Miniaturized Planar-Patch Antenna Based on Metamaterial L-shaped Unit-Cells for Broadband Portable Microwave Devices and Multiband Wireless Communication Systems
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Abstract: This study describes the design of a metamaterial planar antenna for multi-octave band operation. The metamaterial unit-cell comprises L-shaped slit which is etched inside a rectangular patch with grounded inductive spiral. The slit essentially behaves as a series left-handed capacitance and the spiral as a shunt left-handed inductance. The antenna was modeled and optimized for impedance bandwidth, gain and efficiency performance using commercial 3D full-wave electromagnetic simulation tools. The antenna has a measured impedance bandwidth of 6.02 GHz for \( S_{11} < -10 \) dB. This corresponds to a fractional bandwidth of 172.49 %, which is higher than multiband planar antennas reported to date. The antenna has a maximum gain and efficiency performance of 3.7 dBi and 73 %, respectively, at 3.25 GHz. The physical footprint of the antenna is comparable to other wideband planar antennas reported to date. The overall size of the antenna is \( 0.037\lambda_0 \times 0.027\lambda_0 \times 0.002\lambda_0 \) and \( 0.25\lambda_0 \times 0.18\lambda_0 \times 0.017\lambda_0 \), where \( \lambda_0 \) is free-space wavelength at 0.48 and 3.25 GHz, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Integration of multiple communication standards in a wireless system is becoming more and more common practice in order to effectively improve the portability of wireless communications devices. This has led to a growing demand for low profile miniature antennas with multiband operation. As a result, various types of antennas have been explored [1]–[7]. Planar monopole antenna is often adopted to realize multiband operation, with various structures such as meandered T-shape [1], flared shape with V-sleeve [2], Y-shape [3], and multi-fractal structure [4]. These antennas exhibit desirable characteristics including simple structure, low profile and weight, versatile structure for wide impedance bandwidth, and regular omnidirectional radiation patterns. However, the bulky size of such antennas can restrict their applications in compact portable wireless systems. So far, for size reduction, many slot structure based antennas [5]–[7] have been proposed. In [5] and [6], antennas with multiple meandering slots are designed to generate multiple resonant modes. Combined with an L-probe feed, the use of U-slots can also yield dual-band and multiband characteristics [7]. These slot structure based antennas are much smaller than the traditional monopoles. But their structures are much more complex for practical applications. To overcome these limitations, we have implemented a novel antenna structure based on metamaterial technology with low profile, weight and simplicity in manufacturing accompanying desirable performance parameters including small electrical size, multiband performance and good radiation characteristics.
Metamaterials (MTMs) are beginning to attract significant attention in the development of antennas due to their unique electromagnetic properties, such as anti-parallel phase and group velocities and zero propagation constant [8]. MTMs have been successfully exploited to develop small resonant antennas [9]–[11]. A dual-band MTM antenna for WiFi applications was shown in [12] and multiband MTM resonant antennas were shown to exhibit several left-handed modes in [13]. Although the resonant frequency of the MTM structure depends on the makeup of the composite right/left-handed (CRLH) transmission-lines (TL) unit-cell rather than the antenna’s physical size, however, metamaterial antennas suffer from narrow bandwidths.

In this paper a novel multiband planar patch antenna is presented that is based on composite right/left-handed metamaterials unit-cells. The metamaterial unit-cell employed here is implemented using L-shaped slit that is etched directly onto the radiating patch with an inductive spiral which is grounded using a via-hole. The L-shaped slit behaves as a series left-handed capacitance, and the grounded spiral act as a left-handed shunt inductance. The compact and low profile antenna exhibits desirable characteristics of wide impedance bandwidth and omnidirectional radiation in the E-plane and bi-directional in the H-plane. The antenna has dimensions of $23 \times 17 \text{ mm}^2$ corresponding to $0.037\lambda_0$ by $0.027\lambda_0$ by $0.0026\lambda_0$, where $\lambda_0$ is the free-space wavelength at 480 MHz. Compared to our previous work in [14]–[15], the configuration of the unit-cell in the proposed antenna results in: (1) a higher operating bandwidth; (2) predominately omnidirectional radiation pattern in the E-plane and bi-directional in the H-plane, whereas the radiation patterns of antennas in [14]–[15] are uni-directional; and (3) comparable size using a lower dielectric constant substrate.

II. DESIGN METHODOLOGY

The geometry of the proposed antenna structure, shown in Fig. 1, consists of two pairs of metamaterial unit-cells embedded in the rectangular radiating patch. Each unit-cell comprises L-shaped slit with an inductive spiral which is grounded through a metallic via-hole. The antenna is excited from left-hand side through a 50\Omega microstrip feed-line. The right-hand side of the patch is terminated with a matched load of 50 ohm (SMD1206) that is connected to ground-plane through a metallic via-hole. The L-shaped slit behaves as a series left-handed capacitance, and the grounded spiral acts as a left-handed shunt inductance. The antenna is constructed on Rogers RT/ Duroid 5880 substrate with tan\(\delta\) of 0.0009, dielectric constant of 2.2 and height of 1.6 mm. The physical parameters of the proposed antenna are annotated in Fig. 1 (b), where the antenna length, width and height are $L = 16$ mm, $W = 13$ mm and $h = 1.6$ mm, respectively. The antenna occupies an area of $23 \times 17 \text{ mm}^2$. Other parameters are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_g$</th>
<th>$L_1$</th>
<th>$L_2$</th>
<th>$L_3$</th>
<th>$W_1$</th>
<th>$W_2$</th>
<th>$S_1$</th>
<th>$S_2$</th>
<th>$S_3$</th>
<th>$S_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard microwave integrated circuit manufacturing technique was employed in the implementation of series left-handed (LH) capacitors ($C_L$) and the shunt LH inductors ($L_L$). The current flow over the antenna metallization results in a voltage gradient between the metallization and the ground-plane creates parasitic right-handed (RH) effects from the series inductance ($L_R$) and the shunt capacitance ($C_R$). The equivalent circuit model of the composite right/left-handed transmission-line metamaterial unit-cell, shown in Fig. 2, is therefore a combination of left-handed and right-handed transmission-line. In addition to the four reactive components ($C_L$, $L_L$, $L_R$ and $C_R$) included are RH lossy components $R_R$ and $G_R$, and LH lossy components $G_L$ and $R_L$, which account for the dielectric loss associated with $C_L$ and the ohmic loss associated with $L_L$. At low frequency, $C_L$ and $L_L$ are dominant, the transmission-line shows the LH characteristics; at high frequency, $L_R$ and $C_R$ are
dominant, the transmission-line shows the right-handed characteristics. The propagation constant, $\gamma$, of the CRLH-TL metamaterial-based antenna composed of cascaded unit-cells is given by [8]:

$$\gamma = \pm j \sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega R}{\omega_L}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega L}{\omega R}\right)^2 - K\omega_L^2}$$  (1)

The sign is negative in the LH frequency range, and positive in the RH range. The frequency of maximum attenuation, i.e. resonance frequency, $\omega_0$, can be derived from the roots of the derivative of the complex propagation constant in (1), thus

$$\frac{dv}{d\omega} = \pm j \frac{\omega}{\left(\frac{\omega R}{\omega L}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega L}{\omega R}\right)^2 - K\omega_L^2} = 0$$  (2)

yielding $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{L_R C_R L_L C_L}}$  (3)

The phase velocity $v_p$ and group velocity $v_g$ can be derived from (1), which are given by:

$$v_p = \frac{\omega}{\beta} = \pm \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_L}\right)^2 - K\omega_L^2}}$$  (4)

$$v_g = \frac{\left|\frac{\omega (\omega R)^{-2} - \omega^{-3}(\omega L)^2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_L}\right)^2 - K\omega_L^2}}\right|}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_L}\right)^2 - K\omega_L^2}}$$  (5)

In the purely LH case with $L_R = C_R = 0$, then from (4) and (5), $v_p = -\omega^2/\omega_L$ and $v_g = \omega^2/\omega_L$, indicating that phase velocity associated with the direction of phase propagation is negative, whereas the group velocity associated with the direction of power flow is positive. The phase and group velocities are anti-parallel. The CRLH unit-cell is engineered such that its physical size is smaller than the guided-wavelength. Under this condition the unit-cell is non-resonant. This property is exploited here to develop electrically small antenna that exhibits broad bandwidth. Current density distribution over the antenna structure at various frequencies in its operating range, shown in Fig. 3, provides further insight on the antenna. It reveals the current distribution over the antenna is more intense at 3.25 GHz.

We have modeled the series capacitances and shunt inductances using L-shaped slits and spirals, respectively, in order to minimize the antenna dimensions. However, there is no restriction on the configuration of the slits and spirals employed. Simulation analysis is necessary to determine the dimensions of the slits and spirals to enhance the antenna’s impedance bandwidth and radiation characteristics. The structural parameters characterizing the antenna were optimized using 3D electromagnetic simulation tool, i.e. Ansys High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS). This information was then used to determine the equivalent electrical circuit model of the antenna, shown in Fig. 2, using standard parameter extraction procedures available [16], which was also verified using Keysight Technologies’ ADS (RF circuit solver). The magnitudes of the optimized metamaterial unit-cell parameters are: $C_L = 4.1\,\text{pF}$, $L_L = 5.2\,\text{nH}$, $C_R = 1.7\,\text{pF}$, $L_R = 3.1\,\text{nH}$, $G_L = 6.5\,\text{S}$, $G_R = 3.8\,\text{S}$, $R_L = 6.3\,\Omega$ and $R_R = 4.9\,\Omega$. 

![Isometric view](image1)

(a) Isometric view

![Physical parameters defining the antenna configuration](image2)

(b) Physical parameters defining the antenna configuration
The criteria used to determine the number of CRLH-TL unit-cells depends on a tradeoff between the antenna dimensions, impedance bandwidth and radiation characteristics. The overall goal here was to design and implement an antenna that is compact and exhibits a multi-octave impedance bandwidth with good omnidirectional radiation characteristics. The number of unit-cells was determined through optimization using High Frequency Simulator Structure (HFSS). The results in Fig. 4 show that the antenna’s impedance bandwidth extends by simply increasing the number of unit-cells. In addition, the number of resonances generated correlates to the number of unit-cells. The impedance bandwidth of one unit-cell is 47.88 %, which increases to 176.97 % with four unit-cells. By cascading four metamaterial unit-cells is sufficient to provide coverage across L, S and C-bands.

![Fig. 2. Equivalent circuit model of the proposed CRLH metamaterial (a) unit-cell, and (b) entire structure including feeding and matching networks.](image)

![Fig. 3. Current density distribution over the antenna at various frequencies in the operating range of the proposed antenna.](image)

![Fig. 4. Reflection coefficient response of the proposed antenna as a function of number of unit-cells.](image)

Effect of the L-shaped slit length ($L_s$) and width ($W_s$) on the four unit-cells antenna is shown in Fig. 5. The results show a
shorter slit length and width to enhance the impedance bandwidth of the antenna. In fact, a reduction in the slit length from 4.5 mm to 3.5, and width from 0.6 mm to 0.4 mm increases the impedance bandwidth from 5.6 GHz to 6.15 GHz for reflection-coefficient better than -10 dB. The optimized length and width of the L-shaped slit were determined from these results to be 3.5 mm and 0.4 mm, respectively. The proposed antenna was constructed using four unit-cells, each of which occupying a space of $3 \times 13 \text{mm}^2$ or $0.0048 \lambda_0 \times 0.021 \lambda_0$, where free-space wavelength is 480 MHz. The total length and width of the antenna are $0.032 \lambda_0$ (20.2 mm) and $0.021 \lambda_0$ (13 mm), respectively. The antenna ground-plane occupies an area of $23 \times 17 \text{mm}^2$. The proposed antenna technique provides a low profile structure that is easy to implement using conventional MIC technology.

The measured and simulated reflection-coefficient response of the proposed antenna is shown in Fig. 6. The simulation results predict the antenna to have a bandwidth of 6.15 GHz (0.4 GHz – 6.55 GHz) for $S_{11} < -10 \text{dB}$; however the measured bandwidth was 6.02 GHz (0.48 GHz – 6.5 GHz). The corresponding simulated and measured fractional bandwidths are 176.97 % and 172.49 %, respectively.

Besides the requirement of compact size and wide impedance bandwidth, the antenna needs to possess good radiation characteristics of gain and efficiency. The gain and efficiency performance of a typical antenna can be improved by extending its effective aperture [17]. This is conventionally achieved by simply increasing the cross-sectional area of antenna. Unfortunately, this can affect the antenna’s size. Simulation results, in Fig. 7 and 8, show that the proposed antenna’s effective aperture can be increased by either increasing the number of unit-cells or number of spirals or spiral turns. Antenna with four unit-cells provides a gain and efficiency of 3.68 dBi and 73.4 %, respectively, at 3.25 GHz. Increasing the spiral turns from one up to two results in marginal improvement in the gain and efficiency to 3.83 dBi and 73.7 %, respectively, at 3.25 GHz. Unlike the conventional technique the proposed technique has minimal effect on the antenna size. The simulated and measured gain and radiation efficiency for the four unit-cell antenna is shown in Fig. 9. There is good agreement between the measured and simulated results. The measured gain and efficiency are 3.7 dBi and 73 %, respectively, at 3.25 GHz.

![Fig. 5. Reflection-coefficient response of the proposed antenna as a function of the L-shape slit length and width. (Please note, $L_S = L_1$ and $W_S = W_1$. All other parameters in Table I were kept fixed.)](image)

![Fig. 6. Simulated and measured reflection-coefficient response of the proposed antenna.](image)

![Fig. 7. Gain and efficiency performance as a function of number of unit-cells.](image)

The simulated and measured $E_{(xz)}$-plane and $H_{(yz)}$-plane co- and cross-polarization radiation patterns at various spot frequencies in the antenna’s operating frequency range are plotted in Figs. 10-13, respectively. The radiation is...
predominately omnidirectional in the E-plane and bidirectional in the H-plane.

Fig. 8. Gain and efficiency performance as a function of number of spirals and spiral turns (N = number of spirals, and n = number of spiral turns).

Fig. 9. Simulated and measured curves of the gain and radiation efficiency versus frequency (four unit-cells with N = 4 & n = 2).

Fig. 10. Simulated E-plane co- and cross-polarization radiation patterns at various spot frequencies within the operating frequency range of the antenna.
Fig. 11. Measured E-plane co- and cross-polarization radiation patterns at various spot frequencies within the operating frequency range of the antenna.
Fig. 12. Simulated H-plane co- and cross-polarization radiation patterns at various spot frequencies within the operating frequency range of the antenna.
Comparison of the proposed antenna with other multiband planar antenna structures in terms of size, bandwidth, gain and efficiency performance are given in Table II. It is evident the proposed metamaterial antenna is comparable in size to existing antennas and offers in most cases a higher gain and efficiency performance. The advantage of the proposed antenna over other antennas is its exceptionally large fractional bandwidth of 172.47%.
TABLE II. SPECIFICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED ANTENNA COMPARED TO REPORTED MULTIBAND PLANAR
ANTENNAS (UC represents unit-cells; FBW represents fractional bandwidth)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[14] 2 UC antenna</td>
<td>(H-shape) $0.05\lambda_0\times0.023\lambda_0\times0.0027\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $15\times6.9\times0.8$ mm$^3$ (T-shape) $0.052\lambda_0\times0.023\lambda_0\times0.002\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $15.5\times6.9\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>1.2–6.7 GHz (139%)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15] 6 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.068\lambda_0\times0.023\lambda_0\times0.0027\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $20.4\times6.8\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>5.8–7.3 GHz (23%)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18] 4 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.047\lambda_0\times0.021\lambda_0\times0.002\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $14.2\times6.32\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>1–3.2 GHz (104.76%)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18] 6 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.064\lambda_0\times0.021\lambda_0\times0.0027\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $19.2\times6.32\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>0.8–3.4 GHz (123.8%)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19] 8 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.075\lambda_0\times0.02\lambda_0\times0.027\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $22.6\times7\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>7.25–17.8 GHz (84.23%)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19] 7 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.072\lambda_0\times0.02\lambda_0\times0.025\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $21.7\times7\times1.6$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>7.8–19.85 GHz (87.16%)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19] 6 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.06\lambda_0\times0.02\lambda_0\times0.027\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $18.7\times7\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>7.5–16.8 GHz (74.4%)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19] 5 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.056\lambda_0\times0.02\lambda_0\times0.005\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $16.7\times7\times1.6$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>7.7–18.6 GHz (82.88%)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>$0.2\lambda_0\times0.05\lambda_0\times0.003\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $60\times16\times1$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>0.67–2.55 GHz (116.7%)</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>$0.06\lambda_0\times0.06\lambda_0\times0.005\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $18\times18\times1.6$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>1.8–2.35 GHz (26.5%)</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>$0.2\lambda_0\times0.017\lambda_0\times0.017\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $60\times5\times5$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>0.8–2.5 GHz (103.03%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>$0.06\lambda_0\times0.06\lambda_0\times0.022\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $18.4\times18.4\times6.57$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>1–2 GHz (66.66%)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>$0.04\lambda_0\times0.04\lambda_0\times0.011\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $12\times12\times3.33$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>2.34–2.54 GHz (8.2%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>$0.07\lambda_0\times0.08\lambda_0\times0.003\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $20\times25\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>3.45–3.75 GHz (8.33%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>$0.10\lambda_0\times0.09\lambda_0\times0.002\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $32\times28\times0.8$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>5.3–8.5 GHz (46.37%)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>$0.13\lambda_0\times0.15\lambda_0\times0.005\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $40\times45\times1.5$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>3.42–3.55 GHz (3.7%)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed 4 UC antenna</td>
<td>$0.077\lambda_0\times0.057\lambda_0\times0.005\lambda_0$ @ 1 GHz, $23\times17\times1.6$ mm$^3$</td>
<td>0.48–6.5 GHz (172.49%)</td>
<td>3.7 dBi</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. CONCLUSIONS

Design of a tri-band (L, S, and C-bands) antenna was presented which is based on composite right/left-handed metamaterial unit-cells. The metamaterial unit-cell is composed of L-shaped slits that are etched inside the rectangular radiating patch with a grounded inductive spiral using a metallic via-hole. The L-shaped slits essentially act as left-handed capacitance and the spiral left-handed inductance. The proposed antenna’s gain and efficiency performance can be increased by simply increasing the number of unit-cells or the inductive lines spiral turns. This has minimal affect on the antenna’s dimensions unlike conventional techniques that involves increasing the antenna aperture. The performance of the antennas was optimized and verified practically. The antenna operates over 0.48 GHz – 6.5 GHz and has a fractional bandwidth of 172% with a maximum gain and efficiency of 3.7 dBi and 73 %, respectively, at 3.25 GHz. The antenna radiates predominately omnidirectional in the E-plane and bi-directional in the H-plane. The electrical dimensions of the antenna are 0.037λ₀ × 0.027λ₀ × 0.002λ₀ and 0.25λ₀ × 0.18λ₀ × 0.017λ₀, where λ₀ is free space wavelength at 0.48 and 3.25 GHz, respectively. The antenna exhibits a superior fractional bandwidth compared to other reported multiband planar antennas. The characteristics of the antenna make it suitable for integration into portable microwave devices and use in the broadband or multiband wireless systems operating predominately across L to C-bands.
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