- 1 Screening for overweight using mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) among
- 2 children younger than two years in the Eastern Cape, South Africa.
- 3 Shawn McLaren, Dietetics Department, Nelson Mandela University, Port Elizabeth, South
- 4 Africa; School of Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University, London, United
- 5 Kingdom
- 6 Dr Liana Steenkamp, HIV & AIDS Research Unit, Nelson Mandela University, Port
- 7 Elizabeth, South Africa
- 8 Prof H David McCarthy, School of Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University,
- 9 London, United Kingdom
- Alexandra Rutishauser-Perera, Action Against Hunger UK, London, United Kingdom

12 **Abstract**

- 13 **Background:** The relationship between overweight and under nutrition, particularly in
- resource-poor settings, poses practical challenges for targeting nutrition interventions.
- 15 Current anthropometric indicators including weight for height (WHZ) recommended by the
- 16 WHO may be challenging in community settings.
- Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess whether MUAC can accurately identify
- children younger than two years with overweight and obesity.
- 19 Method: A descriptive, cross-sectional study was used to collect data from a non-
- 20 probability sample of 397 young South African children from October 2015 to February
- 21 2016. MUAC cut-off values were tested using a receivers' operating characteristic and
- 22 area under the curve (AUC).
- 23 **Results:** The prevalence of overweight (WHZ>+2) and obesity (WHZ>+3) was 11% (n=44)
- 24 and 5% (21) respectively. A MUAC cut off value for identifying male children six to 24
- months old with overweight was determined at 16.5 cm (85% sensitivity, 71.4% specificity,
- AUC=0.821) and female children at 16.5 cm (100% sensitivity, 76.6% specificity,
- 27 AUC=0.938).
- Conclusions: MUAC may be an appropriate tool for identifying children younger than two
- years old with overweight and obesity. The predicted MUAC cut-off values were able to
- identify infants and young children with overweight accurately.

Second Abstract

The emerging double burden of disease poses practical challenges for targeting nutrition interventions, particularly in resource-poor settings. Current anthropometric indicators including weight for height (WHZ) recommended by the WHO may be challenging in community settings. MUAC may be an appropriate tool for identifying children younger than two years old with overweight and obesity. The aim of this study was to assess whether MUAC can accurately identify children younger than two years with overweight and obesity.

Introduction

According to the WHO¹, 42 million children under the age of five years are overweight or obese. The prevalence of stunting and wasting is reducing in low and middle income countries (LMIC), while overweight and obesity is becoming more prevalent among children². Accelerated weight gain in early life may be related to non-communicable disease risk³. Overweight at one year old may greatly increase the risk of type 2 diabetes and premature death from cardiovascular disease⁴. High rates of glucose intolerance and pre-hypertension have been observed among rural South African adolescents⁵, indicative of the epidemiological transition taking place.

Major changes to the diet as a result of the nutrition transition include increased consumption of refined carbohydrates, added sweeteners, edible oils and animal source foods. These dietary patterns can result in higher rates in overweight and obesity in both children and adults. In Southern African countries, it has been estimated that 72% of people are not meeting the recommendations for vegetable and fruit consumption⁶. Furthermore, while the rate of initiation of breastfeeding is high in SA, the exclusive breastfeeding rate declines rapidly⁷. Early introduction of foods and liquids other than breastmilk before the age of six months is common⁷ and may be associated with overweight and obesity later in life⁸. High-sugar fruit juices are being introduced to infants from six months of age⁹. A large proportion of South African infants are consuming foods such as processed meats and crisps on a daily basis by the time they are twelve months old. These less healthy foods are rapidly becoming more affordable, accessible and acceptable to all populations in South Africa, including rural and informal settlements¹⁰.

The effects of these shifts in dietary patterns are already being observed, with as many as 10% of infants overweight at six months of age¹¹.

The emerging double burden of disease poses significant practical challenges for targeting nutrition interventions, particularly in resource-poor settings. Current anthropometric indicators to identify overweight in children as recommended by the WHO and the World Obesity Federation (WOF)¹², include weight for length (WLZ) or weight for height (WHZ) and BMI for age. Evidence suggests that WHZ and BMI for age yield similar prevalence of overweight and obesity and therefore there is no need to monitor both indicators¹³. However, using the WHZ or BMI for age cut-off values, may be challenging at community and household level due to practical limitations such as carrying bulky equipment by community health workers who may not always have access to transport. Furthermore, in resource-poor settings, a MUAC tape may offer several advantages such as being non-invasive, cheaper and faster to use when compared with the scales, stadiometers and length boards required for determining WHZ. Community health workers and parents or guardians can also easily be trained to use and interpret MUAC measurements as a screening tool and it may even aid in the reduction of errors occurring in anthropometric measurements of children¹⁴.

Research has begun to establish that MUAC can be effective in identifying overweight and

obese children¹⁵. The rate of increase in arm circumference has also been reported

parallel to the rate of weight gain in children¹⁴. There is currently no formal

recommendation for a single cut-off value for MUAC to identify overweight and obese

infants and children in the same way that cut-off values are available for identifying acute

malnutrition. The WHO MUAC field tables available can be cumbersome to use; rely on

the age of the child and undermine the simplicity of MUAC as a screening tool. There is

also a lack of data relating to children younger than two years as many of the studies

available, which assess the ability of MUAC to identify obese children, focus on children

older than two years 15,16. Therefore, the aim of this study was to predict MUAC cut-off

values to identify overweight and obese infants and children younger than two years old

92 within a specific population.

Methods

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

82

85

86

90

93

This descriptive study was undertaken using a cross sectional design. Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee (Human), Nelson Mandela University, as well as the Eastern Cape Department of Health (ref. no H15-HEA-002). Inclusion in the

study required written informed consent from the primary caregiver of the participant. Data on weight, length and MUAC was collected from infants and young children living in a South African resource-poor peri-urban settlement, aged from birth to two years (n=408) between October 2015 and February 2016. Date of birth and date of measurement were recorded and participant age calculated as an age in decimals. Procedures for obtaining anthropometric data followed protocols described by the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention¹⁷. Weight was measured in kilograms (kg) with a Nagata BW 2010 infant scale (capacity 20 kg x 10 g) and recorded to the nearest 0.01 kg. Length was measured in centimetres (cm) using a Seca infantometer to the nearest 0.1 cm. Non-stretch MUAC tapes were used to measure arm circumference in cm to the nearest 0.1cm. Scales were calibrated before measurements were taken, and measurements were taken at eye level to avoid parallax errors. Measurements were taken by trained fieldworkers. Fieldworkers were registered dietitians and dietetic students who received training before commencing data collection and throughout the data collection period. Fieldworkers collected data under direct supervision of the principle investigator. Anthropometric data were used to calculate Z-scores for weight for age (WAZ), height for age (HAZ), weight for length (WLZ) using WHO Anthro software (WHO, Switzerland). Data cleaning criteria were applied according to WHO guidelines¹⁸.

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the outcomes. As absolute MUAC was expected to increase from younger to older age groups, tests for significance in MUAC between age groups was performed using a Scheffe test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant. A receiver's operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated using SPSS software (v25) and used to calculate the area under the curve (AUC) to assess the performance of MUAC as a diagnostic test when using WLZ as the criterion for overweight and obesity. WLZ was used as the standard criterion as it is the recommended indicator of overweight and obesity¹⁹. An AUC value of >0.8 was considered an accurate test²⁰. The Youden index (J) is the difference between the true positive rate (sensitivity) and the false positive rate with: 1 indicating a perfect test, and 0 a useless test. It signifies the optimal sensitivity and specificity values yielding the maximum sums from the ROC curves. The J-value was used to inform the optimal MUAC cut-off values.

Results

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

Eleven records were removed from the sample as they had implausible Z-scores. The final sample (n=397) was homogenously (100%) of African ethnicity. The sample was made up of 50% (n=197) male participants, and 50% (n=200) female participants. The mean

participant age was 9.78 months (SD=6.13). There was no significant difference between the ages of male and female participants (p=0.53).

The mean WLZ was 0.83 (SD=1,28). The prevalence of overweight (+2<WLZ<+3) and obesity (WLZ>+3) was 11.8% (n=47) and 5% (n=21) respectively. There were no significant differences observed between genders for WLZ (p=0.367), as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Anthropometric indicators in male and female participants (n=397). Values=Mean (SD)

Anthropometric	Sex	n	Mean (SD)	p-value	Cohen's D
indicator					
Weight (kg)	Male	197	9.19 (2.59)	0.018*	0.24
	Female	200	8.58 (2.49)		
Height	Male	197	70.83 (8.86)	0.049*	0.20
(cm)					
	Female	200	69.04 (9.12)		
WLZ	Male	197	0.77 (1.40)	0.367	0.09
	Female	200	0.88 (1.15)		
MUAC	Male	197	15.59 (1.74)	0.017*	0.24
(cm)					
	Female	200	15.17 (1.75)		

^{*}Denotes statistically significant at p<0.05

133

134

135

136

Infants younger than six months had significantly different MUAC measurements compared with children older than six months, but there was no statistically significant difference observed among children between six and 24 months old. This resulted in the decision to test a single MUAC for children six to 24 months old as these children were found to be comparable.

The AUC for identifying overweight males 0-6 months old (n=58) was 0.766. The MUAC cut-off value at 14.5 cm had a sensitivity of 88.9% and specificity of 63.3% (J=0.542). Female children 0-6 months old had an AUC of 0.788 for overweight. The MUAC cut-off with the highest J-value (J=0.585) was 14.2 cm (100% sensitivity, and 58.5% specificity).

Data obtained from males aged six to 24 months (n=139) generated ROC curves with AUC of 0.821 for overweight (+2<WLZ<+3) and 0.905 for obesity (WLZ>+3), presented in Table 2. The MUAC cut-off value of 16.5 cm had the highest J-value (0.589) and a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 71.4% for identifying overweight. The optimum MUAC cut-off value for identifying obesity among males six to 24 months old was 17.2 cm (88.9% sensitivity, 80.8% specificity, J=0.697). As presented in Table 3, a MUAC cut-off for identifying overweight female children aged six to 24 months (n=130) was determined at 16.5 cm (AUC=0.938). This cut-off value had a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 76.7% (J=0.767). The optimum MUAC cut-off value for identifying obesity was 17.0 cm (J=0.758).

Table 2: Potential MUAC cut-off values for identifying overweight and obesity in males six to 24 months old, compared with WLZ (n=139)

+2 <wlz<+3 6-<br="" male="">24 months</wlz<+3>		AUC=0.821	N=139	WLZ>+3 Male 6-24 months		AUC=0.905	N=139
MUAC (cm)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden Index	MUAC (cm)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden Index
16.3	0.850	0.664	0.522	16.8	0.889	0.731	0.620
16.4	0.850	0.672	0.564	16.9	0.889	0.738	0.627
16.5	0.850	0.714	0.589	17.0	0.889	0.762	0.650
16.6	0.850	0.739	0.573	17.1	0.889	0.792	0.681
16.7	0.800	0.773	0.582	17.2	0.889	0.808	0.697

Table 3: Potential MUAC cut-off values for identifying overweight and obesity in females six to 24 months old, compared with WLZ (n=130)

+2 <wlz<+3 female<="" th=""><th>AUC=0.938</th><th>N=130</th><th>WLZ>+3 Female 6-</th><th>AUC=0.938</th><th>N=130</th></wlz<+3>	AUC=0.938	N=130	WLZ>+3 Female 6-	AUC=0.938	N=130
6-24 months			24 months		

MUAC (cm)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden Index	MUAC (cm)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Youden Index
16.3	1.000	0.717	0.717	16.8	0.900	0.833	0.733
16.4	1.000	0.742	0.742	16.9	0.900	0.850	0.750
16.5	1.000	0.767	0.767	17.0	0.900	0.858	0.758
16.6	0.900	0.792	0.692	17.1	0.800	0.867	0.667
16.7	0.900	0.833	0.733	17.2	0.800	0.883	0.683

Discussion

The proposed MUAC cut-off values of 16.5 cm for overweight (+2<WLZ<+3) males and females, and 17.2 cm for identifying obesity in males and 17.0 cm for females correctly classified an acceptably high number of children. The simplicity of MUAC measurements could assist with identifying infants and young children who are clinically overweight or obese early on in community and household settings. MUAC is simple to use. It also could potentially allow for screening for over and under nutrition with a single tool reflecting different cut-off values.

The results of this study demonstrate that MUAC may be an appropriate tool for identifying children younger than two years old as overweight and obese. The area under the curve for all groups tested was found to have a very good diagnostic value. Research so far has not addressed the need for a suitable MUAC cut-off value for identifying overweight among children younger than two years. Investigations into the use of MUAC as a screening tool for overweight and obesity have largely focused on children of school-going age. Chaput et al.²¹ demonstrated a high level of sensitivity and specificity for identifying overweight and obesity among nine to eleven year-olds using novel MUAC cut-offs. Craig et al.²² conducted a study that showed similar results using MUAC in South Africa, but again this research focused on children and adolescents older than five years. The areas under the curve for the current study for females and males were both greater than 0.8, considered the threshold for an acceptably accurate test²⁰.

Some of the challenges in nutrition screening such as accurately estimating a child's age²³ are avoided using MUAC and weight for height indicators. Weight for height still requires trained fieldworkers and equipment¹⁹, and time available to spend on training fieldworkers may be limited due to overloading roles with tasks and large geographic coverage diluting trained fieldworkers²³. Additionally, health workers are capable of measuring and interpreting weight but can be uncomfortable with weight and length measurements in

combination, therefore weight for height interpretations may not be performed routinely in the field²⁴. According to the results of this study, MUAC is capable of accurately identifying overweight and obese infants and young children. The simplicity of MUAC may also be advantageous in the emerging problem of childhood overweight and obesity as it should help to minimise resource allocation to growth charts, anthropometric equipment, training materials and workshops¹⁸ in community settings.

Kim, Lee and Sungwon⁶ report that overweight and obesity between ages two and six years is significantly associated with developing adult metabolic syndrome, while the association between overweight and obesity before the second birthday and development of metabolic syndrome in adulthood exists but is not significant. This may suggest that a window for intervention exists before the second birthday that might have a significant impact on future chronic disease risk. Given that the first 1000 days is such a crucial time for development, identifying overweight and obesity in this age group could potentially prevent future problems associated with the global double-burden of disease. Hawkes *et al.*,²⁵ have recently suggested that as all forms of malnutrition have common drivers, health workers should aim to simultaneously prevent under and overnutrition, under the term "double-duty actions". Potential double-duty actions include redesigning the existing growth monitoring programmes including weight for height in primary care settings and interpreting overweight where feasible²⁵. A MUAC cut-off value for overweight and obesity could aid in expanding this action to community and household screening for referral.

This study is limited as the average age of the participants was 9.78 months, creating a bias toward younger children. The study was conducted in primary care facilities and crèches, therefore excluding children who do not attend these facilities. A further limitation of the study was the cross-sectional approach. A longitudinal study would have yielded more information in relation to the dynamic growth of children, however longitudinal data can be impractical to collect. This study must be repeated with a larger sample size in urban, peri-urban and rural South African communities in order to validate the use of the tool.

218 Conclusion

MUAC has the potential to identify children with overweight and obesity in South African communities, where community health workers lack adequate access to growth monitoring equipment. Referrals to health services made during the crucial stage of childhood development before the second birthday can potentially reduce the later risks of overweight and associated chronic diseases of lifestyle in adolescence and adulthood.

- To our knowledge, this is the first work to address a MUAC cut-off value for identifying
- overweight and obesity among children younger than two years. However, further
- research is needed, using larger samples from different South African contexts to provide
- better insight into its standardized use.

- References
- 230 1. World Health Organisation. Childhood Obesity Facts and Figures.
- https://www.who.int/end-childhood-obesity/facts/en/ Date accessed: 17/02/2020.
- 232 2. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight,
- overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: A pooled analysis of 2416
- population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents
- and adults. **Lancet** 2017; 390: 2627-2642.
- 3. Kim J, Lee I, Sungwon L. Overweight or obesity in children aged 0 to 6 and the
- risk of adult metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
- 238 **Journal of Clinical Nursing** 2017; 26: 3869-3880.
- 239 4. Barker DJP. The developmental origins of adult disease. Journal of the
- 240 **American College of Nutrition** 2004; 23(6): 588S-595S.
- 5. Pedro TM, Kahn K, Pettifor JM, Tollman SM, Norris SA. Under- and overnutrition and
- evidence of metabolic disease risk in rural black South African children and
- adolescents. **South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2014**; 27(4): 198-200.
- 244 6. Nnyepi MS, Gwisai N, Lekgoa M, Seru T. Evidence of the nutrition transition in
- 245 Southern Africa. Proc Nutr Soc 2015; 74(4): 478-486.
- 7. Sayed N, Schonfeldt HL. A review of complementary feeding practices in South
- 247 Africa. South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2018. DOI:
- 248 10.1080/16070658.2018.1510251.
- 8. Wang G, Johnson S, Gong Y, et al. Weight gain in infancy and overweight or obesity in
- childhood across the gestational spectrum: A prospective birth cohort study.
- 251 **Scientific Reports** 2016; 6: 29867.
- 9. Budree S, Goddard E, Brittain K, Cader S, Myer L, Zar HJ. Infant feeing practices in
- a South African birth cohort- A longitudinal study. Maternal and Child
- 254 **Nutrition** 2016; 13(3): e12371.

- 10. Igumbor EU, Sanders D, Puoane TR, Tsolekile L, Schwarz C, Purdy C, Swart R,
- Duroa S, Hawkes C. "Big Food," the consumer food environment, health,
- and the policy response in South Africa. **PLoS Med, 2012** 9(7): e1001253.
- 11. Matsungo TM, Kruger HS, Faber M, Rothman M (2017). The prevalence and factors
- associated with stunting among infants aged 6 months in a peri-urban South African
- 260 community. Public Health Nutrition 2017; 20(7): 3209-3218.
- 12. Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for
- thinness, overweight and obesity. **Paediatric Obesity** 2012; 7(4): 784-794.
- 13. De Onis M, Onyango A, Borghi E, Siyam A, Blossner M, Cutter C. Worldwide
- implementation of the WHO Child Growth Standards 2012,. Public Health
- 265 **Nutrition** 15(9): 1603-1610.
- 14. Goossens S, Bekele Y, Yun O, Harczi G, Ouannes M, Shepherd S. Mid-upper
- 267 arm circumference based nutrition programming: Evidence for a new
- approach in regions with high burden of acute malnutrition. **PLOS ONE** 2012,
- 269 7(11): 1-8.
- 15. Talma H, van Dommelen P, Schweizer JJ, Bakker B, Kist-van Holthe JE, Chinapaw
- JMM, Hirasing RA. Is mid-upper arm circumference in Dutch children useful in
- identifying obesity? Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2019; 104: 159-165.
- 273 16. Jaiswal M, Bansal R, Agarwal A. Role of mid-upper arm circumference for
- determining overweight and obesity in children and adolescents. Journal of
- 275 Clinical and Diagnostic Research 2017; 11(8): SC05-SC08. doi:
- 276 10.7860/JCDR/2017/27442.
- 17. Centre for Disease Control. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
- 278 **Anthropometry Procedures Manual,** 2007. Available online:
- 279 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes 07 08/manual an.pdf. Accessed on
- 280 22/01/2020.
- 18. World Health Organisation. **Software for assessing growth and development**
- of the world's children 2006. Geneva: WHO.
- 283 http://www.who.int/childgrowth/software/WHOantho2005 PC Manual.pdf
- 284 (accessed 27 June 2017).
- 285 19. WHO. WHO multicentre growth reference study group: WHO child growth
- standards: Length/height for age, weight for age, weight for length, weight for
- height and body mass index for age: Methods and development, 2006. Geneva,
- 288 World Health Organisation.

- 289 20. Kumar R, Indrayan A. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for medical researchers. **Indian Paediatrics** 2011; 48: 277-287.
- 21. Chaput JP, Katzmarzyk PT, Barnes JD, Fogelholm M, Hu G, Kuriyan R, Kurpad A,
- Lambert EV, Maher C, Maia J, Matsudo V, Olds T, Onywera V, Sarmiento OL,
- Standage M, Tudor-Locke C, Zhao P, Tremblay MS, ISCOLI Research Group. Mid-
- upper arm circumference as a screening tool for identifying children with obesity: A
- 295 12-country study. **Pediatr Obes** 2017; 12(6): 439-445.
- 22. Craig E, Bland R, Ndirangu J, Reilly JJ. Use of mid-upper arm circumference
- for determining overweight and overfatness in children and adolescents. **Arch**
- 298 **Dis Child**; 2014 99(8): 763-766.
- 299 23. Hall A, Oirere M, Thurstans S, Ndumi A, Sibson V. The practical challenges of
- evaluating a blanket emergency feeding programme in Northern Kenya. PLoS
- 301 **ONE** 2011; 6(10): e26854.

- 24. Cloete I, Daniels L, Jordaan J, et al. Knowledge and perceptions of nursing staff on
- the new Road to Health Booklet growth charts in primary healthcare clinics in the
- Tygerberg subdistrict of the Cape Town metropole district. **South African**
- Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2013; 26(3).
- 25. Hawkes C, Ruel MT, Salm L, Sinclair B, Branca F. Double-duty actions: Seizing
- programme and policy opportunities to address malnutrition in all its forms. **The**
- 308 Lancet 2020; 395(10218): 142-155. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32506-1.