration of preservatives and other anti Listeria agents. Dilution would also decrease the buffering capacity and hence may result in shorter DT's (Whitley D., personal communication, 1989). However, because of the diverse effects elicited by different foodstuffs, the experiments described in this work were restricted largely to cheddar cheese suspensions.

In an impedimetric assay the normal flora of foods can influence the growth characteristics and metabolic products of the target species by reducing available nutrients, changing the pH of the medium and producing

bacteriocins. For example the acid produced by lactic acid bacteria, which gives them a selective advantage in fermented dairy products (Stanier et al., 1986a; Carminati et al., 1988), will hinder the rate of growth of L. monocytogenes and therefore its impedimetric detection. All lactic starter cultures examined have been found to inhibit but not inactivate L. monocytogenes (Carminati et al., 1988; Harris et al., 1989). Furthermore, Pediococcus acidilactii, a lactic acid organism used as starter culture in the production of fermented meat products, has been shown to produce bacteriocins inhibitory to L. monocytogenes (Hoover et al., 1989; Farber, 1989b). Enterococcus spp., commonly used as probiotic feed organisms for farm animals, have also been found to produce inhibitory bacteriocins (McKay, 1990; Arihara et al., 1991). Farrag and Marth (1989) reported that the growth of L. monocytogenes was impeded by psychrotrophic <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>, possibly due to endotoxin release by the latter species (Walterspiel & Ronnlund, 1987). Although in this work the electrical responses of L. monocytogenes in GNB were not found to be affected by the addition of a range of fungal test species (see 4.4.6) or in stilton cheese naturally contaminated with Penicillium spp. (see Table 5.3 & 5.12), hexanoic and octanoic acids produced by fungi have been reported to be detrimental to the growth of L. monocytogenes (Kinderlerer & Lund, 1992).

The physiological condition of the target organism is a significant factor to be considered in developing a

detection regimen. For example, the presence of a L. monocytogenes specific phage would be expected to affect the impedimetric responses of the host species. Cells can also be influenced by chemical additives, such as preservatives, and by physical food processing procedures. Many forms of injury can be induced by these physical and chemical means. Sublethal damage occurs mainly to the cell membranes, impaired integrity of the cell resulting in osmotic instability and leakage of essential cytoplasmic components. Additionally, cytoplasmic membrane damage can directly inhibit membrane associated enzymes, including those necessary for cell wall synthesis (Gilbert, 1984; Ahamad & Marth, 1990). Damage to DNA can also occur resulting in loss of information essential for biosynthetic and metabolic activities (Mossel & van Netten, 1984; Ahamad & Marth, 1990).

Microbial recovery from injury is influenced by the type of organism, the severity of the injury, the growth conditions prior to stress, the isolation procedures and the composition of the recovery medium (Gilbert, 1984). Generally, injured cells are able to survive and undergo repair if they are under no additionally imposed stress during the initial isolation procedures. Sublethally injured organisms may be unable to proliferate or survive on selective media where unstressed cells would not be affected due to their osmotic stability (Gilbert, 1984). Severely injured cells may therefore require a period of non selective enrichment (Brackett & Beuchat, 1989). This

could be achieved in the impedimetric assay developed for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> by simply delaying the supplementation of GNB for a suitable time period after homogenisation of the food product under test. Additionally, detoxifying constituents, such as charcoal, starch and sodium bicarbonate (Mossel & van Netten, 1984), or catalase and pyruvate (Mossel, 1986), could be incorporated into a recovery medium.

From the investigation into the effects of temperature shock (see 5.2.2) it was determined that stressed cells of L. monocytogenes could be satisfactorily detected in GNB supplemented with proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam and therefore a resuscitation period in medium without these selective agents was not necessary under the experimental conditions used. However, the use of a two stage regimen, viz non selective enrichment followed by selective enrichment, may need consideration where L. monocytogenes has been subjected to a high level of processing. DePasquale et al. (1985) reported that bacterial injury affected impedimetric responses. This resulted in longer DT's, and therefore led to inaccurate enumeration of organisms when using calibration curves produced for unstressed cells. These observations were confirmed in the present work where heat stress delayed the DT of L. monocytogenes (Table 5.2). The delay is probably due to the combined effects of a reduction in viable numbers after heat treatment and injured cells requiring a recovery period before normal metabolism is resumed. However, as similar delays in DT were observed in

both supplemented and unsupplemented medium, there was no evidence of any additive or synergistic interaction between heat treatment and the selective agents proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam.

The method used to analyse a foodstuff for L. monocytogenes depends upon the expected level of contamination. This may vary from about  $10^3$  CFU g⁻¹ in some fresh foods to below  $10^{-2}$  CFU g⁻¹ in processed products (Mossel, 1989). The dissociation of a relatively low number of microorganisms is the first stage of any detection regimen for a foodborne pathogen. The standard procedure for solid samples is the preparation of a 10 (w/v) suspension, followed by either blending at low speed (8,000 revolutions per minute) for 2 minutes or alternatively stomaching for different time intervals (Dickson, 1990b). No difference was found in the recovery of L. monocytogenes from beef tissues homogenised by either procedure, though blending was found to be more efficient for recovering L. monocytogenes from cheese substrates (Yousef et al., 1988; Dickson, 1990b). Differences in their physical nature and distribution of microorganisms within the foodstuffs were thought to account for these observations (Dickson, 1990b). As blending can produce aerosols, the enclosed stomacher is preferred by many groups working with pathogenic L. monocytogenes (van Spreekens & Stekelenberg, 1986; Buchanan et al., 1987; Benkeroum & Sandine, 1988; Yousef et al., 1988). In this work homogenisation in the stomacher was found to be the most efficient method of

dissociating the normal flora of cheese (see 5.2.1). Dickson (1990b) found that increasing the stomaching time from 0.5 to 5 minutes had no affect on the recovery of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. This notion was confirmed in the current work, although the maximum effective time was found to be 1.0 minute (Table 5.1). Research has indicated, however, that the recovery of the target organism may be affected by the type of diluent used during homogenisation (Yousef <u>et al.</u>, 1988; Dickson, 1990b) and its temperature (Yousef <u>et al.</u>, 1988).

In this work the same DT was noted for varying numbers of CFU after homogenisation in either the stomacher or the pestle and mortar (Table 5.1). This phenomenon may be due to equal numbers of organisms (a constant DT) but differing extents of microbial clumping (varying numbers of cells within a CFU) being present after dissociation. Such clumping is a common problem associated with the plate count method of enumeration (Firstenberg-Eden et al., 1984).

The homogenisation procedure used also affected the morphology of impedance curves produced, blended samples giving curves of a consistently higher magnitude than stomached samples or those treated in a pestle and mortar (Table 5.1). Other workers have similarly reported that the method of sample preparation can effect the quality of impedance curves (Firstenberg-Eden, 1983; Arnott <u>et al</u>., 1988). In this present case the difference in magnitudes could be due to an increase after blending in the concentration of substrates in the cheese suspension

capable of yielding ionic products. The heat generated by this harsh procedure may have been responsible for modifying the foodstuff itself and / or the diluent. Alternatively, metabolites may have been released into the medium as a result of the disruption of microbial cell walls. The reduction in cell viability observed after blending gives support to the latter hypothesis.

The direct impedimetric assay developed in chapter 4 was found to be capable of consistently detecting  $1 \times 10^3$  CFU of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> g⁻¹ cheese (Table 5.3), the lower limit of detection being  $1 \times 10^2$  g⁻¹ cheese. The concentration of target organism per gram of foodstuff required for this procedure is therefore similar to that needed in traditional selective plate assays. Although the direct impedimetric assay would permit enumeration using predetermined calibration curves of TVC verses DT for individual foodstuffs, the sensitivity is insufficient to allow adherence to zero tolerance level specifications. As scaling up is precluded because of the limits imposed by the 2.3 cm³ volume of the Bactometer wells, attempts were made to modify the assay by the inclusion of a enrichment stage.

The concentration of cells in the impedimetric inoculum must not be above or below the threshold level for the Bactometer, that is, detection must not occur during the temperature equilibration period or after the cut off point set for a positive response. To accomplish this the optimum enrichment conditions and volume of enrichment suspension subsequently added as inoculum to

the impedimetric medium were carefully evaluated (see 5.2.4). Copolla and Firstenberg-Eden (1988) recommended that a 5 to 10 (w/v) suspension of foodstuff be used as inoculum in enrichment medium. In the assay developed here it was found that a 5 (w/v) cheese suspension was required. Because of the low pH of this inoculum, the medium had to be readjusted to pH 7.2 at the start of the enrichment period to avoid any inhibitory effects on the growth and metabolism of L. monocytogenes (see Tables 5.6 & 5.9). The concentration of selective agents was also adjusted to take account of non specific binding to the organic material present in the enrichment medium and hence reduce the protection afforded to non target species in cheese suspensions. Of the Listeria test species examined, only cheese suspensions spiked with L. monocytogenes NCTC 10357 failed to produce an impedimetric response in GNB supplemented with these higher concentrations of proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam (Table 5.8). Even though NCTC 10357 is the type strain, it is atypical, non haemolytic and has been identified as L. innocua (NCTC Colindale, personal communication, 1990). With these refinements the target organism could be detected in high dilutions of cheese suspension after 24 h single stage enrichment (Table 5.11 & 5.12), indicating that the procedure might be further optimised by a reduction in the enrichment time.

For zero tolerance assurance of foodstuffs contaminated with mixed microflora a enrichment period of 24 to 48 h has been used prior to traditional selective

plating (Kerr & Lacey, 1991), while 7 days enrichment is recommended for recovery of stressed organisms (Lovett et al., 1987; Lovett, 1988). Although further work might be necessary to improve its sensitivity (viz from 10 CFU per 25 g to 1 CFU per 25 g), zero tolerance assurance by the modified impedimetric technique developed in this study would be likely to be more rapid, the current procedure being capable of detecting both unstressed and heat stressed L. monocytogenes within 60 h. Although this time might be decreased by reducing the enrichment stage to for example 12 h, this would require inoculation of the impedimetric medium during the evening or the night, which would be impractical as few industries work triple shifts (Sharpe, 1986). This was the main reason for the investigation of the two stage enrichment regimen being discontinued (Table 5.7).

Traditional plating techniques indicated that the cheeses used in this work were not naturally contaminated with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> but confirmed the presence of the target organism in all spiked suspensions. Unfortunately, a number of false positive results were obtained with this procedure. On all occasions the culprit was identified by Api Zym biochemical techniques (see 2.1) as <u>Enterococcus</u> spp. Vicente <u>et al</u>. (1990) also found that media selective for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> were effective at isolating <u>Enterococcus</u> spp. and stated this was due to the similar patterns of cephalosporin sensitivity of the two genera.

While no false positive results were produced with the modified impedimetric assay, artefactual curves were

encountered. Cossar et al. (1990) commented that their production is almost always due to electrode disturbances. Bacterial and food sedimentation on the electrodes in the Bactometer reaction wells could therefore be the cause of the artefactual curves observed throughout this work. This problem might be reduced or prevented by the dilution or filtration of homogenised food suspensions. Alternatively, as the effect of viscosity on the mobility of ions at concentrations existing in culture media is negligible (Owens, 1985), the use of semi solid impedimetric medium might prevent the surface fouling of electrodes by food substrates. Another possible cause of artefactual curves could be metabolically evolved gas bubbles lying on the surface of the electrodes and reducing their surface area. The prevention of this type of artefactual curve would be more difficult.

The metabolic basis for the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> was next investigated (Chapter 6).

#### **CHAPTER 6**

# DETERMINATION OF THE METABOLIC BASIS OF THE IMPEDIMETRIC DETECTION OF TEST SPECIES

## 6.1 Introduction

The objective of a biochemical investigation into the conditions required for impedimetric detection is to gain an understanding of the metabolic end products responsible for the electrical responses of a target organism. Such knowledge can then be used in the development of an optimal electrical medium (Zindulis, 1984).

Cossar <u>et al</u>. (1990) discussed the factors which significantly influence the electrical characteristics of cell suspensions. They found the electrical state to be very complex and dependent on: the monitoring frequency, the signal amplitude, the dimensions, composition and any pretreatment of the electrodes, the suspension medium and temperature, and the viability, metabolism, concentration and geometry of the cells. As commercial instruments use electronics to establish particular parameters (Firstenberg-Eden & Eden, 1984; Cossar <u>et al</u>., 1990) and monitoring frequency and signal amplitude are set as constants, these factors could not be evaluated with the Bactometer used in this work. The extent of the electrical change required to produce a positive detection is also important. For example, Cady <u>et al</u>. (1978) reported that

the baseline had to undergo a change of 0.8 % (see 2.6.1) for a DT to be registered. As this parameter is also constant and set in the software of the Bactometer, and, as all test species produced electrical responses of sufficient amplitude to generate DT's in unsupplemented GNB, it was not investigated further. Similarly, the influence of the dimensions, composition and pretreatment of the Bactometer electrodes could not be assessed. The temperature of the impedimetric assay was constant at 30 °C and therefore temperature variation should not have had an influence except during the initial temperature stabilisation period of the Bactometer when monitoring is not undertaken. The significant criteria that remain to be investigated are therefore the medium constituents and the cell parameters.

In the work reported in this chapter an attempt was made to identify and quantify metabolites produced by different test species in order to determine the conditions which enable impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. The concentrations of various organic acids and of ammonia in uninoculated GNB and GNB inoculated with three test organisms used in this work, viz <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>Lact. lactis</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>, were determined by chromatographic and spectrophotometric techniques. The optimal cultural conditions for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> determined in Chapter 3 were used throughout.

## 6.2 Results

6.2.1 Investigation of L-lactic acid produced by test species

Using a commercially available test kit (see 2.11) the concentrations of L-lactic acid were determined in freshly prepared unsupplemented GNB, GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and cell free extracts of 24 h cultures of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>Lact. lactis</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> grown in unsupplemented GNB (see 2.10). The results are tabulated in Table 6.1.

The presence of proflavine hydrochloride in GNB reduced the apparent concentration of L-lactic acid compared with unsupplemented GNB (Table 6.1), the strongly coloured dye influencing the spectrophotometric assay.

Of the three test species, <u>Lact. lactis</u> produced the greatest amount of L-lactic acid  $(1.322 \text{ g dm}^{-3})$ . <u>L. monocytogenes</u> also produced L-lactic acid  $(1.071 \text{ g dm}^{-3})$ , while <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> appeared to utilise the L-lactic acid present in GNB, the concentration in this cell free extract being 0.315 g dm⁻³ lower than in the uninoculated GNB.

6.2.2 Investigation of ammonia produced by test species.

Using a commercially available test kit (see 2.12) the concentrations of ammonia were determined in freshly prepared unsupplemented GNB, GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and cell free extracts

/		
Sample	Concentration of L-lactic acid (g dm ⁻³ )	Yield of L-lactic acid (g dm ⁻³ )
L-lactic acid standard solution (0.195 g dm ⁻³ )	0.196 <u>+</u> 0.002	N/A
Unsupplemented GNB	0.549 <u>+</u> 0.014	N/A
GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride	0.411 <u>+</u> 0.013	N/A
L. monocytogenes (24 h cell free extract)	1.620 <u>+</u> 0.093	1.071
<u>Lact. lactis</u> (24 h cell free extract)	1.871 <u>+</u> 0.001	1.322
<u>Ps. fluorescens</u> (24 h cell free extract)	0.234 <u>+</u> 0.046	-0.315
\ <b>_</b>	(N=2)	

Table 6.1 Spectrophotometric assay of the concentration of L-lactic acid in impedimetric media and cell free extracts.

of 24 h cultures of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>Lact. lactis</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> grown in unsupplemented GNB (see 2.10). The results obtained by comparison with the correlation graph of absorbance versus concentration of ammonium ions (Fig. 6.1) are recorded in Table 6.2.

All test species produced ammonia in GNB.

<u>Ps. fluorescens</u> gave the greatest yield (105 mg dm⁻³),

followed by <u>Lact. lactis</u> (80 mg dm⁻³) with

L. monocytogenes yielding the least (32 mg dm⁻³).

The presence of proflavine hydrochloride in GNB





reduced the concentration of ammonia compared with unsupplemented GNB (Table 6.2). As this toxic agent was found to affect the spectrophotometric determination of L-lactic acid and of ammonia, it was decided that this technique could not be used further in the biochemical investigation of impedimetric detection.

6.2.3 Investigation of carboxylic acids produced by test species.

Using a gas chromatograph technique (see 2.13) the concentrations of carboxylic acids were determined in freshly prepared unsupplemented GNB and cell free extracts

/ _ w = + + + + = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		
Sample	Concentration of ammonia (mg dm ⁻³ )	Yield of ammonia (mg dm ⁻³ )
Unsupplemented GNB	75 <u>+</u> 5.0	N/A
GNB supplemented with 21 mg dm ⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride	70 <u>+</u> 2.0	N/A
<u>L. monocytogenes</u> (24 h cell free extract)	107 <u>+</u> 17.0	32
Lact. lactis (24 h cell free extract)	155 <u>+</u> 5.0	80
Ps. fluorescens (24 h cell free extract)	180 <u>+</u> 1.0	105
	(N=2)	

Table 6.2 Spectrophotometric assay of the concentration of ammonia in impedimetric media and cell free extracts.

of 24 h cultures of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, <u>Lact. lactis</u> and <u>Ps. fluorescens</u> grown in unsupplemented GNB (see 2.10). The spectra of the separated acids are shown in Fig. 6.2.

The method of Carlsson (1973) was found to be satisfactory for detecting levels of straight chain carboxylic acids of approximately 1.0 g dm⁻³ GNB or more, however the limit of detection of lactic acid was 2.25 g dm⁻³ GNB. The results obtained indicated that any acids present in the samples were at too low a concentration to be detected by the gas chromatographic technique used.

As the test protocols for L-lactic acid (see 2.11) and ammonia (see 2.12) were affected by the presence of the antimicrobial agent proflavine hydrochloride, and the chromatographic technique (see 2.13) was not sensitive enough to detect the concentration of L-lactic acid produced at 24 h, it was decided to discontinue the biochemical investigation of impedimetric detection conditions at this point. A number of factors likely to instigate the electrical responses of the test species are next discussed (see 6.3).



Fig. 6.2 The gas chromatographic separation of 5.2 g dm⁻³ acetic, 4.9 g dm⁻³ proprionic, 4.8 g dm⁻³ butyric, 4.7 g dm⁻³ pentanoic, 4.6 g dm⁻³ hexanoic, 4.6 g dm⁻³ heptanoic acids and 9.01 g dm⁻³ lactic acid in GNB

#### 6.3 Discussion

As pH significantly affects conductivity (Zindulis, 1984), changes in the electrical properties of growth medium are thought to result from the accumulation of protons formed by the breakdown of constituents. Ammonium ions released on protein degradation may also be responsible for some electrical responses (Cady, 1975; Owens, 1985).

The main product of the metabolism of glucose by L. monocytogenes is L-lactic acid (Stanier et al., 1986a; Jones, 1989). Anaerobically L. monocytogenes metabolises glucose by the classic Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway producing only L-lactic acid (Benedict, 1990). Aerobically approximately 80 % of the yield of L-lactic acid is formed by the metabolism of both hexoses and pentoses (Benedict, 1990). Other products of L. monocytogenes aerobic metabolism include acetic acid and small amounts of isovaleric, isobutyric and isohydroxy acids (Pine et al., 1989). The principal metabolites expected to be produced by L. monocytogenes in GNB were therefore L-lactic acid from glucose and ammonium ions from the utilisation of proteins.

Lact. lactis is facultatively anaerobic and homofermentative, converting glucose almost exclusively to L-lactic acid via the EMP pathway (Stanier <u>et al</u>., 1986a). Cultures of <u>Lact. lactis</u> grown in glucose broth reach a final pH of approximately 4.0 to 4.5 as a result of the large quantities of acid they produce (Buchanan <u>et al</u>., 1974). <u>Lact. lactis</u> nutrition is very complex requiring

four to five B vitamins, 10 to 13 amino acids, acetate and oleate or lipoate (Buchanan <u>et al</u>., 1974). Under starvation conditions some pyruvate is converted to ethanol and acetate to release extra energy (Stanier <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1986a). The metabolites expected to be produced by <u>Lact. lactis</u> from GNB were therefore L-lactic acid and possibly acetic acid in the stationary phase of growth. From the catabolism of proteins ammonium ions were also expected.

<u>Pseudomonas</u> spp. are highly versatile nutritionally, capable of using 60 to 80 different compounds as a sole source of carbon and energy (Stanier et al., 1986b). Lactic acid and glucose can be metabolised aerobically by the majority of species. Some species produce acids from alcohols and aldose sugars especially when these substrates are provided in high concentrations. However, as <u>Pseudomonas</u> spp. can not grow at or below pH 6.0 (Buchanan et al., 1974), Ps. fluorescens is not likely to produce excessive amounts of acids. Certain pseudomonads accumulate ß hydroxybutyrate as an intracellular reserve material and others accumulate polysaccarides (Buchanan et al., 1974). The storing of such intracellular reserve materials would reduce the level of metabolites potentially capable of contributing to the electrical signal, however Ps. fluorescens has been reported not to produce ß hydroxybutyrate (Stanier et al., 1986b). Due to the versatile nutritional behaviour of Ps. fluorescens it is difficult to speculate about the metabolites which might generate the electrical responses of this species.

The data in Tables 6.1 & 6.2 indicate that the main metabolic products likely to instigate the electrical responses of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and <u>Lact. lactis</u> are L-lactic acid and ammonium ions. Impedimetric detection of <u>Ps. fluorescens</u>, on the other hand, may depend only upon ammonium ions as the latter organism was observed to utilise L-lactic acid from GNB. This finding was not unexpected considering the organisms nutritional versatility and low acid tolerance (Buchanan <u>et al</u>., 1974). As the pH of GNB never exceeds the pKa of aqueous solutions of ammonia, that is 9.3 (Allison & Bird, 1964), its ammonium content will be almost entirely in the NH4⁺ form. Such ions will therefore contribute to the electrical responses of test species.

Proflavine hydrochloride was shown to have a significant effect on the spectrophotometric determination of lactic acid and of ammonia, the presence of the coloured agent reducing the apparent concentration of these metabolites in GNB (see Tables 6.1 & 6.2). Furthermore, different extents of binding of proflavine hydrochloride to microbial receptors might result in a variable concentration of this agent in cell free extracts of cultures grown in its presence. The Berthelot reaction, utilised in the ammonia test kit, has also been reported to be affected by contact with antimicrobial agents (Kaplan, 1965; Sigma, diagnostic kit schedule). For these reasons, the use of a chromatographic procedure rather than spectrophotometry was thought to be preferable for

investigating the metabolic basis of the impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. However, problems were encountered with this technique (see 6.2.3).

Changes in pH associated with microbial growth, however, can not always be the principal cause of impedimetric responses. For example, <u>E. coli</u> produces acid metabolites in Trypticase Soy broth decreasing its pH, whereas <u>Proteus mirabilis</u> produces alkaline metabolites in Urea broth increasing pH, and yet the growth of both organisms results in a decrease of the impedimetric signal (Cady <u>et al</u>., 1978). This may at first seem surprising but pH changes could be moderated in some way by the organisms themselves. For example, they might be able to buffer changes within certain limits. It has been noticed that the cell surface charge on bacteria alters with pH, as pH increases the number of negatively charged groups also increases (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982).

Cossar <u>et al</u>. (1990) investigated metabolically produced organic acids using chromatographic methods and ammonia using a spectrophotometric method. Unfortunately, the authors did not report the details of their findings but only commented that it was difficult to ascertain the chemical species causing the conductivity changes associated with microbial metabolism. Nonetheless, they did elucidate that in some cases an equilibrium is established where ionic species (such as organic acids) are buffered by components in the medium or organism itself. The authors also concluded that membrane bound ions affected the electrical characteristics of the

medium.

In order to quantify the biochemical conditions required for impedimetric detection it would be necessary to first determine the extent of cellular buffering and its effect on the electrical signals produced by test species. A number of components of bacterial cell walls can be identified as being capable of acting as buffers. Teichoic acids may be main source of buffer capacity in Gram positive species. Lact. lactis possesses glycerol containing teichoic acids between the peptidoglycan and the cytoplasmic membrane (Buchanan et al., 1974) and L. monocytogenes peptidoglycan contains both teichoic acids and lipoteichoic acids (Ralovich, 1984; Alvarez-Dominguez et al., 1993). The Gram negative outer membrane being lipophilic in nature would have little buffering capacity, however the cytoplasmic membranes of both Gram positive and Gram negative species contain proteinaceous constituents which are capable of buffering protons. As the cytoplasmic membrane is the main permeability barrier of a microbial cell, components retained inside this membrane are not likely to be responsible for contributing to the impedance change.

Although chromatographic techniques are available to quantify the constituents of microbial cell walls and cytoplasmic membranes capable of causing buffering (Drucker, 1981), they were not investigated in this work. It can be argued that such constituents would not influence electrical signals if they were trapped within the matrix of the peptidoglycan or on the inside of the

cytoplasmic membrane with no contact with the impedimetric medium. The true extent of cellular buffering capacity under the experimental conditions used in this work therefore not could not be assessed as the proportion of these "trapped" constituents is unknown.

Impedance, which is sensitive to both changes in bulk conductance and in capacitance at the electrodes (see 1.5.1), was used in this work to monitor the electrical responses of test species. Using non commercial instrumentation, Cady <u>et al</u>. (1978) determined the relative contributions of conductance and capacitance by measuring the impedance at different frequencies (see equations 1.2 & 1.3). These authors found capacitance was the main component altered by microbial growth although measurable conductance changes also occurred. As the Bactometer gives only arbitrary values of conductance, capacitance and impedance, the three signals could not be compared directly in this work.

Microbial cell wall components could affect both conductance and capacitance. Overall conductance would be influenced by cellular buffering capacity and the cell wall and membrane bound ions themselves. Cady (1975) suggested that the charged film on the electrodes, responsible for the capacitive signal, could be composed of microbial cells as only a proportion of the electrical response remained in cell free extracts. In this case the concentration of bound ions, and the shape and size of the organism would dictate the numbers of charged species in sufficiently close proximity to the electrodes to modify

the electrical signal. Furthermore, hydrated and non hydrated ions could be excluded from the area close to the electrodes by charged microbial cells and therefore their influence on capacitance reduced.

Unfortunately quantifying the influence of microbial cell wall and cytoplasmic membrane components on the capacitive signal would not be possible. In an analogous way to their lack of functioning in cellular buffering, "trapped" components would not affect capacitance as they would not be in direct contact with the electrodes. As discussed above, the proportion of such "trapped" components is unknown and therefore the relative exposure of the electrodes to effective components could not be determined.

Other factors could also have had a significant effect on the impedimetric detection of test species. As Chapman (1980) elucidated, there are a number of possible outcomes for metabolically evolved  $CO_2$ . In culture media  $CO_2$  mainly combines with water to form carbonic acid:

 $CO_2 + H_2O \ll H_2CO_3$  (equation 6.1)

The carbonic acid is then ionised:

 $H_2CO_3$  «-----»  $HCO_3^- + H^+$  (equation 6.2)  $H_2CO_3$  «-----»  $2H^+ + CO_3^{2-}$  (equation 6.3)

This acid could therefore make a contribution to the electrical responses of the test species. Carbonic acid can, in turn, combine with strong cations to form, for example, sodium carbonate. As conductivity is strongly

dependent on salt concentration (Richards <u>et al</u>., 1978) this might be another primary contributor to the electrical signal.

 $CO_2$  can also combine directly with the NH₂ groups of proteins forming carbamino compounds which could decrease the buffering capacity of the system. Furthermore,  $CO_2$ dissolving directly from the air into impedimetric media may cause the electrical signal to drift. Alternatively  $CO_2$  can remain in culture media in simple solution, which is non ionised and therefore would not influence the electrical responses. The relative contribution to the electrical responses of test species of each of these factors could not be determined by chromatographic or spectrophotometric means.

It is also possible that a number of different acids, which were present at too low concentrations to be detected by the chromatographic technique used in this work, may make minor contributions to the electrical signals of the test species. Examples might include the small amounts of isobutyric (at approximately  $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ g dm}^{-3}$ ) and isovaleric acids (at approximately  $0.15 \text{ g dm}^{-3}$ ) produced by <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from glucose under aerobic conditions (Pine <u>et al</u>., 1989). The chromatographic technique used (see 2.13) lacked sensitivity in comparison to the spectrophotometric assay for lactic acid (see 2.11). The latter protocol permitted the detection of a 0.195 g dm⁻³ standard solution of L-lactic acid (see Table 6.1). However, as the minimum concentration of L-lactic acid detectable by the

chromatographic technique was 2.25 g dm⁻³, this procedure could not have been used to detect the lactic acid produced by any of the three test species after 24 h culture (see Table 6.1). The limits of detection of other acid products of metabolism were also possibly too high to be of value in this research.

Other more sensitive chromatographic techniques involving extraction and derivatisation of organic acids were evaluated for use. Detection by both flame ionisation (Paquot, 1979; Darbre, 1977) and electron capture (Alley et al., 1979; Brooks et al., 1974; Darbre, 1977; Daneshvar et al., 1989; Pine et al., 1989) was attempted. However a number of problems were encountered in this work: The electron capture technique was non quantitative, lactic acid was difficult to extract, and the chromatographic spectra of timed samples from the test species did not seem to correlate. Similarly, lactic acid could not be extracted for quantification by the flame ionisation technique (I Hancock, unpublished work).

It was concluded that the determination of the conditions required for impedimetric detection of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could not be achieved in the time available in this study. This was due to the complex nature of the conditions themselves and insensitivity of the available detection techniques.

#### **CHAPTER 7**

## OVERALL DISCUSSION

Two selective impedimetric regimens for the detection of Listeria spp. have been developed in this work. Firstly a quantitative impedimetric assay using 21 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 20 mg  $dm^{-3}$  moxalactam supplemented GNB which is capable of detecting L. monocytogenes at concentrations greater than  $10^2$  CFU g⁻¹ cheese within 36 h (see Chapter 5). Secondly, a two stage detection regimen using 30 mg dm⁻³ proflavine hydrochloride and 30 mg dm⁻³ moxalactam supplemented GNB. This latter procedure involves preenrichnent prior to the impedimetric assay and permits detection of the target organism at concentrations as low as 10 CFU per 25 g of cheese within 60 h (see Chapter 5). Preliminary data indicate that L-lactic acid and ammonium ions are the metabolites likely to be responsible for the electrical responses of L. monocytogenes (see Chapter 6).

# 7.1 Critical Evaluation of the Technique Developed.

A number of factors capable of affecting the sensitivity of participating microorganisms and the effectiveness of the toxic agent(s) in the selective impedimetric assay for L. monocytogenes will be discussed further.

7.1.1 Effect of pre-assay conditions.

Among the most important pretreatment influences are the source of the organism, the composition of its growth medium and its mode of growth, for example continuous or batch culture. Additionally the pH of the growth medium and the oxygen concentration and temperature to which the organism was exposed prior to the assay can affect the phospholipid content of its cell wall and hence sensitivity to antimicrobial agents. Water activity is also important, dried bacteria being more resistant than liquid cultures (Russell <u>et al.</u>, 1982).

As discussed in Chapter 5, organisms from processed foodstuffs can possess injuries that render them more sensitive to hostile treatments (Gilbert, 1984; Russell, 1984). When organisms have been sublethally injured, the use of toxic agents can delay or inhibit multiplication (Mossel & van Netten, 1984); pretreatment with chemical agents, for example preservatives, can therefore influence the behaviour of an organism in a subsequent assay. Surface active agents and chelating agents can increase the permeability of the cell membrane and hence allow greater access of antimicrobial agents into the cytoplasm (Russell et al., 1982). Any treatment that induces osmotically fragile forms of bacteria reduces their resistance. Examples of such damaged cells include spheroplasts (cells that have some cell wall left), protoplasts (cells with no cell wall), and mureinoplasts (Gram negative cells that have lost their outer

lipoprotein and lipopolysaccaride layers) (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982).

As with all microbiological quality assessment techniques, it is clear that appropriate sampling handling techniques must be applied prior to the assay if the results are to have any validity.

7.1.2 Effect of assay conditions.

During the course of the assay itself a number of factors can influence the interaction between microorganism and toxic agent. For example, the environmental variables of temperature, pH, gaseous atmosphere and concentration of sodium chloride have been demonstrated to have an interdependent effect on the antimicrobial activity of sodium nitrate against L. monocytogenes (Buchanan et al., 1989). Changing these parameters alters the rate of access of the toxic agent to its site of action by permeation, adsorption or diffusion (Kostenbauder, 1983). Whilst Kostenbauder also commented that the temperature dependency of antimicrobial agents is very complex, Russell et al. (1982) observed that generally activity increases with increasing temperature. For example, moxalactam is more inhibitory to L. monocytogenes at 36 °C than at 30 °C (Curtis et al., 1989b).

In this work temperature, pH and concentration of sodium chloride were optimised for the most active metabolism and therefore most rapid detection of the target species and the concentration of toxic agents were adjusted to create a suitable selective spectrum. However,

antimicrobial agents which are more effective when ionised, such as the acridines, are susceptible to small pH changes and their activity is enhanced as the pH increases (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982; Kostenbauder, 1983). During enrichment of food samples it was noticed that the pH decreased with time (see Tables 5.6  $\leq$  5.9). This would have the effect of decreasing both the toxicity of the selective agent, proflavine hydrochloride, and the rate of metabolism of the target species, <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. In order to counteract these effects the pH of stomached cheese suspensions had to be returned to pH 7.2 prior to inoculation with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (see 2.8).

Adak <u>et al</u>. (1987b) found the carbon source affected susceptibility of microorganisms to toxic agents. Substrate limitation could have a detrimental effect on the growth of a target organism in a poor nutritional environment or where there are high numbers of competitive microflora. To avoid this problem, GNB, which itself is rich in nutrients, was further supplemented with glucose for the impedimetric assay developed in this work. It was shown that the target organism was capable of reaching its threshold level for detection in a variety of mixed cultures in this medium (Chapter 4 & 5).

As discussed in Chapter 4, the varying responses of species to individual antimicrobial agents results from inherent cytological and biochemical differences. Furthermore, as discussed in Chapter 5, the presence of a mixed flora, such as in foodstuffs, can significantly influence the antimicrobial effects of a medium as
competing microorganisms themselves may produce inhibitory substances. Access of a toxic agent to its target receptors could prove difficult if organisms were trapped within a food matrix. Solid foodstuffs are therefore routinely subjected to homogenisation prior to the selective detection of their microflora. In this work stomaching for one minute was found to be the most satisfactory method for homogenising cheese suspensions spiked with <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Chapter 5).

The toxicity of a selective medium is a compromise between the inhibition of all non target species and the inhibition of the target species. If selective agents are present at too high a concentration, growth of the target species may be prevented and hence detection would not occur. Alternatively, if they are at too low a concentration, the medium would lack enough selectivity to differentiate the target organism from a mixed microflora. In this work it was found that to ensure detection of all strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> the detection of <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> could not be avoided (see 4.2.9). Although the latter two <u>Listeria</u> spp. are not recognised as human pathogens, they have no place in the food processing environment and therefore indicate process failure (Mossel, 1989).

Mossel (1986) commented that no selective medium will allow the exclusive growth of all target species for which it was designed, as in any mixed culture some non target cells will have become resistant through spontaneous mutation to the selective agent(s). Even though the false

positive detection of <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> could not be avoided with the detection regimen at this stage of its development, most importantly false negative results were not encountered. A number of suggestions for further work to improve the selectivity of the impedimetric medium are discussed later in this chapter (see 7.5.1).

Combinations of selective agents are often used where a spectrum of organisms is present with different resistances to single selective agents (Kostenbauder, 1983). Selective agents can react together in different ways: those acting additively are no more or less effective in combination than they are separately, while the effectiveness of individual agents is increased with synergistic combinations and reduced with antagonistic ones (Berenbaum, 1978). The two selective agents used in the impedimetric medium, proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam, were found to act additively but minimally against the target species allowing its detection (I. Hancock, unpublished work). As the concentration of antimicrobial agents used prevented non target species from producing detection curves within the time course of the assay, whether the effect of combining the two agents was other than additive in these species could not be determined. This could form the basis for further work since a synergistic response would allow a lower concentration of one or both of the agents to be used and thus increase the speed of the assay.

Antimicrobial activity is dependent on the active agent to cell number ratio, a balance being required to

ensure saturation of the receptors in susceptible organisms. For an adequate level of antimicrobial agent to be maintained free in solution allowance must be made for its depletion. If the rate of depletion of a selective agent is known, an effective initial concentration can be calculated (Kostenbauder, 1983). The causes of depletion include interaction with target and non target species, metabolism by target and non target species, volatilisation and chemical decomposition (Kostenbauder, 1983). The latter is a possible reason for the loss of activity observed when moxalactam was stored in solution for a period of time (I. Hancock, unpublished work).

Partitioning between layers, for example between the oil and water phases of a foodstuff such as cream, will reduce contact between microorganisms in the aqueous phase and hydrophobic antimicrobial agents (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982). For the selective toxicity of the impedimetric medium to be maintained the selective agents have to remain in aqueous solution in contact with both target and non target organisms. This was the main reason for the discontinued use of hydrophobic phenylethanol (see 4.2.5). Both agents employed in the developed impedimetric medium, proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam, are hydrophilic.

Antimicrobial agents can bind non specifically with organic matter (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982; Cordier <u>et al</u>., 1989). As discussed in Chapter 5, such interactions could explain the requirement for increased concentrations of selective agents during enrichment for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from cheese suspensions (Chapter 5). In addition, many

other substances may also interfere with the action of antimicrobial agents: Non ionic surfactants can effectively neutralise antimicrobial agents by removing them from the aqueous phase during the formation of micelles (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982); certain solvents interfere with the activity of toxins (Adak <u>et al</u>., 1987b); cationic metal ions can increase or decrease the activity of some antimicrobial agents (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982). The use of solvents except water, surfactants and metal ions was therefore avoided as much as possible in this work.

# 7.2 Applicability of the Developed Selective Impedimetric Assay.

The selective impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> developed in this work has been shown to function well with mixed cultures of test species (Chapter 4) and spiked cheese suspensions (Chapter 5). Under the latter conditions the assay has been shown to be able to detect <u>L. monocytogenes</u> at concentrations as low as 10 CFU per 25 g of cheese and is capable of enumerating levels of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> detectable by direct plating via a correlation graph. However, because of their complex and variable composition, this assay may not be directly applicable to other foodstuffs. The procedure would therefore require individual evaluation for different foodstuffs and separate correlation graphs of DT and TVC would be needed for enumeration.

In addition, before commercial use, the assay would

require validating in an industrial context. Unbiased reference (target) values would need to be obtained from food plants which use microbiological safety assurance, such as the Wilson Triad and HACCP (discussed in Section 1.4.1). Similarly reference values would need to be obtained for samples that had been abused. It is important that both sets of reference values are measured under identical experimental conditions, otherwise conflicts of results could arise (Mossel, 1986).

### 7.3 Comparison of the Selective Impedimetric Assay with Other Methods of Determining the Effectiveness of Toxic Agents.

Provided one can make the assumption that toxic agents delay or prevent impedimetric responses by inhibiting metabolism and growth rather than by affecting the products of metabolism, then impedance microbiology can be used to evaluate the suitability of such agents.

Impedance microbiology can be argued to be more efficient than traditional microbiological methods such as plate counts and spectrophotometric assays in determining the effectiveness of toxic agents. The use of plate counts necessitates the activity of the toxic agent to be terminated at the end of a treatment period in order to allow growth of the test organism. The separation of the cells from the active toxic agent can be accomplished in a number of ways. For example, filtering prior to transfer to a solid enumeration medium. Alternatively an antidote may be applied, but evaluation of the products of

neutralisation, which themselves must be non toxic to the target organism, can be complicated. The use of dilutions to reduce the antimicrobial agent to sub-inhibitory levels prior to enumeration has associated mathematical errors. Furthermore the diluents themselves require careful choice as some inhibit microorganisms (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982). Chemical agents with intracellular receptor sites cannot be removed by these procedures, but merely further adsorption prevented. In such cases, therefore, the damaging reactions still continue (Russell <u>et al</u>., 1982; Gilbert, 1984).

Unless a predetermined graph of TVC versus absorbance is available, spectrophotometric assays only provide a qualitative indication of microbial sensitivity to toxic agents. Furthermore, the intrinsic problems described above in determining the TVC in the presence of a selective agent still cannot be avoided.

Impedimetric microbiology has an advantage over these traditional techniques as quantitative DT's are obtained. The DT's in the absence and presence of a particular agent are compared; any delay in the latter being attributed to the antimicrobial activity of the agent slowing microbial metabolism and hence production of detectable end products. Impedance measurements are extremely sensitive, such that within an experiment a 0.1 to 0.2 h difference in DT caused by a toxic agent is measurable and can be readily correlated to the concentration of the toxic agent. Furthermore, the changes in DT are reproducible and can be used to establish an agent's selective spectrum

against a range of test species. It can be argued therefore that the selective spectra generated by impedance technology are more quantitative and precise than data obtained via traditional microbiological methods. Furthermore this procedure avoids the problem of separating the toxic agent from the cells at the end of the treatment period. The impedimetric method is therefore ideally suited to the screening of toxic agents. The only drawback of this technology is the importance of the curve morphology and any toxic agent found to hinder electrical responses must be precluded from use. Fortunately, however, the agents used in the developed selective impedimetric assay for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>, proflavine hydrochloride and moxalactam, were found not to effect the electrical curves.

# 7.4 Comparison of the Selective Impedimetric Assay with other Rapid Methods of Detecting L. monocytogenes.

The impedimetric assay developed in this work compares favourably with other currently available rapid techniques. The preparation of samples is as simple as in traditional microbiology whereas other rapid methods, such as flow cytometry and DNA hybridisation, are more labour intensive and complex (Sharpe, 1986; Eley, 1990).

A total of 60 h (24 h enrichment followed by 36 h selective impedimetric assay) was required for the two stage detection procedure reported in this work. DNA hybridisation and the ELISA test both required a total of

51 h (48 h enrichment followed by a 3 h assay) (Dever <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, 1993; Url <u>et al</u>., 1993). However, as discussed later in this chapter (see 7.5.2), there are a number of ways in which the time course of the impedimetric assay might be reduced.

Several authors have compared the reliability of a number of the currently available methods for detecting <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. For example, Heisick <u>et al</u>. (1989b) observed that cultural methods detected the highest proportion of positive samples, followed by the ELISA procedure and then DNA hybridisation. However, Ralovich (1993) found the ELISA procedure to be more sensitive than cultural methods. While high levels of false negative results have been reported for all of these methods (Ralovich, 1993; Url <u>et al</u>., 1993), no false negatives were encountered with the impedimetric assay developed in this work.

Most rapid methods of detecting <u>L. monocytogenes</u> are insensitive to low numbers of cells. For example, Dever <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. (1993) found the detection limits for pure cultures to be  $10^{6}$  CFU cm⁻³ with an ELISA protocol. Similar limits of detection were observed by Ralovich (1993) using a chemiluminescent DNA probe specific for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. The use of PCR has enabled lower concentrations of cells to be detected, but here the sensitivity of the assay was still limited to between  $10^{4}$  to  $10^{5}$  CFU cm⁻³ (Wernars <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1991; Ralovich, 1993). While immunomagnetic separation holds promise for reducing the overall assay time, this procedure can only detect a minimum of

2 x  $10^2$  cells cm⁻³ after a enrichment step. Furthermore, problems have been reported with the recognition of some serotypes of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> and high rates of non specific binding have been recorded (Skjerve <u>et al.</u>, 1990; Ralovich, 1993). When used in conjunction with a enrichment step, the impedimetric assay developed in this work, however, permits the detection of concentrations as low as 10 CFU per 25 g of cheese. This detection regimen is able to detect a range of serotypes of the target organism from a variety of mixed cultures and from different types of cheese (see Chapters 4 & 5).

The initial cost of the Bactometer, at approximately £35,000, is high in comparison with the equipment required for traditional methods and some other rapid methods. However it has been reported that due to savings in materials and labour the instrument is capable of covering its own cost within three years (bioMérieux, 1991).

### 7.5 Suggestions for Further Work.

A number of approaches might be used in an attempt to improve the developed impedimetric regimen.

### 7.5.1 Strategies to improve the selectivity of the impedimetric assay

To increase the selectivity of the assay, finely divided calcium carbonate could be incorporated into GNB to neutralise the acids produced as a result of microbial metabolism. This modification, which was used by Stanier et al. (1986a) in a plating medium, might increase the

buffering capacity of the medium and hence maintain the pH longer at an optimal level for both the metabolism of the target species and the activity of charged proflavine hydrochloride.

As the use of antisera against <u>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</u> was found to delay the DT of this organism by 20 h (Cady, 1975), the selectivity of the assay might be enhanced by the addition of specific antisera against <u>L. innocua</u> and <u>L. welshimeri</u> to preclude their detection.

Selection for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> might also be improved by reducing the temperature of the assay to a level selective for psychrotrophic organisms. The use of low incubation temperatures might enable the sole detection of haemolytic strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> (Junttila <u>et al</u>., 1988), although this would clearly have the effect of increasing the assay time and thus making the method less useful to the food industry. Adaption of the impedimetric method of Cady (1975) to detect charged haemoglobin, bicarbonate and inorganic salts released from haemolysed red blood cells, could also be used to select for virulent strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

7.5.2 Strategies to improve the rapidity of the impedimetric assay.

In order to improve the rapidity of the assay the supplementation of GNB with additional growth factors for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could be investigated. Possible examples include iron (Kramer & Jones, 1969; Cowart & Foster, 1985; Shelef, 1989), glutamine (Kramer & Jones, 1969), serum and

blood (Leighton, 1985). Methods of increasing carbon dioxide concentrations in the reaction wells could be examined as this gas stimulates the growth of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>. Examples include the use of the oxyrase enzyme (Yu & Fung, 1992) or the addition of a layer of sterile paraffin over the impedimetric medium.

The constituents of GNB could also be adjusted to increase the concentration of the precursors of the main ionic products required for electrical detection (see Chapter 6). This might have the additional effect of improving curve morphology.

As extensive dilution of enrichment culture was required in the two stage detection regimen (Chapter 5), the dilution of the inoculum from the enrichment culture and the concentration of selective agents in the impedimetric medium could both be adjusted to set the cut off time for a positive detection at 10 h instead of 36 h. Alternatively, larger (for example 500 cm³) impedimetric reaction wells could be manufactured and therefore increase the sensitivity of the assay without the need for enrichment.

7.5.3 Strategies to improve the recovery of the target species from foodstuffs prior to the impedimetric assay.

Work could also be undertaken to increase the recovery of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from food samples using physical methods prior to the detection regimen. For example, sterile sand could be employed to aid disruption of solid food samples (Dickson, 1990b). To increase the recovery of

L. monocytogenes during stomaching a range of diluents could be tested as some have been found to be more effective than others (Yousef <u>et al</u>., 1988; Dickson, 1990b). Diluents supplemented with potassium hydroxide (Lovett <u>et al</u>., 1987) and at a temperature of 40 °C instead of 20 °C (Yousef <u>et al</u>., 1988) have been observed to be most useful.

Alternative recovery methods might make use of partition coefficients, as <u>L. monocytogenes</u> is found in the water phase rather than the lipid phase (Olsen <u>et al</u>., 1988). Dominguez <u>et al</u>. (1989) reported a method based on centrifugation to increase the recovery of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from milk. Using this technique the target organism could be concentrated in cream and sediment layers. A microfilter technique could also be used to concentrate low levels of target organisms prior to an impedimetric assay (Cady, 1975).

The target organism might also be extracted and concentrated directly from food homogenates, resuscitation media or enrichment broths using an immunomagnetic separation technique developed by Skjerve <u>et al</u>. (1990). Providing current problems with non specific binding were overcome, this procedure could be used to retrieve <u>L. monocytogenes</u> when at concentrations greater than  $2 \times 10^2$  cells cm⁻³ from heterogeneous mixed cultures and then the immunomagnetic beads added directly as inoculum into the Bactometer wells.

7.5.4 Strategies to develop confirmatory tests for <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

Confirmatory tests for <u>L. monocytogenes</u> could be included in the impedimetric assay itself. For example the addition of a <u>L. monocytogenes</u> specific phage should delay the DT of a positive sample compared to a control well lacking a phage. This strategy was used by Pugh <u>et al</u>. (1988) for the detection of salmonellas.

Similarly adaptation of the <u>Strep. pyogenes</u> detection technique of Cady (1975) may allow the identification of pathogenic <u>L. monocytogenes</u> in a blood enriched impedimetric medium by lack of haemolysis in a duplicate well containing antiserum against haemolysin. Use might also be made of the possible correlation of <u>L. monocytogenes</u> haemolytic ability with sensitivity to nisin (Mohamed <u>et al.</u>, 1984).

Alternatively samples could be removed from the reaction wells when the DT was reached and transferred to a traditional plating medium such as McBride Listeria agar. This would enable differentiation of <u>L. innocua</u>, <u>L. welshimeri</u> and non haemolytic <u>L. monocytogenes</u> from haemolytic strains of <u>L. monocytogenes</u>.

#### REFERENCES

- Adak G.K., Corry J.E.L. & Moss M.O. (1987a). Use of impedimetry to detect trichothecene mycotoxins. 1 Screen for susceptible organisms. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 5, 1-13.
- Adak G.K., Corry J.E.L. & Moss M.O. (1987b). Use of impedimetry to detect trichothecene mycotoxins. 2 Limits of sensitivity of four microorganisms to T-2 toxin and the effect of solvent and test medium. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 5, 15-27.
- Adams B. & Roper T. (1990). Healthcare data briefing -Hysteria about <u>Listeria</u>. The Health Service J., 3rd. May 1990, P. 663.
- Ahamad N. & Marth E.H. (1990). Acid injury of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u>. J. of Food Prot., 53, 26-29.
- Albert A. (1944). Cationic chemotherapy, with special reference to the acridines. The Med. J. of Aust., 1, 245-248.
- Albert A., Rubbo S.D., Goldacre R.J., Davey M.E. & Stone J.D. (1945). The influence of chemical constitution on antibacterial activity. Part II: A general survey of the acridine series. British J. of Experimental Pathol., 26, 160-192.
- Albert A. & Goldacre R. (1948). Mode of action of acridine antibacterials. Nature, 161, Jan. 17, 95.
- Albert A. (1965). Selective Toxicology, 3rd. Ed., Chapman & Hall, London.
- Albert A. (1985). Selective Toxicology The physiological basis of therapy, 7th. Ed., Chaplin & Hall, New York.
- Al-Ghazali M.R. & Al-Azawi S.K. (1990). <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> contamination of crops grown on soil treated with sewage sludge cake. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., **69**, 642-647.
- Alley C.C., Brooks J.B. & Kellog D.S.Jr. (1979). Electron capture gas liquid chromatographic mass spectral identification of acids produced by <u>Neisseria</u> <u>meningitidis</u> in a defined medium. J. of Clin. Microbiol., 9, 97-102.

- Allison J.B. & Bird W.C. (1964). Elimination of nitrogen from the body. In Book: Mammalian Protein Metabolism, Vol. 1 (Eds.) Munro H.N. & Allison J.B., Academic Press, New York and London.
- Alvarez-Dominguez C., Carrasco-Marin E. & Leyva-Cobian F. (1993). Role of complement component Clq in phagocytosis of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> by murine macrophage-like cell lines. Infect. & Immun., 61, 3664-3672.
- Archer D.L. & Young F.E. (1988). Contemporary issues: diseases with a food vector. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 1, 377-398.
- Arihara K., Ogihara S., Sakara J., Itoh M. & Kondo Y. (1991). Antimicrobial activity of <u>Enterococcus</u> <u>faecalis</u> against <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 13, 190-192.
- Arnott, M.L., Gutteridge, C.S., Pugh, S.J. & Griffiths J.L. (1988) Detection of salmonellas in confectionery products by conductance. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., 64, 409-420.
- Audurier A., Chatelain R., Chalons F. & Piéchaud M. (1979). Lysotypie de 823 souches de <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> isolees en France de 1958 a 1978. Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **130B**, 179-189.
- Baggerman W.E. & Koster T. (1992). A comparison of enrichment and membrane filtration methods for the isolation of campylobacter from fresh and frozen foods. Food Microbiol., 9, 87-94.
- Bannerman E.S. & Bille J. (1988). A new selective medium for isolating <u>Listeria</u> spp. from heavily contaminated material. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., **54**, 165-167.
- Barbuti S., Maggi A. & Casoli C. (1992). Antibiotic resistance in strains of <u>Listeria</u> spp. from meat products. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 15, 56-58.
- Barclay R., Threlfall D.R. & Leighton I. (1989a). Haemolysins and extracellular enzymes of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> and <u>L. ivanovii</u>. J. Med. Microbiol., **30**, 111-118.
- Barclay R., Threlfall D.R. & Leighton I. (1989b). Separation and properties of the haemolysins and extracellular enzymes of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and <u>L. ivanovii</u>. J. Med. Microbiol., **30**, 119-127.
- Bauman H.E. (1974). The HACCP concept and microbiological hazard categories. Food Tech., 28, 30-34 & 74.

- Beckers H.J. et al. (1989). The occurrence of <u>Listeria</u> in food. In: Foodborne Listeriosis: Proceedings of a Symposium, 7th September 1988, Wiesbaden, FRG, pp. 85-97.
- Beerens H. & Tahon-Castel M.M. (1966). Milieu a l'acide naladixique pour l'isolement des streptocoques, D. pneumoniae, <u>Listeria</u>, <u>Erysipelothrix</u>. Ann. Inst. Pasteur, 111, 90-93.
- Benedict R.C. (1990). Listeria monocytogenes: physiology and metabolism. In: Foodborne Listeriosis (Eds.) Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkuti G.A., Elsevier, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, pp. 13-24.
- Berche P. Gaillard J.L., Sansonetti P., Geoffroy C. & Alouf J.E. (1987). Towards a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of intracellular growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Annal. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **138**, 242-246.
- Berenbaum M.C. (1978). A method for testing for synergy with any number of agents. The J. of Infectious Dis., 137, 122-130.
- Bessesen M.T., Luo Q., Rotbart H.A., Blaser M.J. & Ellison III R.T. (1990). Detection of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> by using the polymerase chain reaction. App. & Environ. Microbiol., **56**, 2930-2932.
- Best M., Kennedy M.E. & Coates F. (1990). Efficacy of a variety of disinfectants against <u>Listeria</u> spp. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 56, 377-380.
- Beuchat L.R., Berrang M.E. & Brackett R.E. (1990). Presence and public health implications of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> on vegetables. In: Foodborne Listeriosis (Eds.) Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkuti G.A., Elsevier, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, pp. 175-181.
- bioMérieux (1991). Microbiology update. Summer Issue. Grafton House, Grafton Way, Basingstoke.
- bioMérieux (1992a). Microbiology update. Spring Issue. Grafton House, Grafton Way, Basingstoke.
- bioMérieux (1992b). Api <u>Listeria</u> Data Sheet. Grafton House, Grafton Way, Basingstoke.
- bioMérieux (1992c). Api 20 Strep Data Sheet. Grafton House, Grafton Way, Basingstoke.
- Bolton F.J. (1990). An investigation of indirect conductimetry for detection of some foodborne bacteria. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., **69**, 655-661.

- Bortolussi R., Vandenbroucke-Grauls C.M.J.E., van Asbeck B.S. & Verhoef J. (1987). Relationship of bacterial growth phase to killing of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> by oxidative agents generated by neutrophils and enzyme systems. Infect. & Immun., **55**, 3197-3203.
- Bossuyt R.G. & Waes G.M. (1983). Impedance measurement to detect post pasteurisation contamination of pasteurised milk. J. of Food Prot., 46, 622-624.
- Brackett R.E. & Beuchat L.R. (1989). Methods and media for the isolation and cultivation of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> from various foods. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 219-223.
- British Standard 4285 (1992). Screening method using electrical conductance. Subsection 3.9.2.
- Brooks J.B., Alley C.C. & Liddle J.A. (1974). Simultaneous esterification of carboxyl and hydroxyl groups with alcohols and heptafluorobutyric anhydride for analysis by gas chromatography. Anal. Chem., 46, 1930-1934.
- Buchanan R.E., Gibbons N.E., Cowan S.T., Holt J.G., Liston J., Murray R.G.E., Niven C.F., Ravin A.W. & Stanier R.Y. (1974). Bergy's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore.
- Buchanan R.L., Stahl H.G. & Archer D.L. (1987). Improved plating media for simplified, quantitative detection of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in foods. Food Microbiol., 4, 269-275.
- Buchanan R.L., Stahl H.G. & Whiting R.C. (1989). Effects and interactions of temperature, pH, atmosphere, sodium chloride, and sodium nitrite on the growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. J. of Food Prot., **52**, 844-851.
- Burn C.G. (1936). Clinical and pathological features of an infection caused by a new pathogen of the genus Listerella. Am. J. of Pathol., 12, 341-348.
- Cady P. (1975). Rapid automated bacterial identification by impedance measurement. In: New Approaches to the Identification of Microorganisms (Eds.) Heyden C.G. & Illéni T., John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, Canada.
- Cady P., Dufour S.W., Shaw J. & Kraeger S.J. (1978). Electrical impedance measurements: Rapid method for detecting and monitoring microorganisms. J. of Clin. Microbiol., 7, 265-272.
- Carlsson J. (1973). Simplified gas chromatographic procedure for identification of bacterial metabolic products. Appl. Microbiol., 25, 287-289.

- Carminati D., Giraffa G. & Bossi M.G. (1988). Bacteriocin like inhibitors of <u>Streptococcus lactis</u> against <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. J. of Food Prot., **52**, 614-617.
- Cassiday P.K., Brackett R.E. & Beuchat L.R. (1989). Evaluation of three newly developed direct plating media to enumerate <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in foods. Appl. & Environ. Micro., **55**, 1645-1648.
- Chapman G. (1980). Carriage of carbon dioxide. In: The body fluids and their functions, 2nd. Ed., Studies in Biology No. 8, Edward Arnold, London.
- Chopra I. & Ball P. (1982). Transport of antibiotics into bacteria. Advances in Microbial Physiol., 23, 184-240.
- Cluff C.W. & Ziegler H.K. (1987). Inhibition of macrophage mediated antigen presentation by haemolysin producing <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. The J. of Immunology, **139**, 3808-3812.
- Coleman W.W. (1986). Controlling <u>Listeria</u> hysteria in your plant. Dairy & Food Sanitation, 6, 555-557.
- Collins-Thompson D.L. & Allen B.O. (1986). Methodology and microbiological criteria. In: Foodborne Microorganisms and their toxins: developing methodology (Eds.) Pierson M.D. & Stern N.J., Marcel Dekker Inc., New York & Basel, pp. 37-35.
- Connolly P., Lewis S.J. & Corry J.E.L. (1988). A medium for the detection of yeasts using a conductimetric method. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 7, 31-40.
- Coppola K. & Firstenberg-Eden R. (1988). Impedance based rapid method for detection of spoilage organisms in UHT low acid foods. J. of Food Sci., 53, 1521-1524 & 1527.
- Cordier J.L., Putallaz T. & Cox L.J. (1989). Impedimetric determination of activity of disinfectants and detergents on <u>Listeria</u>: Preliminary study. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 293-297.
- Cossar J.D., Blake-Coleman B.C., Ramsay C. & Atkinson T.A. (1990). Oscillometric instrument for the non invasive detection of low level microbial activity. Part 2: Growth characteristics, detection limits and impedimetric assays. Biosensors & Bioelectronics, 5, 273-289.
- COSHH Assessments (1988). Control of substances hazardous to health, Regulations 1988, H.M.S.O., Great Britain, Health & Safety Executive.

- Cotoni L. (1942). A propos des bactéries dénommées <u>Listerella</u> rappel d'une observation ancienne de méningite chez l'homme. Ann. Inst. Pasteur, **68**, 92-95.
- Cowart R.E. & Foster B.G. (1985). Differential effects of iron on the growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>: minimum requirements and mechanism of acquisition. The J. of Infectious Dis., **151**, 721-730.
- Cowart R.E. (1987). Iron regulation of growth and haemolysin production by <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **138**, 246-249.
- Curtis G.D.W., Mitchell R.G., King A.F. & Emma J. (1989a). A selective differential medium for the isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 8, 95-98.
- Curtis G.D.W., Nichols W.W. & Falla T.J. (1989b). Selective agents for <u>Listeria</u> can inhibit their growth. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 8, 169-172.
- Dale L.D. & Edwards D.I. (1989). Determination by conductance of the cytotoxic effects of bioreductive antimicrobial and radiosensitising agents. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 53-56.
- Daneshvar M.I., Brooks J.B., Malcolm G.B. & Pine L. (1989). Analyses of fermentation products of <u>Listeria</u> species by frequency pulsed electron capture gas liquid chromatography. Can. J. Microbiol., 35, 786-793.
- Darbre A. (1977). Esterification. Handbook of Derivatives for Chromatography, (Eds.) Blau K. & King G.S., Heyden Publishers, London, pp. 39-103.
- Datta A.R., Wentz B.A. & Hill W.E. (1988). Identification and enumeration of beta-haemolytic <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in naturally contaminated dairy products. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., **71**, 673-675.
- Datta A.R. & Wentz B.A. (1989). Identification and enumeration of virulent <u>Listeria</u> strains. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 259-264.
- Davies J. (1992). Food Fact File. Published by BBC Education, London.
- Davies L. (1993). Quality control. What is BS5750 ?. British Dental J., 174, 298-299.
- Department of Health (1989). Advice to vulnerable groups on paté stands, Press Release, 24 August.

- DePasquale D., Kahn P. & Firstenberg-Eden R. (1985). Impedimetric determination of antimicrobial preservative efficiency : An automated challenge test. Presented at the 1985 Annu. Meet. of the Amer. Soc. for Microbiol., Las Vegas, Nevada, Bactomatic Inc., PO Box 3103, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Dever F.P., Schaffner D.W. & Slade P.J. (1993). Methods for the detection of foodborne Listeria monocytogenes in the US. J. of Food Safety, 13, 263-292
- Dickson J.S. (1990a). Transfer of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u> between beef tissue surfaces. J. of Food Prot., **53**, 51-55.
- Dickson J.S. (1990b). Comparison of homogenisation by blending or stomaching on the recovery of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from beef tissues. J. of Food Sci., 55, 655-657.
- Dirksen J. & Flagg P. (1988). Pathogenic organisms in dairy products, cause, effects and control. Food Sci. & Tech. Today, 2, 41-43.
- Dominguez L.R., Suarez G.F., Fernandez-Garayzabal J.F. & Rodriguez E.F. (1984). New methodology for the isolation of <u>Listeria</u> microorganisms from heavily contaminated environments. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., **47**, 1188-1190.
- Dominguez L., Briones V., Blanco M., Fernandez-Garayzabal J.F., Blanco J.L., Vazquez J.A. & Suarez G. (1989). Direct isolation (without enrichment) of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in milk. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, 36, 297-301.
- Donnelly C.W. & Briggs E.H. (1986). Psychrotrophic growth and thermal inactivation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> as a function of milk composition. J. of Food Prot., **49**, 994-998.
- Donnelly C.W. (1988). Historical perspectives on methodology to detect <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., **71**, 644-646.
- Donnelly C.W., Baigent G.J. & Briggs E.H. (1988) Flow cytometry for automated analysis of milk containing <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., 71, 655-658.
- Doyle M.P. & Schoeni J.L. (1986). Selective enrichment procedure for isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from faecal and biologic specimens. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., **51**, 1127-1129.

- Doyle M.P. (1988). Effect of environmental and processing conditions on <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Food Tech., **42**, 169-171.
- Drucker D.B. (1981). Microbial applications of gas chromatography. Arrowsmith Ltd., Bristol.
- Eley A. (1990). New rapid detection methods for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Listeria</u>. Food Safety - <u>Salmonella</u> & <u>Listeria</u>, 92, 28-31.
- Farber J.M., Johnston M.A., Purvis U. & Loit A. (1987). Surveillance of soft and semi-soft cheeses for the presence of <u>Listeria</u> spp. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 5, 157-163.
- Farber J.M., Sanders G.W., & Speirs J.I. (1988). Methodology for isolation of <u>Listeria</u> from foods - A Canadian perspective. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., 71, 675-678.
- Farber J.M. (1989a). Thermal resistance of Listeria monocytogenes in foods. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 285-291.
- Farber J.M. (1989b). Physical and chemical effects
   damaging Listeriae. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, 36,
   245-247.
- Farber J.M., Sanders G.W., & Johnson M.A. (1989a). A survey of various foods for the presence of <u>Listeria</u> spp. J. of Food Prot., 52, 456-458.
- Farber J.M., Sanders G.W., Dunfield S. & Prescott R. (1989b). The effect of various acidulants on the growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 181-183.
- Farber J.M. (1992). Prevention and control of foodborne listeriosis. Dairy Food & Environ. Sanitation, 12, 334-340.
- Farber J.M., Coates F. & Daley E. (1992). Minimum water activity requirements for the growth of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 15, 103-105.
- Farrag S.A. & Marth E.H. (1989). Growth of Listeria monocytogenes in the presence of Pseudomonas fluorescens at 7 or 13°C in skim milk. J. of Food Prot., 52, 852-855.
- Fernandez-Garayzabal J. & Genigeorgis C. (1990). Quantitative evaluation of three selective enrichment broths and agars used in recovering <u>Listeria</u> microorganisms. J. of Food Prot., 53, 105-110.

- Fiedler F. (1988). Biochemistry of the cell surface of Listeria strains: A locating general view. Infection, 16, S92-S97.
- Firstenberg-Eden R. (1983). Rapid estimation of the number of microorganisms in raw meat by impedance measurement. Food Tech., **37**, 64-70.
- Firstenberg-Eden R. & Eden G. (1984). Impedance Microbiology. Research Studies Press, England.
- Firstenberg-Eden R., van Size M.L., Zindulis J. & Kahn P. (1984). Impedimetric estimation of coliforms in dairy products. J. of Food Sci., 49, 1449-1452.
- Firstenberg-Eden R. & Zindulis J. (1984). Electrochemical changes in media due to microbial growth. J. of Microbiological Methods, 2, 103-115.
- Firstenberg-Eden R. (1986). Electrical impedance for determining microbial quality of foods. In: Foodborne Microorganisms and Their Toxins: Developing Methodology (Eds) Pierson M.D. & Stern N.J., Marcel Dekker Inc., New York & Basel. pp. 129-144.
- Flamm R.K., Hinrichs D.J. & Thomashow M.F. (1989). Cloning of a gene encoding a major secreted polypeptide of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and its potential use as a species specific probe. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 55, 2251-2256.
- Forsythe S.J. (1990). The rapid detection of direct acting DNA mutagens by electrical impedance with a DNA repair deficient strain of <u>Escherichia coli</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., **11**, 30-32.
- Fuchs R.S. & Surendran P.K. (1989). Incidence of <u>Listeria</u> in tropical fish and fishery products. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 49-51.
- Fuller R. (1989). A Review Probiotics in man and animals. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., 66, 365-378.
- Fung D.Y.C. (1992). New developments in rapid methods for food microbiology. Trends in Food Sci. & Tech., 3, 142-144.
- Gaillard J.L., Berche P., Mounier J., Richard S. & Sansonetti P. (1987a). In vitro model of penetration and intracellular growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in the human enterocyte like cell line Caco-2. Infect. & Immun., 55, 2822-2829.

- Gaillard J.L., Berche P., Mounier J., Richard S. & Sansonetti P. (1987b). Penetration of Listeria monocytogenes into the host: A crucial step of the infectious process. Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., 138, 259-264.
- Galsworthy S.B. (1987). Role of the cell surface in virulence of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **138**, 273-276.
- Galsworthy S.B & Fewster D. (1988). Comparison of responsiveness to the monocytosis producing activity of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in mice genetically susceptible or resistant to listeriosis. Infection, 16, 118-122.
- George S.M., Lund B.M. & Brocklehurst T.F. (1988). The effect of pH and temperature on initiation of growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 6, 153-156.
- Gibson A.M. (1987). Use of conductance measurements to detect growth of <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> in a selective medium. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 5, 19-21.
- Gibson A.M. (1988). Use of impedance measurements to estimate numbers of antibiotic resistant <u>Salmonella</u> strains. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., **6**, 89-92.
- Gill P. (1988). Is Listeriosis often a foodborne illness. J. of Infect., 17, 1-5.
- Gilbert P. (1984). The revival of microorganisms sublethaly injured by chemical inhibitors. In: The Society for Applied Bacteriology, Symposium Series No. 12. The Revival of Injured Microbes (Eds.) Andrew M.H.E. & Russell A.D., Academic Press Publishers, London, pp. 175-197.
- Gilbert, R.J., Hall, S.M., & Taylor A.G. (1989). Listeriosis Update. PHLS Microbiol. Digest, 6, 33-37.
- Gilman G.A., Goodman L., Rall T.W. & Murad F. (1985). In: Goodman & Gilman - The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 7th. Edition, Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, London.
- Glass K.A. & Doyle M.P. (1989). Fate of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in processed meat products during refrigerated storage. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 55, 1565-1569.
- Golden D.A., Beuchat L.R. & Brackett R.E. (1988a). Evaluation of selective direct plating media for their suitability to recover uninjured, heat injured, and freeze injured <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from foods. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 54, 1451-1456.

- Golden D.A., Beuchat L.R. & Brackett R.E. (1988b). Inactivation and injury of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> as affected by heating and freezing. Food Microbiol., 5, 17-23.
- Golden D.A., Brackett R.E. & Beuchat L.R. (1990). Efficacy
   of direct plating media for recovering Listeria
   monocytogenes from foods. Int. J. of Food Microbiol.,
   10, 143-155.
- Granum P.E. (1990). <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> toxins involved in food poisoning. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., **10**, 101-112.
- Gray M.L., Stafseth H.J., Thorp F.Jr., Sholl L.B., & Riley W.F. (1948). A new technique for isolating Listerellae from the bovine brain. J. of Bacteriol., 55, 471-476.
- Gray M.L., Stafseth H.J. & Thorp F.Jr. (1950). The use of potassium tellurite, sodium azide and acetic acid in a selective medium for the isolation of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u>. J. of Bacteriol., 59, 443-444.
- Greenwood M.H., Roberts D. & Burden P. (1991). The occurrence of <u>Listeria</u> species in milk and dairy products: a national survey in England and Wales. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 12, 197-206.
- Hadley W.K. & Yajko D.M. (1985). Detection of microorganisms and their metabolism by measurements of their electrical impedance. In: Instrumental Methods for Rapid Microbiological Analysis (Eds.) Nelson W.H., VCH Publishers Inc., Florida, US, pp. 193-209.
- Halligan A. (1992). Foodborne viruses. Nutrition & Food Sci., 23, 11-13.
- Hancock I., Bointon B.M. & McAthey P. (1993). Rapid detection of <u>Listeria</u> species by selective impedimetric assay. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 16, 311-314.
- Harris L.J., Daeschel M.A., Stiles M.E. & Klaenhammer T.R. (1989). Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria against <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. J. of Food Prot., 52, 384-387.
- Harrison M.A. & Carpenter S.L. (1989). Survival of large populations of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> on chicken breasts processed using moist heat. J. of Food Prot., 52, 376-378.
- Harvey P.C. & Farber J.E. (1941). Some biochemical reactions of the Listerella group. J. of Bacteriol., 41, 45-46.

- Heeschen W.H. (1987). Microbial safety assurance in the dairy industry: a model for the meat industry? In: Elimination of Pathogenic Organisms from Meat and Poultry (Ed.) F.J.M. Smulders, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 285-302.
- Heisick, J.E., Wagner, D.E., Nierman M.L. & Peeler J.T. (1989a). Listeria spp. found on fresh market produce. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 55, 1925-1927.
- Heisick J.E., Harrel F.M., Peterson E.H., Mclaughlin S., Wagner D.E., Wesley I.V. & Bryner J. (1989b). Comparison of four procedures to detect <u>Listeria</u> spp. in foods. J. of Food Prot., 52, 154-157.
- Henry B.S. (1933). Dissociation in the genus <u>Brucella</u>. J. of Inf. Dis., **52**, 374-402.
- Hird D.W. (1987). Review of evidence for zoonotic listeriosis. J. of Food Prot., 50, 429-433.
- Hof H. & Chatzipanagiotou S. (1987). The role of surface structures of <u>Listeria</u> spp. for pathogenicity. Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **138**, 268-273.
- Hogg G.M., Patterson M.F. & Barr J.G. (1987). Correlation between antibiotic sensitivity testing by conventional and conductivity measurements. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., 62, 189-195.
- Hoover D.G., Dishart K.J. & Hermes M.A. (1989). Antagonistic effect of <u>Pediococcus</u> spp. against <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Food Biotech., **3**, 183-186.
- Hurwitz J., Furth J.J., Malamy M. & Alexander M. (1962). The role of deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis, III. The inhibition of enzymatic synthesis of ribonucleic acid and deoxyribonucleic acid by actinomycin D and proflavine. Proc. Natl. Acad. of Sci., 48, 1222-1230.
- Jay J.M. (1992). Modern Food Microbiology, 4th. Edition. Van Nostrand Reinhold. New York.
- Johnson J.L., Doyle M.P. & Cassens R.G. (1990). <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and other <u>Listeria</u> spp. in meat and meat products, A review. J. of Food Prot., 53, 81-91.
- Jones D. (1989). Taxonomic position of <u>Listeria</u> strains. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **36**, 113-118.
- Junttila J.R., Niemelä S.I. & Hirn J. (1988). Minimum growth temperatures of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and non-haemolytic <u>Listeria</u>. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., **65**, 321-327.

- Kampelmacher E.H. & van Noorle Jansen L.M. (1980). Listeriosis in humans and animals in the Netherlands (1958 - 1977). Zentralblatt fur Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie und Hygiene, Series A, 246, 211-227.
- Kaplan A. (1965). Urea nitrogen and urinary ammonia. In: Standard Methods of Clinical Chemistry, Vol. 5 (Ed.) Meites S., Academic Press, New York, pp. 245-256.
- Kathariou S. & Pine L. (1990). Laboratory studies of virulence of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. In: Foodborne Listeriosis (Eds.) Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkuti G.A., Elsevier, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, pp. 55-60.
- Kerr K.G. & Lacey R.W. (1991). Isolation and identification of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. J. of Clin. Pathol., 44, 624-627.
- Kinderlerer J.L. & Lund B.M. (1992). Inhibition of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and <u>L. innocua</u> by hexanoic and octanoic acids. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 14, 271-274.
- Klinger J.D., Johnston A., Croan D., Flynn P., Whippie K., Kimball M., Lawrie J. & Curiale M. (1988). Comparative studies of nucleic acid hybridisation assay for <u>Listeria</u> in foods. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., 71, 669-673.
- Kostenbauder H.B. (1983). Physical factors influencing the activity of antimicrobial agents. In: Disinfection, Preservation and Sterilisation, 3rd Edition, (Ed.) Block S.S., Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, pp. 811-828.
- Kramer P.A. & Jones D. (1969). Media selective for Listeria monocytogenes. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., 32, 381-394.
- Kroll R.G. & Patchett R.A. (1992). Induced acid tolerance in Listeria monocytogenes. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 14, 224-227.
- Kuhn M. & Goebel W. (1989). Identification of an extracellular protein of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> possibly involved in intracellular uptake by mammalian cells. Infect. & Immun., 57, 55-61.
- Kuhn M., Prévost M., Mounier J. & Sansonetti P.J. (1990). A non virulent mutant of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> does not move intracellularly but still induces polymerisation of actin. Infect. & Immun., 58, 3477-3486.
- Kvenberg J.E. (1988) Outbreaks of Listeriosis / <u>Listeria</u> contaminated foods. Microbiological Sci., 5, 355-358.

- Lacey R.W. & Kerr K.G. (1989). Opinion Listeriosis the need for legislation. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 8, 121-122.
- Lacey R.W. (1993). Food-borne bacterial infections. Parasitology, 107, Suppl. S75-S93.
- Lachia R.V. (1990). Selective plating medium for quantitative recovery of foodborne Listeria monocytogenes. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 56, 167-169.
- Lammerding A.M. & Doyle M.P. (1989). Evaluation of enrichment procedures for recovering <u>Listeria</u> <u>monoctogenes</u> from dairy products. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 9, 249-268.
- Lammerding A.M. & Doyle M.P. (1990). Stability of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> to non-thermal processing conditions. In: Foodborne Listeriosis (Eds.) Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkuti G.A., Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, pp. 195-202.
- Lee W.H. & McLain D. (1986). Improved <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> selective agar. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., **52**, 1215-1217.
- Leighton I. (1979). Use of selective agents for the isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Med. Lab. Sci., **36**, 283-288.
- Leighton I. (1985). Listeriosis Epidemiology and Bacteriology. Oxoid Culture, 6, No. 2.
- Lemaire V., Cerf O. & Audurier A. (1989). Heat resistance of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **36**, 281-284.
- Lerman L.S. (1961). Structural considerations in the interaction of DNA and acridines. J. of Mol. Biol., 3, 18-30.
- Lietman P.S. (1981). Clinical evaluation of a new broad spectrum oxa-beta-lactam antibiotic, moxalactam, in neonates and infants. The J. of Paediatrics, 98, 129-136.
- Loessner M.J., Bell R.H., Jay J.M. & Shelef L.A. (1988). Comparison of seven plating media for enumeration of <u>Listeria</u> spp. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 54, 3003-3007.
- Lovett J., Francis D.W. & Hunt J.M. (1987). <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in raw milk: Detection, incidence and pathogenicity. J. of Food Prot., **50**, 188-192.

- Lovett J. (1988). Isolation and identification of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in dairy products. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., **71**, 658-660.
- Lovett J. (1990). Taxonomy and general characteristics of <u>Listeria</u> spp. In: Foodborne Listeriosis (Eds.) Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkuti G.A., Elsevier, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, pp. 9-12.
- Lucas R.D. & Levin R.E. (1989). Genetic transformation between strains of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., **9**, 215-218.
- Lund B.M. (1990). The prevention of foodborne listeriosis. Food Safety - <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Listeria</u>, **92**, 13-22.
- Mackey B.M. & Bratchell N. (1989). A review The heat resistance of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 89-94.
- Madden J.M., McCardell B.A. & Archer D.L. (1986). Virulence assessment of foodborne microbes. In: Foodborne Microorganisms and their toxins: Developing Methodology (Eds.) Pierson M.D. & Stern N.J., Marcel Dekker Inc., New York & Basel, pp. 291-315.
- Majeed F.A., Stuart J.M., Cartwright K.A.V., Room R., Gilkes J.R., Smith M.L. & Watson B.E. (1992). An outbreak of hepatitis A in Gloucester, UK. Epidemiol. Infect., 109, 167-173.
- Malthus (1991). Microbiology Monitor. Summer Issue. The Manor, Manor Royal Crawley, West Sussex.
- Malthus (1992). Microbiology Monitor. Summer Issue. The Manor, Manor Royal Crawley, West Sussex.
- Mandell G.L. & Sande M.A. (1991). Chapter 46 antimicrobial agents. In: Goodman & Gilman - The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 8 th. Edition, Vol. 2, Pergamon Press Plc., New York, pp. 1065-1098.
- Martinek R.G. (1969). Review of methods for determining urea nitrogen in biologic fluids. J. Am. Med. Technol., 31, 678-696.
- Mattingly J.A., Butman B.T., Plank M.C., Durham R.J. & Robison B.J. (1988). Rapid monoclonal antibody based enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for detection of <u>Listeria</u> in food products. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., 71, 679-681.
- McAthey P. & Bointon B. (1990). Contamination is a major problem. Lab. News, 19th. February, P. 2.

- McBride M.E. & Girard K.F. (1960). A selective method for the isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from mixed bacterial populations. J. Lab. & Clin. Med., 55, 153-157.
- McClain D. & Lee W.H. (1988). Development of USDA-FSIS method for isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from raw meat and poultry. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., 71, 660-664.
- McKay A.M. (1990). Antimicrobial activity of Enterococcus faecium against Listeria spp. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 11, 15-17.
- McLauchlin J., Audurier A. & Taylor A.G. (1986). Aspects of the epidemiology of human <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> infections in Britain 1967-1984; the use of serotyping and phage typing. J. Med. Microbiol., **22**, 367-377.
- McLauchlin J. (1987). A review <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>, recent advances in the taxonomy and epidemiology of listeriosis in humans. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., 63, 1-11.
- McLauchlin J. & Pini P.N. (1989) The rapid demonstration and presumptive identification of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in food using monoclonal antibodies in a direct immunofluorescence test (DIFT). Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 8, 25-27.
- McMeekin T.A. & Olley J. (1986). Predictive microbiology. Food Tech. in Aust., 38, 331-334.
- Mohamed G.E.E., Seaman A. & Woodbine M. (1984). Food antibiotic nisin: Comparative effects on <u>Erysipelothrix</u> and <u>Listeria</u>. In: Antimicrobials & Agriculture. (Ed.) Woodbine M., Butterworths, London, pp. 435-442.
- Morris I.J. & Ribeiro C.D. (1989). <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and paté. Lancet, 2, 1285-1286.
- Mossel D.A.A., van Dorne H., Eelderink I. & De Vor H. (1977). The selective enumeration of Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria in foods, water and medicinal and cosmetic preparations. Neth. J. Pharmac. Sci., 112, 41-48.
- Mossel D.A.A. (1983). Seventy five years of longitudinally integrated microbiological safety assurance in the dairy industry in the Netherlands. Netherl. Milk Dairy J., 37, 240-245.

- Mossel D.A.A. & van Netten P. (1984). Harmful effects of selective media on stressed microorganisms : Nature and remedies. In: The Society for Applied Bacteriology, Symposium Series No. 12. The Revival of Injured Microbes (Eds.) Andrew M.H.E. & Russell A.D., Academic Press Publishers, Orlando, Florida, pp. 329-369.
- Mossel D.A.A. (1986). Developing methodology for foodborne microorganisms - Fundamentals of analytical techniques. In: Foodborne Microorganisms and their toxins: Developing Methodology (Eds.) Pierson M.D. & Stern N.J., Marcel Dekker Inc., New York & Basel, pp. 1-22.
- Mossel D.A.A. (1989). <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in foods. Isolation, characterisation and control. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 183-195.
- Mounier J., Ryter A., Coquis-Rondon M. & Sansonetti P.J. (1990). Intracellular and cell to cell spread of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> involves interaction with F-actin in the enterocyte like cell line Caco-2. Infect. Immun., 51, 1048-1058.
- Murdoch J. & Barnes J.A. (1986a). Statistical Tables, 3rd Edition, MacMillan, London, P. 16.
- Murdoch J. & Barnes J.A. (1986b). Statistical Tables, 3rd Edition, MacMillan, London, P. 20.
- Murray E.G.D., Webb R.A. & Swann M.B.R. (1926). A disease of rabbits characterised by a large mononuclear leucocytosis, caused by a hitherto undescribed bacillus <u>Bacterium monocytogenes</u>. J. of Pathol. & Bacteriol., **29**, 407-439.
- Nieuwenhof F.J.J. & Hoolwerf J.D. (1987). Impedance measurement as an alternative to the plate count method for estimating the total count of bacteria in raw milk. J. Food Prot., 50, 665-668.
- Noll F. (1974). Determination with LDH, GPT and NAD. In: Methods of enzymic analysis, Second Edition, Vol. 3 (Ed.) Bergmeyer H.U., Academic Press, New York, pp. 1475-1479.
- Northolt M.D., Beckers H.J., Vecht U., Toepoel L., Soentoro P.S.S. & Wisselink H.J. (1988). Listeria monocytogenes : Heat resistance and behaviour during storage of milk and whey and making of Dutch types of cheese. Neth. Milk Dairy J., 42, 207-219.
- Notermans S., Wernars K. & Chakraborty T. (1989a). The use of the <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> DTH gene for the detection of pathogenic biovars in food. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **36**, 215-218.

- Notermans S., Chakraborty T., Leimeister-Wachter M., Dufrenne J., Heuvelman K.J., Maas H., Jansen W., Werners K. & Guinee P. (1989b). Specific gene probe for detection of biotyped and serotyped <u>Listeria</u> strains. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., **55**, 902-906.
- Oehme F.W. (1978). Toxicity of heavy metals in the environment, Part 1. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York & Basel, pp. 80-85.
- Olsen J.A., Yousef A.E. & Marth E.H. (1988). Growth and survival of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> during making and storage of butter. Milchwissenschaft, **43**, 487-489.
- Owens J.D. (1985). Formulation of culture media for conductimetric assays: Theoretical considerations. J. of Gen. Microbiol., 131, 3055-3076.
- Owens J.D., Thomas D.S., Thompson P.S. & Timmerman J.W. (1989). Indirect conductimetry: a novel approach to the conductimetric enumeration of microbial populations. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 245-249.
- Paquot C. (1979). International union of pure and applied chemistry. Standard methods for the analysis of oils/fats and derivatives. 6th Edition, Part I & II, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Pettipher G.L. & Watts Y.B. (1989). Effect of carbohydrate source in selenite cystine trimethylamine oxide broth on the detection of salmonellas using the Bactometer. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 241-242.
- Phillips J.D. & Griffiths M.W. (1989). An electrical method for detecting <u>Listeria</u> spp. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 9, 129-132.
- Pine L., Malcolm G.B., Brooks J.B. & Daneshvar M.I. (1989). Physiological studies on the growth and utilisation of sugars by <u>Listeria</u> species. Can. J. of Microbiol., 35, 245-254.
- Pini P.N. & Gilbert R.J. (1988). The occurrence in the UK
   of Listeria species in raw chickens and soft cheese.
   Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 6, 317-326.
- Pirie J.H.H. (1927). A new disease of veld rodents "Tiger River Disease". Publication of the South African Institute for Medical Research, 3, 163-186.
- Pirie J.H.H. (1940). <u>Listeria</u>: Change of name for a Genus of bacteria. Nature, **145**, 264.
- Poate H.R.G. (1944). The management of established wound infections. The Med. J. of Aust., 1, 242-245.

- Pugh S.J., Griffiths J.L., Arnott M.L. & Gutteridge C.S. (1988). A complete protocol using conductance for rapid detection of salmonellas in confectionery materials. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 7, 23-27.
- Pusch D.J. (1989). A review of current methods used in the United States for isolating <u>Listeria</u> from food. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 197-204.
- Ralovich B., Forray A., Mére E. & Málovics I. (1970). Additional data on diagnosis and epidemiology of <u>Listeria</u> infections. Zentralblatt fur Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie und Hygiene, Series A, 214, 231-235.
- Ralovich B., Forray A., Mére E., Málovics H. & Százados I. (1971). New selective medium for isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Zentralblatt fur Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie und Hygiene, Series A, 216, 88-91.
- Ralovich B. (1984). Listeriosis research. Present situation and perspective. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest.
- Ralovich B., Kokryakov V.N., Mazing Y.A., Rotova G.M. & Pigarevskii V.E. (1986). Data to the resistance of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> infection. In: Listeriose, <u>Listeria</u>, Listeriosis, University de Nantes, 1985-1986, P. 177 - 182 (Eds.) Courtive A.L., Espaze E.P. & Reynaud A.E., Proceedings on the 9th. International symposium on problems of Listeriosis, Nantes 17-20 Sep. 1985.
- Ralovich B. (1987). Epidemiology and significance of listeriosis in the European countries. In: Schönberg A., Veterinary Medicine Hefte, 5, 21-55.
- Ralovich B. (1989). Methods to determine virulence of <u>Listeria</u> strains. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 269-272.
- Ralovich B. (1993). Detection and epidemiological typing of <u>Listeria</u> strains - diagnostic methods for <u>Listeria</u> infections. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **40**, 3-38.
- Ratcliffe R. (1993). Implementing BS5750 (iso 9000). In Symposium: Food Quality in Europe. 28th to 30th June 1993. University of North London, London.
- Richards J.C.S., Jason A.C., Hobbs G., Gibson D.M. & Christie R.H. (1978). Electronic measurement of bacterial growth. J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrument, 11, 560-568.
- Ritson J. (1983). The health and safety at work act. Ravenswood Publications Limited, Beckenham, England.

- Roberts T.A. & Jarvis B. (1983). Predictive modelling of food safety with particular reference to <u>Clostridium</u> <u>botulinum</u> in model cured meat systems. In: Food Microbiology: Advances and Prospects (Eds.) Roberts T.A. & Skinner F.A., Academic Press, London, pp. 85-95.
- Rocourt J., Schrettenbrunner A. & Seeliger H.P.R. (1983). Différenciation biochimique des groupes génomiques de <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> (sensu lato). Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **134A**, 65-71.
- Rocourt J. (1989). Taxonomy and diagnostic methods. Species of the genus <u>Listeria</u>. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **36**, 285-288.
- Rossmoore K. & Drenzek C. (1990). Control of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> by biocides in the food industry environment. In: Foodborne Listeriosis (Eds.) Miller A.J., Smith J.L. & Somkuti G.A., Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, pp. 211-219.
- Russell A.D., Hugo W.B. & Aycliffe G.A.J. (1982). Factors influencing the efficacy of antimicrobial agents. In: Principles and Practice of Disinfection, Preservation and Sterilisation. (Eds. Above). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 107-133.
- Russell A.D. (1984). Potential sites of damage in microorganisms exposed to chemical or physical agents. In: The Revival of Injured Microbes (Eds.) Andrew M.H.E. & Russell A.D., Academic Press, Orlando, Florida, pp. 1-18.
- Ryser E.T. & Marth E.H. (1988). Growth of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in cold pack cheese food during refrigerated storage. J. of Food Prot., 51, 277-282.
- Schaak M.M. & Marth E.H. (1988a). Survival of <u>Listeria</u> <u>monocytogenes</u> in refrigerated cultured milks and vogurt. J. of Food Prot., 51, 848-852.
- Schaak M.M. & Marth E.H. (1988b). Behaviour of Listeria monocytogenes in skim milk during fermentation with mesophilic lactic starter cultures. J. of Food Prot., 51, 600-606.
- Schlech W.F. III (1989). Methods to determine the virulence of <u>Listeria</u> strains. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 273-276.
- Schleifer K.H. & Kandler O. (1972). Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. Bacteriological Rev., 36, 407-477.

- Schoer W. (1944). The use of potassium tellurite for isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Z. Hyg. Infect. Krankh., 126, 138-169.
- Schönberg A. (1989). Methods to determine virulence of <u>Listeria</u> strains. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 281-284.
- Schönberg A., Teufel P., & Weise E. (1989). Serovars of Listeria monocytogenes and Listeria innocua from food. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **36**, 249-253.
- Schumacher G.E. (1983). Pharmokinetic and microbiologic evaluation of dosage regimens of newer cephalosporins and penicillins. Clin. Pharm., 2, 448-457.
- Seeliger H.P.R. (1984). Modern taxonomy of the Listeria group relationship to its pathogenicity. Clin. & Investigative Med., 7, 217-221.
- Seeliger H.P.R. & Jones D. (1986). Listeria. In: Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, Vol. 2 (Eds.) Sneath P.H.A., Mair N.S., Sharpe M.E. & Holt J.G., Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, pp. 1235-1245.
- Shapton N. & Cooper P.J. (1984). Rapid determination of yeast numbers by impedimetric measurements using a Bactometer 32. J. Soc. Food Tech., 37, 60-62.
- Sharpe A.N. (1986). Instrumenting food microbiological analysis. Food Tech. in Aust., 38, 26-30.
- Sharpe M.E. (1952). Group D Streptococci in the faeces of healthy infants with neonatal diarrhoea. J. Hyg. (Epidemiol. Infect.), 50, 209-228.
- Shelef L.A. (1989). Survival of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in ground beef or liver during storage at 4 and 25 °C. J. of Food Prot., **52**, 379-383.
- Sheridan J.J., Walls I., McLauchlin J., McDowell D. & Welch R. (1991). Use of a microcolony technique combined with an indirect immunofluorescence test for the rapid detection of <u>Listeria</u> in raw meat. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 13, 140-144.
- Shinagawa K. (1990). Analytical methods for <u>Bacillus</u> <u>cereus</u> and other <u>Bacillus</u> species. Int. J. of Food <u>Microbiol.</u>, 10, 125-142.
- Silley P. (1991). Rapid automated bacterial impedance technique (RABIT). Soc. Gen. Microbiol. Quarterly, 18, 48-52.
- Skirrow M.B. (1991). Epidemiology of <u>Campylobacter</u> enteritis. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 12, 9-16.

- Skjerve E., Rorvik L.M. & Olsvik O. (1990) Detection of Listeria monocytogenes in foods by immunomagnetic separation. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., 56, 3478-3481.
- Skovgaard N. (1989). Listeria in foods and environment. Listeria: Ecology in the food chain - A review. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, 36, 239-243.
- Slade P.J., Collins-Thompson D.L. (1988). Enumeration of Listeria monocytogenes in raw milk. Letters in Appl. Microbiol., 6, 121-123.
- Smola J. (1989). Possibilities of differentiation of listerial hemolysins by synergistic hemolytic reactions (CAMP reactions). Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 265-267.
- Stanier R.Y., Ingraham J.L., Wheelis M.L. & Painter P.R. (1986a). Gram positive fermentative eubacteria. In: General Microbiology, 5th Edition, MacMillan Education Ltd, London, pp. 495-504.
- Stanier R.Y., Ingraham J.L., Wheelis M.L. & Painter P.R. (1986b). Gram negative aerobic eubacteria. In: General Microbiology, 5th Edition, MacMillan Education Ltd, London, pp. 402-426.
- Stanley N.F. (1949). Studies on Listeria monocytogenes. I. Isolation of a monocytosis producing agent (MPA). Aust. J. Expt. Biol. Med., 27, 123-131.
- Stewart G.N. (1899). The changes produced by the growth of bacteria in the molecular concentration and electrical conductivity of culture media. J. of Experimental Med., 4, 235-244.
- Szemérdi G. (1990). New method for the isolation of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from contaminated samples. Acta Microbiologica Hungarica, **37**, 165-169.
- Taylor A.G. (1980). Listeriosis. Lancet, 1, P. 1136.
- Terplan G. & Steinmeyer S. (1989). Investigations on the pathogenicity of <u>Listeria</u> spp. by experimental infection of the chick embryo. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 277-280.
- Tilney L.G. & Tilney M.S. (1993). The wiley ways of a parasite: induction of actin assembly by <u>Listeria</u>. Trends in Microbiol., 1, 25-31.
- Tuley L. (1991). Risk Under Control. Food Manufacture, 66, 43 & 45.

- Url B., Heitzer A. & Brandl E. (1993). Determination of <u>Listeria</u> in dairy and environmental samples: comparison of a cultural and a colorimetric nucleic acid hybridisation assay. J. of Food Prot., 56, 581-584 & 592.
- van Netten P., van de Ven A., Perales I. & Mossel D.A.A. (1988). A selective and diagnostic medium for use in the enumeration of <u>Listeria</u> spp. in foods. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 6, 187-198.
- van Netten P., Perales I., van de Moosdijk A., Curtis G.D.W. & Mossel D.A.A. (1989). Liquid and solid selective differential media for the detection and enumeration of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and other <u>Listeria</u> spp. Int. J. of Food Microbiol., 8, 299-316.
- van Spreekens K.J.A. & Stekelenberg F.K. (1986). Rapid estimation of the bacteriological quality of fresh fish by impedance measurements. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 24, 95-96.
- Venables L.J. (1989). Listeria monocytogenes in dairy
  products the Victorian experience. Food Aust., 41,
  942-943.
- Vicente M.F., Berenguer J., DePedro M.A., Pérez-Diaz J.C. & Baquero F. (1990). Penicillin binding proteins in Listeria monocytogenes. Acta Microbiolgica Hungarica, 37, 227-231.
- Waites W.M. & Arbuthnott J.P. (1991). Foodborne Illness: Edward Arnold. London.
- Walker S.J., Archer P. & Banks J.G. (1990). Growth of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> at refrigeration temperatures. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., **68**, 157-162.
- Walterspiel J.N. & Ronnlund R.D. (1987). Differences in endotoxin release from <u>Escherischia coli</u>, <u>Haemophilus</u> <u>influenzae</u> type b and <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> by third generation cephalosporins, aminoglycosides and ciprofloxacin at MIC and MIC x 8 concentrations. Abst. Annu. Meet. of the American Soc. of Microb., B42, P.32.
- Warburton D.W., Farber J.M., Powell C., Tiwari N.P., Read S., Plante R., Babiuk T., Laffey P., Kauri T., Mayers P., Champagne M., Hunt T., LaCasse P., Viet K., Smando R. & Coates F. (1992). Comparison of methods for optimum detection of stressed and low levels of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Food Microbiol., 9, 127-145.
- Weagent S.D., Sado P.N., Colburn K.G., Torkelson J.D., Stanley F.A., Krane M.H., Shields S.C. & Thayer C.F. (1988). The incidence of <u>Listeria</u> species in frozen seafood products. J. of Food Prot., 51, 655-657.
- Wehr H.M. (1987). Special Reports Listeria monocytogenes - a current dilemma. J. of the Assoc. of Off. Anal. Chem., 70, 769-772.
- Welch D.F. (1987). Role of catalase and superoxide dismutase in the virulence of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Ann. Inst. Pasteur Microbiol., **138**, 265-268.
- Wernars K., Heuvelman C.J., Chakraborty T., & Notermans S.H.W. (1991). Use of the polymerase chain reaction for direct detection of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> in soft cheese. J. of Appl. Bacteriol., **70**, 121-126.
- West P.A. (1989). The human pathogenic vibrios: a public health update with environmental perspectives. Epidemiol. Infect., 103, 1-34.
- Wexler H. & Oppenheim J.D. (1979). Isolation, characterisation and biological properties of an endotoxin like material from the Gram positive organism <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u>. Infect. & Immun., 23, 845-857.
- Wilkins J.R., Stoner G.E. & Boykin E.H. (1974). Microbial detection method based on sensing molecular hydrogen. Appl. Microbiol., 27, 949-952.
- World Health Organisation Working Group (1988). Report of the WHO Informal Working Group on Foodborne Listeriosis, document no. WHO/EHE/FOS/88.5. (An article based on this report appeared as 'Foodborne Listeriosis'. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation, No. 66, pp. 421-428.
- Yogev R. (1986). A strategy for evaluating which of the new cephalosporins to use. Paediatric Ann., 15, 470-471, 474-477.
- Yousef A.E., Ryser E.T. & Marth E.H. (1988). Methods for improved recovery of <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> from cheese. Appl. & Environ. Microbiol., **54**, 2643-2649.
- Yu L.S.L. & Fung D.Y.C. (1992). Comparisons of selected methods with the Fung Yu tube procedure for determining <u>Listeria monocytogenes</u> and other <u>Listeria</u> spp. in meats. J. of Food Prot., 55, 349-355.
- Zindulis J. (1984). A medium for the impedimetric detection of yeasts in foods. Food Microbiol., 1, 159-167.